

Science Arts & Métiers (SAM) is an open access repository that collects the work of Arts et Métiers Institute of Technology researchers and makes it freely available over the web where possible.

> This is an author-deposited version published in: https://sam.ensam.eu Handle ID: .http://hdl.handle.net/10985/14097

To cite this version :

Fernanda Belezario SILVA, Olga Satomi YOSHIDA, Rachel HORTA ARDUIN, Carolina Almeida SOUZA, Claudia Echevenga TEIXEIRA - Uncertainty sources in the life cycle assessment of construction products in Brazil - 2017

Any correspondence concerning this service should be sent to the repository Administrator : scienceouverte@ensam.eu



Uncertainty sources in the life cycle assessment of construction products in Brazil

MSc. Fernanda Belizario Silva*, PhD. Olga Satomi Yoshida*, MSc. Rachel Horta Arduin**, MSc. Caroline Almeida Souza*, Elisabeth Donega Diestelkamp*, PhD Cláudia Echevenguá Teixeira*, PhD. Luciana Alves de Oliveira*

* Institute for Technological Research. São Paulo, SP, Brazil. ** Arts et Métiers ParisTech, I2M, UMR 5295, F-33400 Talence, France [fbsilva@ipt.br]


Context and Goal

• Uncertainty estimation is important in LCA, especially when comparing product

• LCI : product manufacturing - primary data collection (factory / literature) | upstream and downstream - Ecoinvent 3.2 global datasets

Methods

alternatives



 Question : what is the main source of uncertainty in LCA : the process itself or upstream and downstream processes?

 Analysis of 09 construction products in Brazil Construction products


• Data quality assessment : Pedigree matrix universe: 01 factory (EPD)

• LCIA : IPCC 2013 - 100 years' timeframe

• GWP coefficient of variation : Monte Carlo sampling with 10.000 interactions

 CV distribution between itself and upstream / downstream processes : ANOVA

| $\left(\overbrace{\bigcirc} \right) \Rightarrow \left(\overbrace{\bigcirc} \right) \Rightarrow \left(\overbrace{\bigcirc} \right)$ | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|---|--|------------------------------|--|
| | Results | | | Materials Materials Product extraction manufacturing manufacturing | | Conclusions | |
| | CV of GWP (%) | Upstream or downstream (%) | Process itself (%) | cradle | • CV values for GWP indicator range from 9,5% to 21,1% - importance of considering uncertainty in LCA studies | | |
| | 10.4 | 78 | 22 | Clay block | | | |
| | 21.1 | 95 | 5 | Sand | | | |
| | 15.1 | 65 | 35 | Gravel | Upstream processes are a major uncertainty source in LCA of construction products | | |
| | 13.0 | 82 | 18 | Concrete block | | | |
| | 21.8 | 97 | 3 | Concrete | | | |
| | 18.2 | 78 | 22 | Mortar | | | |
| | 9.5 | 60 | 40 | Acrylic painting | • Improving C | lata quality of construction | |



products requires better data for upstream processes: importance of a national database to increase LCA reliability

• Main upstream contributors: can help to define priorities for data collection





fundação de apoio ao instituto de pesquisas tecnológicas