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Abstract – This paper presents the hardware-in-the-loop (HIL) validation of a proposed FPGA-based real-time simulator for power electronics applications. The proposed FPGA-based real-time simulation platform integrates the Modified Nodal Analysis (MNA) method, Fixed Admittance Matrix Nodal Method (FAMNM) and an optimization technique to assess the optimal value of the switches conductance in order to minimize the time simulation platform power electronics applications validation of a proposed
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I. INTRODUCTION

Real-time simulation is a way to couple replica models of a given hardware, or system, with real-scale monitoring and control devices/systems. Such simulations are referred as hardware-in-the-loop (HIL) ones and allow performing different operational or control experimental tests which might not be possible to be conducted on the real hardware/system (e.g., [1], [2]). HIL simulations, based on the exchange of analog/digital signals between the hardware under test (HUT) and the simulator, are categorized as controller HIL (CHIL) or power HIL (PHIL) (e.g., [3]). Concerning the CHIL, the HUT is a controller and the exchanged signals usually have low amplitudes. PHIL simulations refer to a kind of HIL tests where the HUT is a power device and, typically, proper amplifiers are required to adapt the exchanged physical signals.

For industrial applications, there are two main types of hardware used to develop a real-time simulator for the HIL tests: (i) CPU-based simulators, (ii) FPGA-based ones. In general, CPU based real-time simulators represent a better option to simulate bulk power networks since they can achieve acceptable simulation time steps (e.g., in the order of few tens of microseconds) and represent relatively complex systems. Additionally, existing CPU-based real-time simulators are typically linked to well-established programming environments (e.g., MATLAB SimPowerSystems (SPS)) that allow a more straightforward way to model components and run the simulation. However, the achievable integration time steps of CPU-based real-time simulators have a lower bound associated with the partial sequential operations that the CPU architectures need to deploy. As a consequence, the relatively large simulation time steps required by these simulators do not allow to model high frequency phenomena such as electromagnetic transients in power converters. With particular reference to this last item, as indicated in [4], the simulation time-step should be at least 20 times smaller than the switching frequency. Therefore, further techniques (e.g., interpolation ones) are required to be employed to qualify CPU-based simulators for high PWM power electronics [5].

During the past years, the size and computational power of FPGAs has been dramatically increased. As a consequence, FPGA-based real-time simulation has emerged as a leading trend for the Electromagnetic Transient (EMT) simulations of power systems and HIL simulations (e.g., [6], [7]).

Concerning the HIL simulation of power electronics applications, FPGA-based real-time simulators provide several advantages over CPU-based ones (e.g., [2], [5]). In particular, the parallel processing hardwired in FPGAs enables the implementation of specific methodologies that dramatically reduce the sequencing of the operations taking place in CPUs. FPGA-based real-time simulators provide lower sampling rate, higher frequency bandwidth and lower I/O latency [5].

However, the FPGA-based real-time simulations are characterized by some limitations. In particular, the model development requires, in general, the knowledge of the Hardware Description Language (HDL) which limits the implementation of the complex models.

Moreover, the matrix manipulation operations are limited in the FPGAs and, as a consequence, the simulation of the switching devices such as power electronics requires particular
treatments. In this respect, the most straightforward method to represent topology-variable circuits in FPGA real-time simulators is the so-called Fixed Admittance Matrix Nodal Method (FAMNM) [7]. This method, irrespective of the number of the switches and their states, allows for obtaining a fixed nodal admittance matrix during switching transitions. However, it introduces artificial oscillations and errors in the simulation results (e.g., [8]).

Recently, several studies have been performed in the literature on the applicability of the FPGA-based real-time simulators for the HIL simulation of power electronics applications (e.g., [2], [7], [9], [10], [11]). These studies, mainly, are based on the use of FMANM approach which enables simulation of power electronics within very low time steps. However, they do not take into account the tuning of the discrete-time switch conductance value and its effect on the simulation results accuracy. Moreover, the obtained FPGA-based real-time simulation results are validated by comparing them with the offline simulation ones (e.g., SPS or EMTP-RV).

Within this context, this paper briefly illustrates a method to develop FPGA-based real-time simulation for power electronics applications that integrates the Modified Nodal Analysis (MNA) method, FAMNM and an optimization technique proposed in [8] to find the optimal value of the switches conductance in order to minimize the relevant errors. The proposed method includes an automatic procedure to translate the netlist user-defined circuit schemes to the relevant equations to be solved in the FPGA. Then, the paper mainly focuses on illustrating the validation of the proposed simulator by means of a dedicated HIL experimental setup composed of a controller connected to an actual two-levels, three-phase inverter and its corresponding FPGA real-time model.

The structure of this paper is as following: Section II provides a brief overview of the EMT simulation. Section III describes the proposed real-time simulation platform. Section IV illustrates the experimental HIL setup, the FPGA model of the two-level three-phase inverter, its preliminary validation by comparing its results with the offline simulation ones and comparison with an actual three-phase inverter. Section VI concludes the paper with final remarks.

II. OVERVIEW OF EMT SIMULATIONS

A. Circuit solvers and numerical integration methods

In general, two main types of solution methods are used in power systems and power electronics electromagnetic simulations: (i) nodal and (ii) state-space ones [12]. In this paper we have adopted the first one since it allows straightforward formulation of the power electronics systems equations and, in particular, it enables the FAMNM approach. MNA is represented by the general equation of (1) [13]:

\[ A_n [x_n] = [b_n] \]

where matrix \([A_n]\) is formed by the discrete representation of the network elements; \([x_n]\) is the vector of unknowns including the network’s node voltages and branch currents; and \([b_n]\) is a vector of the independent sources and current history terms related to the network components. For EMT simulation terms, trapezoidal and backward-Euler methods are the most popular numerical integration methods [12]. For the case of switching devices, it is preferred to use the latter one since backward-Euler rule gives better damping to numerical oscillations introduced by switches [14].

B. Discrete models of simple network components

1) Lumped elements \((L, C)\)

The most common approach for discrete-time representation of the network elements is the one proposed in [15] where the circuit elements are converted into their Norton equivalent. In particular, the lumped elements \((R, L, C)\) connected between nodes \(k\) and \(m\) are described by [13], [15]:

\[ G_{eq} (v_k (t) - v_m (t)) = i_{in} (t) + I_{hist} (t - \Delta t) \]

where \(G_{eq}\) is the equivalent conductance, and \(I_{hist} (t - \Delta t)\) is the history current source associated with the time-discretized element. The values for the equivalent conductance and the history current are determined by the element type (i.e., \(R, L, C\)) together with the adopted numerical integration method [15].

2) Switches

Accurate and efficient switch modeling is a challenging issue for EMT simulators, especially when real-time constraints need to be achieved. In general, detailed switch models are too much sophisticated and not suitable for real-time applications. Therefore, behavioral switch models have been proposed for the EMT real-time applications [16]. Among them, the simplest ones are the ideal switch model or the so-called two-valued resistor model where two resistors, characterized by large differences of their resistances, are associated with each state of the switch \((R_{off}, R_{on})\). However, as well described by the literature on the subject, for both models the system’s admittance matrix needs to be updated and re-factorized after each switching change generating major issues to satisfy the FPGA computational time constraints.

On the contrary, the use of the discrete-time switch model allows defining the so-called fixed nodal admittance matrix method (FAMNM). In this case, the switch is represented by a relatively small inductance when its state is ‘closed’ and by a relatively small capacitance when its state is ‘open’ (e.g., [14],[17]). As a consequence, in view of (2), the switch is replaced by an equivalent conductance \((G_s)\) in parallel with a controlled current source.

The main drawback of such representation is that it introduces artificial parameters in the circuit and, consequently, oscillations and errors to the results [8], [18]. Therefore, an optimal tuning of the switch conductance value is an important issue to achieve accurate results. An efficient method for the optimal selection of this parameter has been proposed in [8] and it is the method adopted in this paper to properly select this parameter (see [8] for further details).

III. THE PROPOSED REAL-TIME SIMULATION PLATFORM

The overall structure of the proposed and developed real-
time simulator is schematically represented in Fig. 1. In what follows the various blocks appearing in this figure are explained.

With reference to the adopted hardware platform, the proposed FPGA-based real-time simulator is based on the National Instruments compactRIO-9033, an industrial reconfigurable real-time embedded hardware platform combining an Intel Atom dual-core processor, a Xilinx Kintex-7 FPGA, and reconfigurable I/O modules. This embedded system is based on NI Linux Real-Time OS and is programmed by using the NI LabVIEW-FPGA environment. The reason to choose this hardware platform is that it provides reconfigurable platform including the CPU and the FPGA as well as reconfigurable I/O modules.

![Netlist pre-processing](image1)

Fig. 1. The FPGA solver architecture together with the real-time processor tasks.

### A. Circuit pre-processing

In the proposed real-time simulation platform, the EMTP-RV simulation environment is used as a Graphical User Interface (GUI) to define the circuit under study and its parameters. Then, the designed circuit is analyzed by this software to generate the so-called netlist file. It contains all the information about the types of the circuit components, their values, and their interconnections.

The netlist file is then used by netlist pre-processing unit to extract the relevant information to be passed to the FPGA solver. Since this is an offline process, it is done by the CPU of the real-time hardware platform.

According to the type of the element indicated in the netlist file, the algorithm extracts the relevant information (e.g., topological connections, values, etc.). The structure of the data pre-processing unit is shown in Fig. 1.

The output arrays of the netlist pre-processing unit are used by NAM builder block to form the nodal admittance matrix (NAM) in the simulator. This matrix is inverted in the CPU level based on the floating point numerical representation and the double-precision.

![Architecture of the netlist pre-processing unit](image2)

Fig. 2. Architecture of the netlist pre-processing unit.

The inverted matrix is transformed to the fixed-point numerical representation form by proper selection of the fixed point in order to provide good accuracy. Then, the matrix is stored in the memory blocks in order to be transferred to the FPGA solver.

It is worth noting that, the optimization problem to find the optimal switches conductance values is performed in the CPU of the real-time hardware platform by the $G_s$ optimization unit. Then, the calculated values are used to build the nodal admittance matrix and, also, transferred to the FPGA to be used in the switches RHS computations.

Moreover, additional data are calculated in the other parameters unit and transferred to the FPGA. These data include desired simulation time step, independent voltage/current sources information, the number of elements in the network per element type, and eventual controller variables (in our specific case, the converter PWM setup variables).

### B. FPGA circuit solver

In order to take advantage of the parallel processing capability of the FPGAs, the adopted solver architecture is based on several parallel sub-tasks. In particular, in order to achieve very low simulation time steps, the two main steps of solving the MNA equation (1) are decoupled to several parallel and independent tasks. Among them, the RHS vector update for different elements is done independently and in parallel. Namely, dedicated RHS computation units are considered for inductors, capacitors, and switches.

1. **RHS $L_i$, RHS $C_i$**

For the case of inductors and capacitors, the RHS variables are function of corresponding node voltages and branch currents in the previous time step (the RHS element for the resistor is zero). Therefore, the required values to compute RHS elements are stored in the FPGA memory to be accessed in the next iteration. Then, the stored variables are used to update the RHS elements. It is worth observing that, in the CPU-based real-time simulators, the update of the RHS elements is done sequentially for different types of elements. However, thanks to the inherent parallel processing capability of the FPGA, these tasks are done in parallel. In particular, for inductors and capacitors, a dedicated computational unit has been coded. The RHS elements for the inductors and capacitors can be expressed...
In order to accelerate the multiplication, different levels of parallelism and techniques can be applied. In particular, the multiplication is done by splitting the matrix into individual rows and doing the dot-product and accumulation for each row, individually. Then, within each dot product operation, the multiplication is done in parallel. To this end, NI LabVIEW FPGA IP Builder tool is used to optimize the multiplication algorithm based on the requested latency and the throughput and by considering the available FPGA resources [19].

It is worth observing that, the FPGA-based calculations are based on fixed point numerical representation. In general, floating point offers higher precision for the numerical representation compared to the fixed point one. However, fixed-point representation is more efficient from the hardware resources usage and performance point of views. By carefully selecting the fixed-point representation, good accuracy values can be achieved.

Apart from the circuit solver engine, additional logics concerning the PWM controller are implemented in FPGA in order to provide higher precision for the high frequency PWM signals. The internal PWM controller logic can be used to verify the performance of the simulator without the need of using an external one.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL HIL SETUP AND FPGA MODEL OF THE SYSTEM UNDER STUDY

A. Description of the HIL setup

In this paper, to validate the performance of the developed FPGA-based real-time simulator, a two-level three-phase inverter that is connected to an inductive filter (10 mH) and a resistive load (20 Ω) is considered. The global setup is depicted in Fig. XX. It shows three main parts: the system under study which can be a FPGA based real time model or a real inverter, the DS 1104 controller board and the HMI (Human Machine Interface). A classical dq synchronous frame current controller [20] has been used and implemented in the controller board.

![Fig. XX – global setup presentation]

A picture of this setup is depicted in Fig. XXX. It shows the...
real inverter which is an academic setup based on Semikron IGBTs.

**B. Description of the FPGA model for the system under study**

The schematic of the modeled circuit with the MNA variables is shown in Fig. 3. Since the FPGA solver is based on fixed point numerical representation and as a consequence, it limits the amplitude of the simulation variables, the per-unit model of this circuit is derived based on the following based values:

\[
V_{base} = 60 \text{ V} \\
I_{base} = 10 \text{ A}
\]  

(6).

Then, the pre-processing unit analyzes the generated netlist file and builds the corresponding NAM as equation (7). This matrix is inverted and converted to the fixed point representation based on 40 bits for the word length and 19 bits for the integer part. It is worth observing that the model provided by (5) does not explicitly appear in (7) since it is a logic determining the status of this aggregated IGBT+diode device.

The optimization process to find the optimal values for the switch conductances is performed in the pre-processing phase. By considering the switching modes where each switch conducts for 180 degrees of a cycle, there are eight possible switching permutations as: \(S1,S2,S6\), \(S1,S2,S3\), \(S2,S3,S4\), \(S3,S4,S5\), \(S4,S5,S6\), \(S1,S5,S6\), \(S1,S3,S5\), and \(S2,S4,S6\). Therefore, we obtain eight ANAM corresponding to each status of the ideal switches. Among them, six switching patterns generate non-zero voltage across the load and two of them (the upper or lower switches are conducting) generate zero voltage across the load.

According to the method presented in [8], the first step to calculate the optimal value for the switch conductance is to find the sets of eigenvalues corresponding to the possible switching permutations and also, the ones of the FAMNM. It is worth considering the factors of the FAMNM considering that the ANAM matrix is inverted and converted to the fixed point representation based on 40 bits for the word length and 19 bits for the integer part. It is worth observing that the model provided by (5) does not explicitly appear in (7) since it is a logic determining the status of this aggregated IGBT+diode device.

![Fig. XXX – Three phase inverter and controller board](image)

![Fig. 3. Schematic representation of the two-level three-phase inverter.](image)
noting that, since the load and filter parameters are identical for all the phases, the eigenvalues for the two sets of patterns are equal for each set.

Therefore, in view of the symmetrical nature of the circuit, one identical conductance value can be assigned to the six switches. By applying the optimization method presented in [8], the objective function exhibits the optimal value of $G_s=0.51$ (see [8] for further details about the objective function definition). This value is used to build the NAM and also update the switches RHS elements.

In the first step, the performance of the proposed FPGA-based real-time simulator is validated by comparing its results with offline simulations carried out in EMTP-RV [REF7]. Fig. 4 illustrates the three-phase load currents obtained by the FPGA-based real-time simulator, and by EMTP-RV off-line simulation environment, respectively. In this figure, the PWM carrier frequency is 1 kHz. Fig. 5 shows the error between the load currents of the benchmark model and the ones of the FPGA simulator. The calculated error is normalized based on the current peak value and shown in perunit. It can be observed that the FPGA-based results are characterized by a maximum error of 0.0015 pu with respect to the benchmark simulation. The reasons behind this small error are two: (i) the truncation realized by the fixed-point simulation calculations, and (ii) the discrete-time switch model which, as known, introduces approximations in the discrete-time switch model.

![Fig. 4. Comparison of the FPGA-based real-time solver results with the corresponding EMTP-RV ones (three-phase load currents).](image)

Considering the achieved integration time step, the simulation needs 6 FPGA ticks per time step. Consequently, by considering the 40 MHz FPGA clock, it results into an integration time step of 150 ns. Therefore, the availability of a faster FPGA clock will directly enable to further reduce the integration time step. The total utilized FPGA resources (based on the fixed point calculations) are: slice registers: 10.1%, slice LUTs: 28%, block RAMs: 1.5%, DSP48s: 85.3%

C. Validation by means of HIL simulation test

In the previous section, the validation of the proposed FPGA-based real-time simulator is presented by comparing its obtained results with the offline simulation ones which exhibits excellent simulation accuracy together with very low simulation time-step. In this section, a further validation test is presented by making reference to a HIL simulation test performed by the proposed FPGA-based real-time simulator. To this end, first, the experimental setup explained in the previous section is used to perform the HIL test with the proposed real-time simulation platform. The external PWM controller (the PWM frequency is 1 kHz) is coupled with the simulator by using digital input modules. In particular, the switches gate signals are determined by NI-9401 which is a high speed digital I/O module and the gate signals loop and the simulation one are synchronized. To export the simulation generated signals, NI-9263 module is used which is an analog output one. Since, the maximum sampling rate of this module is 100 kHz, the generated load current signals are down-sampled by this frequency to be monitored in the oscilloscope.

Then, the same controller is coupled with a physical inverter which is connected to the physical inductive filter and resistive load with the same value of the HIL simulation. The controller type and parameters are identical to the ones of the HIL test. The load currents are measured by using the current sensors described before and are observed by the oscilloscope.

Fig. 6 shows the comparison of the HIL simulation results and the measured ones for the three phase load currents and Fig. 7 shows the relative errors between the HIL simulation results and the measured ones. It is observed that the HIL simulation results are with agreement of the measurement ones with obvious higher errors compared to the offline simulations. The reasons for this error are: (i) the presence of noise in the measurements, (ii) the limited current sensors bandwidth, (iii) the error between the simulated physical ones converter filter and load, and (iv) non-linear behavior of the switches in the real inverter compared to the linear switch model in the HIL simulation. However, is spite of the above-listed source of errors, the comparison appears satisfactory providing a good experimental validation of the proposed FPGA simulation platform.

![Fig. 5. Relative error of the load currents in pu. (reference values of Fig. 4).](image)

![Fig. 6. Comparison of the FPGA-based HIL test results with the measured ones (three-phase load currents).](image)
The paper presented the HIL validation of the proposed FPGA-based real-time simulator for the power electronics applications. The proposed real-time simulator was implemented in an industrial real-time embedded system (National Instruments CompactRio real-time platforms) and has the following features: (i) it makes use of the Modified Nodal Analysis (MNA) method, (ii) it integrates the Fixed Admittance Matrix Nodal Method (FAMNM) together with the optimal selection of the switch conductance parameter, (iii) it enables the possibility to accurately reproduce electromagnetic switching transients taking place in power electronic switching devices, and (iv) it enables to reach extremely low integration time steps (in the order of hundreds of ns) and avoids the need of redesigning and recompiling the FPGA code.

The performance of the proposed real-time simulation platform has been validated, in the first approach, by simulation of a two-level three-phase inverter and comparing the obtained real-time simulation results with the offline benchmark performed in EMTP-RV simulation environment. Then, further validation has been done by HIL simulation test performed by the proposed FPGA-based real-time simulator and comparing the obtained results with the measured ones using the real inverter connected to the physical filter and load.

The comparison of the obtained results with respect to offline benchmark ones and the measured physical ones showed a good agreement together with high computation efficiency.

REFERENCES


