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Laser-delayed double shock-wave generation in water-confinement regime
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This paper investigates the different physical processes involved during laser-delayed double shock-wave generation in water-confined geometry. With this technique, two laser pulses, separated by a Δt duration, irradiate a target immersed in water at an intensity of a few GW/cm² and form a high-pressure plasma which results in a double shock-wave generation. This 2 pulses configuration is currently being investigated as an attractive method for improving the LASer Adhesion Test (LASAT) [L. M. Barker and R. E. Hollenbach, J. Appl. Phys. 43, 4669–4674 (1972)] technique by adapting the time delay Δt to the position of interfaces. The LASAT technique is a noncontact adhesion test allowing to generate a high-level tensile stress near interfaces with the use of laser-driven shock wave. The generation of two delayed high-intensity shock waves by laser plasma in the water-confinement regime has been investigated at 10ms@532 nm with the new Nd:YAG laser GAIA from Thales Laser company in the new facility HEPHAISTOS. For each incident Gaussian laser impulsion, the characterization of the high-amplitude laser-plasma-generated shock wave and its propagation through the target has been performed using a velocity interferometer system for any reflector [L. Berthe et al., “State-of-the-art laser adhesion test (LASAT),” Nondestruct. Test. Eval. 26(3–4), 303–317 (2011)]. The new laser facility allows us a nanosecond-control of the time delay between the two laser pulses and a precise control of each laser maximum-intensity. Therefore, the influence of the first laser-induced plasma, on the second shock-wave generation has been studied by modifying different parameters such as the delay Δt and the intensity I1 and 12 of each pulse and different aluminum plate thicknesses from 0.2 to 1.5 mm. Preliminary tests show that the maximum pressure level of the second generated shock wave is sensitive to the time delay between the two impulsions and influenced by the plasma generated by the first laser pulse.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The LASer Adhesion Test (LASAT) technique is a laser adhesion test allowing the generation of high tensile stresses in materials introduced by Vossen in the 1970s.1,2 To produce a laser-generated shock-wave in a target, a high power laser irradiation is used. When the laser irradiation is focused on the target, the laser-matter interaction leads to an intense plasma formation.3–8 As a response to the plasma expansion, a shock wave is generated through the target and propagates. The characteristic of the shock wave depends on several parameters, impulsion shape and duration, energy, wavelength. To improve the pressure load in the target, a water confinement is used as shown in Fig. 1.

This confinement consists in the addition of a water layer above the target. This additional water layer constrains the plasma expansion and allows the generation of 5–10 times higher pressure loads5–8 than in direct regime. When the shock wave reaches the back face, it is reflected as a release wave. In the same time, a release wave also propagates in the sample because of the end of the pressure load. When these two releases waves intersect, high tensile stresses are generated. However, the location of the tensile stresses is dependent of the impulsion profile and mechanical properties (Fig. 1).

This technique is well efficient to determine interface strengths. Indeed, if the location of the tensile stresses is

FIG. 1. Left—Sketch of the laser shock formation by interaction with a target. Right—Time/position diagram showing shock-wave propagation in a target.
close to an interface, the local tensile stress generated in the material could result in the debonding of the interface.\textsuperscript{9–13} The paper presents here below a new approach in order to improve the technique and control the location of the tensile stresses.

**II. DESCRIPTION OF THE DOUBLE SHOCK ON THE FRONT FACE TECHNIQUE**

To improve the LASAT technique, some possibilities have emerged.\textsuperscript{14} One technique is using a double shock on the assembly front face. This solution consists in the use of two delayed Gaussians laser pulses. The idea is to precisely localize the tensile zone enhancing the main tensile level generated by the first laser pulse, reflected on the back face, by the one created by the second one. This fully original configuration is allowed by HEPHAISTOS facility allowing a nanosecond control of the time delay between two laser pulses. Figure 2 shows an example of the double pulse loading applied on the front face, on a time/space diagram. Whereas the classical LASAT technique generates a tensile zone near the back surface (zone 1), the new technique generates the tensile zone deeper in the target (zone 2).

To master the technique, a better understanding of the generation of time-delayed double shock wave applied on the front face of materials is required.

**III. DESCRIPTION OF THE DOUBLE SHOCK-WAVE GENERATION TECHNIQUE**

The laser-delayed double shock-wave generation in water-confinement regime uses two time-delayed laser pulses. The two impulsions applied on the front face of the target generate two time-delayed shock waves propagating in the target. While the first shock wave is generated through the classical plasma processes widely described before, the physical processes involved in the second one are more complicated. Indeed, the plasma created during the crossing of the water-confinement layer by the first impulsion may affect the propagation of the second pulse (Fig. 3). Thus, some parameters like the first impulsion intensity and delay between the two impulsions are expected to influence the second pressure load. This paper presents some pressure determination in such configuration.

**IV. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE**

**A. Laser source**

The laser source used to perform the experiments belongs to the HEPHAISTOS laser facility of PIMM, Paris. This new laser is a 10ns@532 nm Nd:YAG laser GAIA from Thales Laser company. It has two separated polarized (S and P) beams named beam called A and beam B, respectively. Moreover, a nanosecond-control delay between the two beams could be imposed allowing the generation of two delayed Gaussian impulsions (Fig. 4). It is also possible to control the intensity of each pulse but not the pulse duration that remains equal to 10 ns.

**B. Diagnostic**

The main diagnostic used during these experiments is a VISAR (velocity interferometer system for any reflector).\textsuperscript{15}
The VISAR technique measures the back free velocity of the target during the propagation of the two shock waves. For a one pulse-laser impact, the time profile of the back face velocity (Fig. 5) gives information on the pressure load.

The back free surface velocity is also quite interesting for high strain rate spallation and dynamic damage investigations.\textsuperscript{16,17}

C. Materials

The samples are made of pure aluminum from Goodfellow company. Different thicknesses are used. The 1.5 mm-thick aluminum foils are used to characterize the pressure load profile induced by a single pulse under water-confinement regime. Indeed, the back and forth of the shock wave in the 1.5 mm-thick aluminum takes more time than in the 1 mm-thick ones. Thus, it is possible to better simulate the release of the shock wave. The l mm ones are used to perform the experiments on the double shock-wave generation because the back and forth of the shock wave is greater than the time delay and the attenuation is weaker for the 1.0 mm thick plate. The 0.2 mm-thick foils are mostly used to obtain the pressure-flux curve to minimize shock-wave attenuation. In the following, the results obtained on 1 mm thick samples are discussed.

D. Numerical simulation of shock-wave generation

The numerical simulations have been performed with ESTHER code developed in the CEA. ESTHER is an ID Lagrangian code allowing the study of the laser-matter interaction through the evolution of various materials stack evolving from solid phase to plasma phase under intense radiation (laser, X-ray electrons, ions, and neutrons). The state equation for the aluminum is a multiphase BLF (Bushman, Lomonosov, and Fortov) one and an elastic plastic model is used. The code is not used to simulate the laser-matter interaction yet. Thus, the initial pressure on the front face of the sample is set by a pressure load profile. However, some works already exist to simulate the behavior of the plasma during the laser-matter interaction.\textsuperscript{18–21}

The numerical simulations of back free surface velocity profiles are done by simulating a pressure profile on the front face of the sample. The simulation results are compared to the experimental back free surface velocity signals obtained with the VISAR. When the calculated velocity signal fits the experimental one, the profile of ablation pressure is saved and considered as the pressure profile generated during the experiments.

Figure 6 shows an example of experimental back free surface velocity compared to the corresponding ESTHER simulation and Fig. 7 shows the corresponding pressure profile. In that case, the 3.5 GW/cm\textsuperscript{2} induces a nearly 4 GPa maximum pressure value that accelerates the 1.0 mm thick foil up to a 225 m/s maximum velocity. The value of 3.5 GW/cm\textsuperscript{2} is chosen to avoid the breakdown plasma effects at the surface of the confining water.

V. EXPERIMENTAL RESULT

A. Influence of the time delay $\Delta t$ between the two pulses

First, this paper discusses the influence of the time delay $\Delta t$ between the two incident laser pulses, and between the resulting pressure loadings. The delay is limited from 0 to 250 ns to avoid the superimposition of the back and forth of the first pulse and the second shock wave. In those configurations, the velocity signal of the second impulsion is not perturbed by the return of the first one.
Figure 8 shows a comparison between the pressure \( P_I \) generated by the first pulse and \( P_2 \) generated by the second one as a function of the delay between the two laser pulses. \( P_I \) and \( P_2 \) are estimated by simulations fitting the velocity signals.

First of all, the pressure \( P_I \) does not change (\( \approx 2.7 \) GPa) with the delay. There is obviously no influence of the second impulsion on the first one. However, the pressure \( P_2 \) induced by the second pulse is shown to be dependent on the delay \( \Delta t \). From Fig. 8, it seems that three zones can be distinguished: (1) between 0 and 15 ns, \( P_2 \) increases with the delay from 1.4 to 2.4 GPa, (2) between 15 and 50 ns, a sharp pressure decrease is shown with the delay down to 1.5 GPa, (3) above \( \Delta t = 50 \) ns, \( P_2 \) is constant to 1.5 GPa, then decreases to 1 GPa at 250 ns.

B. Influence of the intensity of the first pulse

The paper addresses here the influence of the intensity (GW/cm\(^2\)) of the first pulse versus pressure generation. Experiments have been made by step-by-step decreasing the intensity of the first pulse by 10% between each experiment. Two different time delays have also been tested, 50 and 150 ns. Figure 9 represents the variation of the pressure values \( P_I \) and \( P_2 \) as a function of the intensity of the first pulse for the two different delays considered.

Figure 9 reveals that when the intensity of the first pulse logically decreases, the pressure \( P_I \) also decreases according to the pressure-flux curve. The pressure of the second shock wave seems to be rather constant whatever the first pulse intensity value. Moreover, the pressure \( P_2 \) at 50 ns (\( \approx 2.0 \) GPa) is lower than the pressure \( P_2 \) at 150 ns (\( \approx 2.7 \) GPa), which result seems apparently contradictory with Fig. 8 where \( P_2 \) values are similar for \( \Delta t = 50 \) ns and \( \Delta t = 150 \) ns.

VI. DISCUSSIONS

Coming back to the results presented in Fig. 8, the pressure-delay curve could be separated in three time zones (Fig. 10):

The first one, “plasma formation (0–15 ns),” where the laser-matter interaction due to the first impulsion heats the surface of the sample and generates a plasma. In this zone, the plasma absorption increases the laser-matter interaction for the second impulsion and increases the value of \( P_2 \). However, in this zone, the two generated shock waves are

![FIG. 8. Effect of the variation of the delay \( \Delta t \) on the pressures \( P_I \) and \( P_2 \) at 2.5 GW/cm\(^2\) for each impulsion.](image)

![FIG. 9. Effect of the variation of the intensity of the first pulse on the pressures \( P_I \) and \( P_2 \). The intensity of the second pulse is kept constant at 3.5 GW/cm\(^2\).](image)

![FIG. 10. Scheme of the laser-matter interaction for one Gaussian pulses.](image)

![FIG. 11. Highlight of the two or three delay times zones where the two pressure loads are superimposed (green) and separated (blue).](image)
superimposed so it is incorrect to dissociated $P_2$ from $P_1$. Consequently, the generated pressure load is $P = P(P_1,P_2)$ and the pressure load duration is also increased (Fig. 11).

The second one is the “plasma screening (15–50 ns)” zone. After 50 ns, most of the energy is deposited on the material. In this configuration, the thickness of the plasma is not negligible anymore and the second impulsion starts being absorbed by the plasma, thus generating a pressure decay. The plasma thickness could be calculated using the extended model developed by Devaux (Fig. 12).

The last zone ($\Delta t > 50$ ns) is the “plasma expansion and loss of confinement” zone. After the first impulsion (up to 50 ns), the plasma expansion minimizes its absorption and allows the second impulsion reaching the surface. Simultaneously, the progressive loss of confinement reduces the pressure.

Finally, experiments carried out on the delay variation at 2.5 GW/cm$^2$ show that, from 50 ns delay, the pressure $P_2$ of the second shock wave decreases with the delay $\Delta t$. However, under 50 ns, the plasma effects are complicated and more experiments have to be done to better understand the phenomena involved. Nevertheless, Fig. 9 shows that more than 50 ns the behavior of the laser-matter interaction is quite predictable. The main interest of this phenomenon is that it is possible to adjust the values of the shock amplitudes generated in the sample.

Coming back to the results presented in Fig. 9, it was already said that the decrease of the intensity of the first pulse decreases the value of the pressure $PL$. However, the loss of pressure $P_2$ between the two time delays (50 and 150 ns) shows that the delay between the two impulsions affects the second pressure load. Moreover, the intensity of the second impulsion also has an influence on the results between the two delays (Table I).

TABLE I. Summary of some values of $P_2$ for two different intensities and two different delays.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$\Delta t = 50$ ns</th>
<th>$\Delta t = 150$ ns</th>
<th>Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$I_2 = 2.5$ GW/cm$^2$</td>
<td>1.45 GPa</td>
<td>1.50 GPa</td>
<td>+3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_2 = 3.5$ GW/cm$^2$</td>
<td>2.7 GPa</td>
<td>2.0 GPa</td>
<td>−20%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VII. CONCLUSION

To conclude, even if the classical LASAT technique is already and will remain an efficient technique to test the strength of interfaces, the double shock-wave technique seems to be a good way of improvement. However, our preliminary experiments show that some work has still to be done to better understand the laser-plasma interaction occurring for the second pulse. Nevertheless, for delays up to 50 ns, the pressure values of the two shock waves generated in the sample could be clearly identified with VISAR diagnostic and associated simulations. A good way of improvement also will be to better simulate the laser-matter interaction with the ESTHER code in order to understand the plasma behavior during the first 50 ns after the first impulsion. This work is so the first step to master the double shock bond test applied on the front face of targets.
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