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Abstract

Modular Multilevel Converters are becoming increasingly popular with the development of HVDC connection and, in the future, Multi Terminal DC grid. A lot of publications have been published about this topology these last years since it was first proposed. Few of them are addressing explicitly the 2 different roles that are held by this converter in a HVDC link: controlling the power or controlling the DC voltage level. Moreover, for a given function, different ways of controlling this converter may be considered. This paper proposes an overview of the different solutions for controlling the MMC and proposes a methodology to synthesize the control architecture.

1 Introduction

HVDC link are expected to a large development due to the increasing need to transmit electrical power. Thyristor technology is still used for very large application (up to 7 GW) but transistor technology is becoming more and more familiar. The first big project in this field is the Cross Sound Cable (330 MW, 150 kV) between Long Island and the American continent was installed in 2002 using a 3-level converter. Because of the high voltage levels, a very large number of transistors is placed in series. However, this large stack of series switches needs to have nearly identical parameters and synchronized ignition to avoid excessive stresses on single components during switching actions [1] The high frequency switching operation of the PWM (≈1 kHz) was also responsible for significant losses.

The Modular Multilevel Converter has been developed to overcome the aforementioned problems and offers several advantages over previous voltage-source converter (VSC) technologies [2, 3]. Indeed, it is not an evolution of the classical converter, but a new topology. Which has been known conceptually for quite a long time but the technology was not available to achieve such a complex converter. The MMC converter can be built up using either half-bridge or full bridge sub-modules. The half-bridge element is the most commonly used element as it is cheaper and causes lower losses.

Depending on the application and power capability requirements, the MMC levels can vary from tens to hundreds of sub-modules (SMs) per arm. For HVDC and flexible AC transmission systems (FACTS) systems, a MMC may include thousands of power switches. The Trans Bay Cable project [4], for example, includes more than 200 SMs per converter arm and the INELFE [5] installation will use more than 400 SMs per arm. The excessive number of MMC variables creates significant difficulties for synthetizing a control system. With high numbers of levels, the control of SMs (balancing SM capacitor voltages) can be separated from the global control (current and power controls) [6].

Many control structures exist for the MMC in the literature. Some of them are very simple but leads to non-sinusoidal output voltages and high voltage ripples on capacitor voltages [6] due to an important second harmonic component in arm currents. To improve voltage quality and reduce capacitor voltage ripples one can use the CCSC (Circulating Current Suppression Controller) [7] or the control structures established in [6] or in. [8] These controls leads to satisfying characteristics in normal operation but it is difficult to predict the behavior in particular operating conditions such as unbalanced AC voltages. For example, Hagiwara and Akagi have been proved in [9] that the control presented in [8] can be unstable in certain conditions of operation and certain value of the controller parameters. This is the same problem as in the well-known case of a buck converter with an L-C input filter and with constant output power [10].

Some of the controls presented in the literature have in common to be introducing by a heuristic way. One consequence is that these different controls have not the same number of controllers. But, to have a fully control of the energy stored in the system, the number of controllers must be equal to the number of independent state variables of the system. If not, some state variables may be out of control, can take unacceptable values and lead to unstable modes in particular operating conditions.

However, in all of these articles, the dc bus voltage is imposed on SM capacitor voltages and few of them are addressing explicitly the 2 different roles that are hold by this converter in a HVDC link: controlling the power or controlling the DC voltage level. After a recall on the MMC modelling, this paper proposes an overview of the different
solutions for the control of the MMC power and then extends the application to control of DC bus voltage. All the presented control algorithms are deduced from the inversion of the model [11] which leads to a very rigorous method to synthetize the architecture of the control laws.

2 MMC modelling and classical control

2.1 Topology

The Fig. 1 recalls the topology of the converter. The three arms of this three-phase converter are composed of elementary modules. Each module is a simple switching cell. Depending on the state of the cell, the voltage of the capacitor is introduced or not in series with the main electrical circuit. Doing so, the voltage between the ‘+’ pole (or ‘-’ pole) and one phase (a, b, c) may be modulated with an almost sinusoidal shape [12]. The discretization of the sinus is depending on the number of modules.

So for each of the six arms it is possible to define a modulated voltage (\( V_m \)) and a modulated current (\( i_m \)):

\[ v_m = m V_{c,\text{tot}} \quad i_m = m i \]  

with \( m = n/N \) where \( n \) corresponds to the number of active cells.

The evolution of the six equivalent capacitors is found from:

\[ i_n = C \frac{dv_{\text{tot}}}{N \, dt} \]  

Each arm of the MMC structure (Fig. 1) is aggregated in the proposed equivalent structure (Fig. 3). In this figure, two topologies are proposed depending on the position of the selector. In position 1, the dc bus is imposed to the MMC which means that the converter may only control the power. In position 2, the DC bus is represented by a capacitor and a current source. The DC bus voltage has to be controlled. The current source is a simple model of the power which is managed by the converter placed on the other side of the HVDC link.

The study of MMC can be simplified by decoupling the problem of capacitor voltage balancing inside each arm and the problem of global control (currents and output power control). This decoupling has been first proposed in [6] and is now currently used. The voltage balancing system can be done by using the cells with most charged capacitors when arm current is negative (to decrease voltage capacitor of the active cells) and using the cells with lower charged capacitors when arm current is positive (to increase voltage capacitor of the active cells). In this paper, we consider that the balancing system works properly and we focus the study on the global control of the structure.

If the balancing is well done (\( v_{c_1} = v_{c_2} = \ldots = v_{c_N} \)) each arm is equivalent to a capacitor of \( C/N \) capacitance with a voltage \( V_{c,\text{tot}} = v_{c_1} + v_{c_2} + \ldots + v_{c_N} \) and an ideal dc/dc converter controlled by its duty cycle as show in Fig. 2.

2.2 Arm Average Model

The evolution of the six voltages across the 6 equivalent capacitors and 5 currents (for example three arm currents and two phase currents, the other currents are linear dependant of the 5 chosen currents).
The modelling is oriented by performing the classical change of variables:

\[ i_{agp} = \frac{i_a + i_b}{2} \quad v_{agp} = \frac{v_{ma} + v_{mb}}{2} \quad v_{c} = \frac{v_{mc} - v_{ma}}{2} \]  

\( i \in \{a, b, c\} \)

In steady state, the differential currents \( (i_{agp}, i_{agb}, i_{agc}) \) are composed of a DC component \( (i_{diff1, DC}) \) and harmonic components. The sum of the DC component is the DC current delivered to the DC bus. The harmonic currents are circulating currents which are exchanged between the different legs.

The description of the system can be split in two sets of decoupled equations:

\[ v_v - v_s = \left( L_c + \frac{L_c}{2} \right) \frac{d}{dt} + \left( R_c + \frac{R_c}{2} \right) i_v \]  

\[ v_s - v_{agp} = L_{agp} \frac{di_{agp}}{dt} + R_{agp} i_{agp} \]  

The three-phase equations (4) are not independent because \( i_{a} + i_{b} + i_{c} = 0 \).

The application of Park transformation on equation (4) gives the following relationships (6) and (7).

\[ v_v - v_s = \left( L + \frac{L}{2} \right) \frac{di_v}{dt} + \left( R + \frac{R}{2} \right) i_v + \left( L + \frac{L}{2} \right) \omega i \sin \theta \]  

\[ v_s - v_{agp} = \left( L + \frac{L}{2} \right) \frac{di_{agp}}{dt} + \left( R + \frac{R}{2} \right) i_{agp} - \left( L + \frac{L}{2} \right) \omega i_v \cos \theta \]

As it is mentioned in section 2.2, this converter has 11 independent state variables in theory, 11 control loops are needed to achieve a full control of the dynamic of the system. They are discussed in the following sections.

Validation of the control methods are tested on a simple system presented in Fig. 3. The settling times for control loops and system parameters are provided in index I. All model developments and simulations are performed using EMTP-RV™.

**2.3 Grid current and Circulating Current Suppressing Control**

First, the grid current control is presented. A classical d,q control may be implemented but it is not sufficient since the harmonic current which are circulating between the three arms have to be cancelled or at least limited. The suppression of circulating current is based on the double line frequency, negative-sequence rotational frame in which the control scheme is based on dq coordinates. [13], [14].

At \( t = 0.1s \), a step of 0.15 pu on the AC active power is applied. It induces a step on the ‘d’ axis grid current reference \( (i_{pgdq}) \). As shown in Fig 4a, the grid current \( (i_p) \) is correctly controlled. In Fig 4b, some oscillations are observed on differential current which highlights that the dynamic is not fully controlled. In Fig 4c, it can be seen that \( v_{cu tot} \) and \( v_{el tot} \) are stabilized around 1 pu value without any explicit control.

![Figure 4: Circulating Current Suppressing Control simulation results](image)

This solution is not sufficient since all the energy is not controlled and some unstable cases may occur. The energy control has to be implemented which need to control the differential current first.

**2.4 Differential Current Control**

Fig 5 presents the block diagram of the grid and differential currents control. The controller for the differential current is controlling the DC component of this current but it can also cancel the circulating current [15].

![Figure 5: Grid and differential current control block diagram](image)

Study of this control is performed by the following simulation events:
• \( t = 0.05 \text{s} \) a step of 0.15 pu on the reference of DC power
• \( t = 0.1 \text{s} \), a step of 0.15 pu on the reference of active power

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Control of the energy} \\
3.1 \text{ Power balance modelling} \\
\text{As discussed in [11], the total energy stored in an arm (} W_i \text{) is depending on the instantaneous AC power of the phase } i \left( P_{ACi} \right) \text{ and the power exchanged with the DC bus } \left( P_{DCi} \right) \text{ which depends on the DC component of the differential current:} \\
\frac{dW_i}{dt} = \frac{1}{2N} \left( \frac{dv_{av,tot}^2}{dt} + \frac{dv_{av,tot}^2}{dt} \right) = P_{DCi} - P_{ACi} \quad \text{(8)} \\
\text{With:} \\
P_{DCi} = v_{dc} i_{diff,DC} \\
\text{Let us define:} \\
\frac{v_{cid,tot}^2}{2} = \frac{v_{av,tot}^2 + v_{cd,tot}^2}{2} \quad \text{(10)} \\
\text{The average value of } P_{ACi} \text{ is one third of the total AC power } P_{AC} \text{ which depends on the d component of the current. These different equations may be presented as a block diagram (Fig. 7)} \\
\text{In term of control, this block diagram highlights that the energy may be control either by the means of grid current or differential current. [12] The following sections describe the two solutions.}
\end{align*}
\]

3.2 DC Power control

In this solution, the energy is supposed to be controlled by the grid current. Three independent loops have to be implemented to define the three independent references for each differential current.

As it can be sketched on Fig 8, the architecture of the control is deduced by the inversion of the model of the process. Since \( p_{acv} \) is a disturbance, a compensation is implemented in the control. As \( v_{dc} \) may represent a non-linearity in case of variation of the DC bus voltage, a linearization is placed in the control.

A filter has to be inserted on the voltage measurement to avoid introducing harmonic in the generation of the reference. The power flowing through the converter is controlled by the reference on the ‘d’ axis grid current.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Energy control} \\
\text{Model of the converter} \\
\text{Filter}
\end{align*}
\]
The validation is performed by following simulation event:
- \( t = 0.05s \), a step of 0.05 pu on the reference of the stored energy
- \( t = 0.3s \) a step of 0.15 pu on the reference of active power

![Figure 9: Simulation results for the energy control by the differential current](image)

As shown in Fig 9, the total energy is under control with a response time about 100 ms. Since the energy is managed by the differential current, a step on the energy reference has a consequence on this current (Fig 9b). In other words, the energy stored in the capacitor comes from the DC voltage source. The exchange of power is managed by the reference on the grid current. Fig 9d shows the oscillations on energy in one phase which has to be filtered.

### 3.3 AC Power control

When the control of the energy is achieved by the grid current, it is not possible to implement three separate grid current loops since the total of the three currents is always null. This induces that only a global control of the whole energy stored in the MMC is possible. The sum of the three-phase of equations (8), leads to the following equation:

\[
\frac{1}{2C} \left( \frac{dv_{ac, tot}^2}{dt} + \frac{dv_{ch, tot}^2}{dt} + \frac{dv_{ev, tot}^2}{dt} \right) = P_{dc} - P_{ac} \quad (11)
\]

Let us define:

\[
\frac{v_{ac, tot}^2 + v_{ch, tot}^2 + v_{ev, tot}^2}{3} \quad (12)
\]

The block diagram of the model is presented in the upper part of Fig 10. The lower part is describing the architecture of the control which is also deduced by the inversion of the model.

![Figure 10: Energy control with grid current](image)

The Energy control with grid current is validated by following simulation events:
- \( t = 0.05s \), a step of 0.05 pu on the reference of the stored energy
- \( t = 0.3s \) a step of 0.15 pu on the reference of DC power

![Figure 11: Simulation results for the energy control by the grid current](image)
Figure 11 shows the simulation. It can be noticed that nearly the same comments can be done as in figure 9 but the role of grid current and differential current are exchanged. Fig 11d presents the total energy stored in the converter. It can be checked that this energy is constant in steady state which confirms that there is no need to place a filter on the measurement of the energy.

4 Control of the DC voltage

As explained in the introduction, in HVDC applications it is also interesting to achieve the control of the station which is controlling the DC bus voltage. The switch in Fig. 3 is supposed to be on position 2.

4.1 Model of the system

The model of the system has to be adapted to integrate the simple model of the DC bus. The energy stored in the DC capacitor is depending on the power exchanged by the MMC (\( p_{dc} \)) with the DC bus and the power flowing from the other substation (\( p_s \)).

\[
C_{dc} \frac{dv_{dc}}{dt} = -p_{dc} + p_s
\]

(13)

The new model of the converter is presented in the middle of Fig. 12. The energy stored in the leg and the energy stored in the DC bus is clearly identified.

4.2 Description of the DC voltage control

The same control of the energy is implemented as in section 3.3 (upper part of the figure). The control of the DC voltage is achieved by an action on the differential current.

The Energy control with differential current is validated by following simulation events:

- \( t = 0.05 \text{s} \), a step of 0.05 pu on the reference of the DC bus voltage energy
- \( t = 0.3 \text{s} \) a step of -0.1 pu on the \( i_d \)

A step on the DC voltage reference induces a variation on the differential current. To maintain the stored energy in the arm capacitor, the regulation acts on \( i_{gd \text{ref}} \). A step on the current coming from the other station is compensated by an increase of the differential current and the grid current with a delay depending on the time response. These results show a clear decoupling of the two voltages \( v_{dc} \) on one hand, \( v_{cl \text{tot}} \) on the other hand.

4 Conclusion

This paper has presented the different solutions to control a MMC either connected to a voltage source or to DC bus whose voltage is varying. Two solutions of control are emerging from the definition of the energy model: control of the energy by the grid current or the differential current. Both solutions have been simulated and compared. For the DC voltage control, only one solution has been presented but both possibilities are also available. Depending on the chosen solution the exchange of power between the MMC and the AC or DC power is different. The choice done at this level may have important impact on the dynamic behavior of a
HVDC link or Multi Terminal DC grid. This assertion has to be studied and to be quantified.

References


Index I:

System parameters:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$L$</th>
<th>$R$</th>
<th>$L_{arm}$</th>
<th>$R_{arm}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>60 mH</td>
<td>0.5 Ω</td>
<td>50 mH</td>
<td>1.02 Ω</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$C_f/N$</th>
<th>$C_{dc}$</th>
<th>$S_n$</th>
<th>$V_{dc}$</th>
<th>$U_g$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10 mF</td>
<td>39 μF</td>
<td>1000 MVA</td>
<td>640 kV</td>
<td>320 kV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Settling times for control loops:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T_{r,ig}$</th>
<th>$T_{r,idf}$</th>
<th>$T_{r,swun}$</th>
<th>$T_{r,Vdc}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 ms</td>
<td>10 ms</td>
<td>50 ms</td>
<td>100 ms</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>