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A B S T R A C T

There is a need by the European Commission (EC) regulations, as well as others, to reduce the use of in vivo toxicity tests made on animals. Conventional in vitro tests were designed for non-nanoparticle sized entities, and therefore do not necessarily assess the adverse impacts of engineered nanoparticles (ENPs) on humans. Life cycle assessment (LCA) is a widely used methodology for evaluating the environmental performance of products. Nevertheless, the application of LCA on ENPs is difficult because the characterization factors (CFs) of ENPs, as significant input parameters in LCA, remain a major unknown. It is a premise of this study to monitor the chemiluminescence (CL) spectra resulting from the reactive oxygen species (ROS) trigger made from the presence of copper nanoparticles (CuNPs) to porcine neutrophils in vitro, thereby enabling to calculate the porcine and human toxicity CFs. The framework of a scientific consensus model, USEtox model, is selected and the midpoint of CF is set as the inflammation of pig or human. Finally, the present study recommends human and porcine inflammation CFs of CuNPs in Europe to be 1.07 and 2.90 CTU (comparative toxic units) respectively.
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1. Introduction

With the rapid development of newly engineered nanoparticles (ENPs) [1–3], and their putative introduction to the environment, there are serious safety concerns towards consumers and production staff and therefore should be considered since the ENPs are likely to enter the bloodstream of mammals via skin, inhalation and ingestion [4–6]. Neutrophils are an abundant type of white blood cell in mammals and are considered to be one of the earliest and most prominent immune defense responders, acting through various mechanisms including phagocytosis, degranulation, etc [7]. Once ENPs invade a blood system, neutrophils will find a role to play as an essential part of the innate immune system [8]. Many experiments have been performed to investigate the mechanisms of interactions between ENPs and human neutrophils [5,9–11]. However, to the best of our knowledge, using neutrophils to assess the toxicity of ENPs has never been reported and is the premise of our present study. In addition, when evaluating the potential impact of ENPs-containing products (especially the under-design ones) to humans, the experimentations fall short of expectation being either insufficient or impractical. Therefore, many assessment methods for human toxicity have been developed to help relieve the pressure of animal experimentation and provide the end-user with a decision-making tool [12–14]. One such method is the life cycle assessment (LCA) method that thrives to be an internationally standardized tool and has been recommended by the European Commission (EC) [15,16]. Characterization factors (CFs) are essential parameters for LCA and reflect the potential environmental impacts and relative importance of materials [17]. Nevertheless, there is a complete lack of human toxicity CFs towards various ENPs [18].

The authors have selected copper nanoparticles (CuNPs) as the representative ENPs, to in vitro investigate the chemiluminescence of freshly isolated neutrophils from pigs. The pig is usually considered as an excellent animal biomedical model for human, because of the many similarities between human and pig [19,20]. The present
work has two purposes. The first aim concerns the investigation of the impact of CuNPs on life-status of porcine neutrophils while observing their impact via chemiluminescent (CL) kinetic imprinting and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) characterization. The second aim uses the CL results to estimate porcine and human inflammation CFs of CuNPs under the framework of a scientific consensus model.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials and instrumentation

Heparin sodium (H3393), histopaque (10771), dextran (D4876), trypan (T8154), dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, D4540), glutaraldehyde (G7651), copper NPs (CuNPs, <100 nm, 634220) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Ethanol (02860) was provided by Fluka (Lyon, France). Luminol/Enhancer (Bio-Rad HRP, CA) was used to amplify chemiluminescence activity. Phosphate buffered saline (PBS buffer, pH 7.4) was freshly prepared in our lab using sodium chloride (S7653), sodium phosphate dibasic (94046) and sodium phosphate monobasic (71505) purchased from Sigma (France). Zymosan A (Z4250, sigma) suspension, used as a stimulating agent, in previous prepared Krebs-Ringer phosphate (KRP) medium was osonized for 30 min at 38 °C in a water bath (Grant Instruments Type VF, Cambridge Ltd, UK) before being used.

Prior to preparing “a” stock analyte solution, an autoclave-steam sterilizer (2540 ML-Tuttnauer, Netherlands) was used to sterilize deionized water produced by Millipore water purification system (Molsheim, France). A conventional optical microscope was used to count cells by a hemocytometer (Hauser scientific, Horsham, USA). Different dilutions of nano copper solutions were well dispersed by an ultrasonic water bath (Elmasonic S30H) and a vortex mixer (G560E, Scientific Industries Inc., USA). A centrifuge (Universal 320R, Andreas Hettich GmbH, Germany) was employed. The morphology of cells was characterized by a field emission scanning electron microscopy (Hitachi SU8030, Japan) with an accelerating voltage of 10 kV.

2.2. Experimentation methodologies

The experiments in this study were first based on a representative group of four pigs, and once similar tendencies were observed,
neutrophils (The conversion method and an example were shown in Supplementary Fig. S1). By subjecting the tube for a few seconds of hand-shaking we assume that both neutrophils and CuNPs were well dispersed. The 10 concentrations of CuNPs were 0, 0.1, 0.4, 1, 1.5, 2, 4, 10, 20, 40 μg/mln, respectively. During exposure, the mortality rates of neutrophils were calculated at six selected time points (0.875, 2.925, 5.025, 7.175, 9.025 and 11.025 h) with Trypan blue and Hemacytometer (Supplementary Fig. S2 illustrating the cell counting procedures). In addition, during the exposure, chemiluminescence (CL) spectra were also recorded for each concentration over six selected periods of time (0.5, 2.5, 4.6, 6.8, 8.7 and 10.7 h, respectively). CL measurements were performed within standard 96 wells Costar microtiter-plates (Corning, USA) and a luminometer (Luminoskan Ascent, Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). The relative areas under each CL spectrum were respectively calculated (an example of an original control CL spectrum is shown in Supplementary Fig. S3).

2.2.3. Morphology characterization of CuNPs and neutrophils

Three different sample preparation procedures were applied for the surface morphology characterization of CuNPs. For apristine sample, CuNPs powder without any treatment was spread onto a carbon conductive tape; for CuNPs in water, 200 μg/mln CuNPs solution with ddH2O was ultrasonicated for 5 min, followed by deposition of one drop of solution onto the gold-palladium covered glass substrate then dried for SEM imaging; furthermore, for CuNPs in KRP buffer solution, 200 μg/mln CuNPs in KRP solution was ultrasonicated for 5 min then followed the same procedures as for neutrophils to prepare the SEM samples. The preparation of gold-palladium covered glass substrate was described in Supplementary material (Section S5).

The neutrophils exposed to selected concentrations of CuNPs were all fixed in 2.5 wt% glutaraldehyde aqueous solutions overnight after certain time intervals. Then, the neutrophil suspension was centrifuged (4000 rpm, 5 min), followed by a stepwise dehydration using a series of graded ethanol aqueous solutions of 30%, 50%, 70%, 100% and 100% respectively. Each step was conducted for 10 min at room temperature followed by centrifugation (4000 rpm, 5 min). Hereafter, the neutrophils were well dispersed in absolute ethanol, and deposited as 2.5–5 μL solution onto the surface of prepared glass substrates. Prior to SEM characterization, the samples were dried freely in the hood and covered with a thin layer (2–3 nm) of gold-palladium to suppress the charging effects.

2.3. Characterization factor

Life cycle impact assessment (LCIA) is one of the conventional steps when conducting life cycle assessment (LCA), which could translate the emissions into indicators that reflect the environment and its health impacts [23]. The typical calculation in LCIA is based on the following equation:

\[
\text{Ecosystemorthumanimpact} = \sum M \times CF
\]  

where \( CF \) is the substance-specific characterization factor that expresses the potential impact of each single elementary material flow \( M \) contributing to the total impacts [24]. Characterization factor \( CF \) thus facilitates the comparisons between the different substances in terms of their ability of contribution to the impacts on ecosystem or human.

2.3.1. Selection of characterization model

Many characterization methods are available to calculate the CFs for the human toxicity impact category, such as IMPACT 2002+ [25], ReCiPe [26], EDIP2003 [27], MEEuP [28], USEtox [29], etc. A comprehensive comparison between these models were performed by

---

**Fig. 2.** (a) Calculated relative areas under CL spectra of neutrophils exposed to different concentrations of CuNPs for different durations; Error bars represent the standard deviation based on three replicas in one experiments. (b) Maximum values of calculated relative area under CL spectrums extracted from Fig. 2a. The size of the circle represents the relative area value.

it was sufficient to rely on experimental results from a single pig with replicas made thrice. It should be noted, however, that the calculation of characterization factors used the results from all four pigs.

2.2.1. Neutrophil isolation

For each experiment, porcine blood was collected from the external jugular vein of a clinically healthy domestic pig (<6 month, 100–110 kg) in the morning between 4:30 to 5:10 in a slaughter house near Troyes (Sicaba, Pont-Sainte-Marie, France). The use of pigs in this study was approved by the Service of Animal Health Protection and Environment in the Prefecture of Aube (approval no. 10-387-901). In the day of the experiment, 50 mL porcine blood was collected and anticoagulated with 7 mg Heparin sodium dissolved in 1 mL KRP medium. According to the gradient density centrifugation method as previously described [11,21,22], a slightly modified isolation protocol of porcine neutrophils was used and described in detail in the Supplementary material (Section S1).

2.2.2. Mortality rates calculation and chemiluminescence measurement

The freshly isolated porcine neutrophils were exposed to CuNPs at ten different concentrations in ten eppendorf tubes (1 mL) at 20 °C for about 11 h. Here, a specific concentration unit was introduced to better understand the relationship between CuNPs and neutrophils: μg/mln, which means the mass of CuNPs per million
Joint Research Centre of European Commission [16]. The compared models were rated under the criteria defined in ILCD Handbook: LCIA – Framework and Requirements [30]. Several features make the USEtox model stand out compared to others [16]. For example, the USEtox model accounts for a chemical’s fate in the ecosystem, human exposure and differences in toxicological response, while the MEEup model is not [16]. In addition, the USEtox model includes all vital model elements in a scientifically sound way for most substances, which makes it have the best compliance with the science-based criteria [16]. Therefore, the USEtox has been recommended as a scientific consensus method for the calculation of CFs by many international organizations such as the European Commission (EC), Business Council for Sustainable Development (WBCSD), etc [16,31,32]. In this study, the framework of the USEtox model has been selected for calculating the porcine and human toxicity CFs.

2.3.2. Model concept

In USEtox, a characterization factor (CF) describes a potential impact on human health related to the emission of a given substance. It can be expressed by [29]:

\[
CF = FF \times XF \times EF
\]

(2)

The human toxicity CF has a unit of comparative toxic units (CTU), which represents the estimated increase in morbidity in the total human population, per unit mass of a substance emitted (cases/kg_{emission}). The fate factor, FF (unit: day), represents the residence time of a substance in a particular environment (such as freshwater). The exposure factor, XF (unit: day^{-1}), reflects the rate at which a pollutant is able to transfer from a receiving compartment into the human population through a series of exposure pathways. The EF is the human effect factor (unit: cases/kg_{intake}) and reflects the change in the lifetime disease probability, due to the change in lifetime intake of a pollutant.

It should be highlighted that the chemiluminescence of exposed porcine neutrophils provides the essential data for calculating the effect factors (EFs) of CuNPs for both pigs and humans. The human-equivalent toxicological data could be obtained by applying an extrapolation factor for interspecies (pig to human) differences, which makes it possible to use toxicological data on laboratory animals to calculate the human toxicity CFs.

2.3.3. Fate factor and exposure factor calculation

The fate factor (FF) depends on the properties of the substances and the interaction compartments (e.g. from urban air to fresh water). Compared to materials in bulk phases, the ENPs show different fate behaviors after entering the environment. Several previously published studies proposed nano-specific fate models based on the colloidal science and the parameters of ENPs [15,33–36]. Main differences between these models are the different compartments (air, water and soil) and removal processes considered. A recently published model by our group took water and sediment account and proposed a FF of 1.803 day of CuNPs in Europe freshwater for eco-toxicity assessment [37]. In terms of the USEtox model, the FFs of a substance in a given compartment are the same for ecotoxicity and human toxicity [32]. Thus, in this study, the FF of 1.803 day of CuNPs in European freshwater was applied to calculate the characterization factors of CuNPs. The further details about the fate model could be found in the related reference [37].

In this study, the exposure factor (XF) was set as 1 conservatively due to the lack of bioaccumulation factors (BAF) of ENPs. The experimental data are unavailable for BAF and the estimation of BAF is also impracticable due to the invalid partition coefficients for ENPs [38]. Nevertheless, as one important factor in CF calculation, XF may have a big influence on the final CF values. Therefore, further investigations of XF are necessary in the future.
2.3.4. Effect factor calculation

The EF (cases/kg intake) in this paper reflects the change of the inflammation's probability due to the change of the CuNPs intake in the whole life time of either pig or human. Under the assumption of linearity in dose-response (up to where the life time disease probability is 0.5), EF equals [29]:

$$\text{EF} = \frac{0.5}{\text{ED50}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

ED50 (kg lifetime\(^{-1}\)) is the dose amount of ingested substance for either animal (ED50\(_{\text{a}}\), e.g. pig) or human (ED50\(_{\text{h}}\)) during whole its life time that causes an inflammation with a probability of 50%. The ED50\(_{\text{h}}\) of a human is calculated based on the following equation [29]:

$$\text{ED50}_{\text{h}} = \frac{\text{ED50}_{\text{a,t}} \cdot \text{BW}_{\text{h}} \cdot LT_{\text{h}} \cdot N}{AF_{\text{a}} \cdot AF_{\text{h}} \cdot 10^6}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

ED50\(_{\text{a,t}}\) (mg kg\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\)) is the dose for animal during exposure time \(t\) (day), \(AF_{\text{a}}\) is the extrapolation factor for interspecies differences (pig to human is 1.1) [29], because the ED50\(_{\text{h}}\) is derived from the toxic data of pigs. \(BW_{\text{h}}\) and \(LT_{\text{h}}\) respectively represent average body weight (70 kg, data from USEtox) and average lifetime of human (70 years, data from USEtox). \(N\) is the number of days per year (365 days/year\(^{-1}\)). Since chronic toxicity values have priority in USEtox, thus an extrapolation factor \(AF_{\text{t}}\) of 5 for acute to chronic exposure is applied.

In this study, in order to compare the impacts of CuNPs on human and pig, both porcine and human CFs were calculated. The ED50\(_{\text{a}}\) of pig can be calculated by:

$$\text{ED50}_{\text{a}} = \frac{\text{ED50}_{\text{a,t}} \cdot \text{BW}_{\text{a}} \cdot LT_{\text{a}} \cdot N}{AF_{\text{a}} \cdot AF_{\text{t}} \cdot 10^6}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where \(BW_{\text{a}}\) represents average body weight (110 kg, data from the abattoir). The lifetime of pig (LT\(_{\text{a}}\)) is between 10–20 years [20]. Thus, here, an average LT\(_{\text{a}}\) value of 15 years is used.

In this study, it was assumed that there are no individual differences among pigs or humans and when the amount of ROS produced by neutrophils decreases to the 50% amount of ROS of a control sample, the inflammation probability is 50%. Thereby, the ED50\(_{\text{a,t}}\) values were calculated based on the 50% calculated relative area under CL spectrum of the control samples. The detailed calculation method of ED50\(_{\text{a,t}}\) is described in Supplementary Fig. S4.
3. Results and discussion

3.1. Effects of CuNPs on neutrophils: mortality rates

Fig. 1a shows that the exposure durations and concentrations of CuNPs have both positive correlations on the mortality rates of neutrophils. For instance, after exposure for about 11 h, the mortality rate of neutrophils with 40 μg/ml CuNPs was found to be 92.04%, while that of no-CuNPs control neutrophils (unexposed cells to CuNPs) was merely 34.63%. Fig. 1b displays the mortality induced specifically by CuNPs by subtracting the mortality rates of the no-CuNPs control neutrophils. Within about 3 h, all the nine curves showed obvious upward trends. After the initial increase, the mortality rates induced by CuNPs remain steady, which means that the CuNPs may no longer influence the mortality of neutrophils after an exposure of 3–7 h. Fig. 1c shows the average mortality rate speed (AMRS) of neutrophils exposed to CuNPs. The detailed calculations were described by equation S4 and S5 in Supplementary material. In this study, the mortality rates of neutrophils were tested at six time points (0.875, 2.925, 5.025, 7.175, 9.025 and 11.025 h), which divided the whole test period into five stages (I, II, III, IV, V in Fig. 1c). When the concentration of CuNPs was below 0.1 μg/ml, the AMRS of neutrophils is observed to increase at the onset (stage I) and first half of stage II) then decreases (second half of stage II and stage III). In contrast, when the concentration of CuNPs is above 0.4 μg/ml, the AMRS of neutrophils continues a rapid decrease until 7 h (stage I, II and III). Nevertheless, for all the neutrophils exposed to the studied concentrations, the AMRS becomes stable in stage IV and V.

3.2. Effects of CuNPs on neutrophils: chemiluminescence kinetics

Inflammatory mediators such as nanomaterials can trigger neutrophils to produce reactive oxygen species (ROS) [39]. Since the intensity of light emitted by stimulated neutrophils is proportional to the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) [40,41], neutrophils’ capacity to generate ROS was indirectly estimated by conducting chemiluminescence (CL) measurement. The relative area under the CL spectrum represents the ability of neutrophils to generate ROS and has been found to be useful for detection of inflammatory diseases [41]. Fig. 2a shows the relative areas under CL curves of neutrophils exposed to nine concentrations of CuNPs at six selected time. All the curves in Fig. 2a have a trend of rise initially then fall to 0 at different CuNPs concentrations (see insert in Fig. 2a). It reveals that low concentrations of CuNPs could stimulate neutrophils, while high concentration CuNPs could inhibit the oxidative burst of neutrophils.

The mortality rates of neutrophils with time ~50 min are smaller than with ~3 h (Fig. 1a). However, the amounts of ROS for 0.5 h are lower than for 2.5 h when the concentration of CuNPs less than 5 μg/ml (Fig. 2a). It means that the lower concentration of CuNPs (<5 μg/ml) stimulated neutrophils slower than higher ones.

Fig. 2b presents the maximum values of the calculated relative area under CL spectra at six selected time points. Each circle indicates the given time point, at which concentration of CuNPs stimulate the neutrophils most. In Fig. 2b, the maximum relative area rises fast from 0.5 to 2.5 h, then declines continuously. The porcine neutrophils generate the most ROS at about 2.5 h. Such cellular behavior indicates that neutrophils would not be activated immediately when exposed to CuNPs. Furthermore, when the neutrophils are fully activated, the concentrations of CuNPs that most stimulate the neutrophils decrease along with time. We may infer that the neutrophils become increasingly sensitive along with their exposure to CuNPs.

3.3. Scanning electron microscope (SEM) characterization of CuNPs

The surface morphology of CuNPs is shown in Fig. 3. It reveals that the pristine CuNPs and the CuNPs dispersed in ddH2O are granulated and around 50–200 nm in diameter (Fig. 3a and b). After being dispersed in a salt-based KRP buffer solution, these same CuNPs were observed to form Cu nano-sheets (Fig. 3c and c1) due to some unknown mechanism. The plan dimension of highly anisotropic nanosheets can reach over 15 μm (Fig. 3c) while the thickness is estimated around 25 nm (Fig. 3c1 inset).

3.4. Rearrangement of copper nano-sheets initiated by porcine neutrophils

Fig. 4 shows the morphology of neutrophils before and after being exposed to 40 μg/ml CuNPs for different durations via scanning electron microscope (SEM) imaging. Moreover, similar characterization on neutrophils exposed to 20 μg/ml CuNPs was also reported in Supplementary Fig. S4. The size of unexposed porcine neutrophils is about 3 μm and the cells are rounded with membrane folds, as similar as the results in previous reporting [42,19]. It is obvious that CuNPs already changed to nano-sheets at 0.4 h incubation with neutrophils and the size of nano-sheets is around 3–5 μm. The morphology of neutrophils changed after exposure to 40 μg/ml CuNPs (Fig. 4b–d). After incubation with CuNPs for 1.1 h, the phagocytosis phenomenon of neutrophils was observed as shown in Fig. 4c. Interestingly, the neutrophils were surrounded by copper nano-sheet particles, at a considerably larger size (Fig. 4d). The thickness of a single copper nano-sheet covering the neutrophils, ranges from 20 to 50 nm (estimated from Fig. 4d1) similar to the original nano-sheets in Fig. 3c1. Nevertheless, the plan dimension of nanosheets is about 2–5 μm, which is much smaller than the nanosheets without neutrophils. In addition, it should be noted that after neutrophils were exposed to 40 μg/ml CuNPs for 8 h, the CL signal decreased to 0, despite the fact that there were still about 10% live neutrophils (see Fig. 1a). Here two reasons are proposed. On one hand, neutrophils may ‘exhaust’ themselves during their interactions with the copper particles, thus reducing their light signal output drastically, though they are observed to be alive; on the other hand, the layers of copper nano-sheets may scatter and quench some of the light produced by the CL reaction. Moreover, it was found when the CuNPs concentration was lower than 2 μg/ml, there was no coverage of copper nano-sheets on neutrophils, while the coverage started to be observed from 2 μg/ml CuNPs onwards (Supplementary Fig. S5). Thus, even though the same pristine CuNPs (Fig. 3a) were used in all the experiments, the morphology of CuNPs during the experiment became complex, which may be influenced by the CuNPs concentrations and exposure time with neutrophils.

3.5. Characterization factor calculation

The EFs for pig and human over time (values are listed in Supplementary Table S1) were respectively calculated based on the average values of $ED50_{a,t}$, which were derived from the chemiluminescence results. Fig. 5 shows the human and porcine inflammation CFs based on different exposure periods. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first time that the porcine toxicity CFs are reported. To simplify the comparison, the porcine toxicity CF keeps the same unit (CTU) as human toxicity CF but has a slightly different meaning. The CF for porcine toxicity impact represents the potential increase in morbidity in pigs per unit mass of a chemical emitted. Since the human inflammation CFs are based on the same porcine toxicological data, both human and porcine inflammation CFs display similar upward trends over time. No matter in which exposure period, the
inflammation’s cases of pig are 2.7 times higher than that of human. Except the employed extrapolation factor for interspecies differences (pig to human: 1:1), the different body weight and life-time between human and pig are also reasons causing the CFs variation between human and pig. The body weight of pig is about 1.6 times heavier than human, while the lifespan of pig is ~4.7 times shorter than human.

The CFs values for 24 h and 8 h are respectively about 3000 and 20 times of CFs values for 2 h. It indicates that exposure time is a significant parameter when calculating CFs. Here, we give the recommendations for using CFs values of 8 h in the future life cycle assessment (LCA) of CuNPs containing products, because the human neutrophils are considered short-lived cells with a half-life in the circulation of approximately 8 h in humans [43]. Therefore, the recommended human and porcine inflammation CFs are 1.07 and 2.90 CTU respectively. Nevertheless, it should be noted that the recruitment of neutrophils is not considered, which may overestimate the CFs values.

4. Conclusion

In summary, a rapid and promising method to evaluate the impacts of copper nanoparticles on pig and human via a combination of in vitro experiments and assessment model is reported. The results by further toxicity evaluation with USEtox model were considered representative, despite the fact that some assumptions and simplifications were made in the calculation of CFs. In the case of CuNPs, it was found that both the exposure time and concentrations of CuNPs have a positive correlation on neutrophil mortality rates. The CL kinetic results indicated that the neutrophils exposed 2.5 h to CuNPs showed the highest activity ability. In addition, an estimated rearrangement of copper, nano-sheets initiated by porcine neutrophils was also presented. Furthermore, a porcine and human inflammation characterization factor of 1.07 and 2.90 CTU for CuNPs was recommended respectively. These CF values for CuNPs could be used in the future for assessing the risks of CuNPs containing products by LCA method.
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Fig. 5. Human and porcine inflammation CFs for different exposure periods. Error bars represent the standard deviation based on four individual pigs. The CFs values of 24 h are derived from the exponential extension of the original toxic data (details can be found in Supplementary Fig. S6).
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