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**ABSTRACT**

A new approach for vibration reduction of flexible structures subject to damage is here proposed, based on modal $H_\infty$-norm control. Considering that structural damage provokes different effects on each vibration mode, the proposed method concentrates the control action on modes that are indeed suffering the worst damage consequences. For this purpose, a new modal $H_\infty$ norm is introduced, weighing each mode according to control design convenience. Based on this norm, a regular $H_\infty$ controller design is applied, using the linear matrix inequality approach. Simulated and experimental results show significant advantages of the proposed methodology over the regular $H_\infty$ approach, including damage tolerance.

1. Introduction

Advances in materials and associated technologies have been conducting to larger, lightweight, and more flexible structures. Consequently, these structures are more sensitive to vibrations caused by ambient disturbances, which may lead to performance worsening and eventually fatigue damage. Considering the trend of adopting light structures to nowadays engineering systems like aerospace applications, robotic systems, and communication antennae (Hu & Ng, 2005), the interest in active vibration control has been substantially increasing and several different techniques have been developed (Gu & Song, 2005; Khot, Yelve, Tomar, Desai, & Vittal, 2011; Marinaki, Marinakis, & Stavroulakis, 2010; Petersen & Pota, 2003; Zabihollah, Sedaghati, & Ganesan, 2007). However, regular active vibration control methods do not take into account the possibility of damage occurrence. To include damage compensation, guaranteeing an acceptable closed-loop performance, this paper proposes a novel modal $H_\infty$-norm-based methodology to design damage-tolerant active controllers. Its application involves several aspects about vibration control, modal control, and fault-tolerant control, related to robustness and how to preserve performance under structural damage (Mechbal & Nóbrega, 2012).

Structural active control methods are bound to take into account a reduced order model. Continuous mechanical structures have an infinite number of vibration modes, implying that there exist always neglected dynamics in the control system design, leading to the definition of a frequency bandwidth of interest. The spillover phenomenon may be considered the main active control limitation for real applications, corresponding to the excitation of natural frequencies above this interest bandwidth. Failure in properly considering spillover in the controller design usually leads to instability (Balas, 1978, 1979; Meirovitch & Baruh, 1985; Meirovitch, Baruh, & Oz, 1983). Some proposed techniques render the problem manageable, focusing on smart structures with a great number of collocated sensors and actuators (Inman, 2001). The collocated approach corresponds to place sensors and actuators in the same location in the structure. Considering that real applications using collocated methods are not common, control technique development for noncollocated mechanical structures has been receiving the attention of the scientific community (Kim & Oh, 2013; Mechbal, Vergé, Coffignal, & Ganapathi, 2006; Schröck, Meurer, & Kugi, 2011). However, noncollocated systems have more complex dynamics with non-minimum-phase zeros, making it difficult to achieve closed-loop robustness and performance (Gosiewski & Kulesza, 2013; Mastery & Chalhoub, 2014; Preumont, 2011). Despite the progress achieved so far, the vibration control of noncollocated structures remains challenging.

Several control methods have been proposed to mitigate structure vibrations with different success levels. The spillover problem and also parameter variation are the main issues that have incurred in closed-loop instability (Bosi, Rottenbacher, Mimmi, & Magni, 2011; Mohamed, Martins, Tokhi, Sá da Costa, & Botto, 2005). However, these methods are generally inadequate to be applied to plants with dynamic uncertainties because they are very sensitive to model inaccuracy (Tang & Chen, 2009). $H_\infty$-based control methods are able to handle both uncertainties and parameter variations, and have been successfully used to suppress low-order vibration modes (Boulet, Francis, Hughes, & Hong, 2001; Halim, 2004; Robu, Budinger,
approach has been applied to active vibration control (Nonami & Sivrioglu, 1996; Zhang, He, Wang, & Gao, 2009; Genari, Oliveira Neto, Nóbrega, Mechbal, & Coffignal, 2015). The $H_\infty$ controller goal is to minimize the worst mode performance in the bandwidth of interest, while avoiding the excitation of the neglected dynamics. To achieve this effect, conveniently designed weighting filters are necessary to ensure the desired frequency distribution (Serpa & Nóbrega, 2005). The approach generally deals with low frequency bands as a whole, which prevents mode selectivity in terms of both amplitude and frequency in the interest bandwidth. This behavior compromises the interest-bandwidth excitation as a result of the so-called water bed effect (Zhou & Doyle, 1997).

Early known papers by Balas (1978) and Meirovitch et al. (1983) introduced the modal control aiming for specific structure modes. Meirovitch developed the independent modal space control (IMSC), which changes each mode damping. However, IMSC was very sensitive with respect to spillover and improvements were proposed to make the method more tolerant to this phenomenon (Baz & Poh, 1990; Fang, Li, & Jeary, 2003; Resta, Ripamonti, Cazzulani, & Ferrari, 2010). In parallel, other modal methods have been developed (Inman, 2001; Kim, Wang, & Brennan, 2011; Pereira, Aphale, Felu, & Mohimeiani, 2011). Despite the evolution, an efficient modal controller to face the spillover effects is still an open problem (Braghin, Cinquemani, & Resta, 2012; Cinquemani, Ferrari, & Bayati, 2015; Serra, Resta, & Ripamonti, 2013). A possible solution is to formulate this control system technique by merging the features of both modal and $H_\infty$ controls. Thus, the modal selection ability of modal techniques may be incorporated with the robustness to spillover and to parametric variation of the $H_\infty$ method.

This paper contribution is twofold: a technique for this merging approach, based on a novel modal $H_\infty$ norm, which can be included in a regular $H_\infty$ controller design; and the application of this control technique to achieve active damage tolerance. In addition, the proposed approach presents the capability to be applied to multiple-transducer noncollocated systems. The modal $H_\infty$ norm conveniently weights each mode, considering the intended modes to be reduced and conducting to modal control selectivity. The problem is then solved as a classic $H_\infty$ design, using a linear matrix inequality (LMI) approach.

Robustness and performance of the closed-loop systems are directly influenced by the accuracy of control models. However, structural dynamics are sensitive to operational conditions, temperature, and structural damage (Chomette, Rémond, Chesné, & Gaudiller, 2008; Mechbal & Nóbrega, 2015a). In most cases, the effects caused by operational condition variations can be mitigated by incorporating these changes in the controller design. On the other hand, model changes due to structural damage are not easily incorporated into the control design because damage influence in the structure dynamics is difficult to predict. Nevertheless, it is important to include the damage possibility in the structural active control design, in order to assure an acceptable performance (Genari, Mechbal, Coffignal, & Nóbrega, 2015). The structural active vibration control considering these characteristics is referred to as damage-tolerant active control (DTAC) (Mechbal & Nóbrega, 2012). DTAC is a research area derived from fault-tolerant control, focusing on the vibration control solution for mechanical structures subject to damage (Mechbal & Nóbrega, 2015b).

The objective of this paper is to propose the modal $H_\infty$ methodology for vibration control of flexible structures and further explore its application to damaged structures. A modal method has been recently proposed to prevent fatigue damage in Chomette, Chesné, Rémond, and Gaudiller (2010). However, the goal here is more general because the modal $H_\infty$ methodology is investigated to ensure an adequate performance before and after the damage occurrence. The proposed technique is based on the $H_\infty$ methodology to support the parameter variations. To guarantee robustness in case of damage is not enough because robustness increase usually leads to performance loss. Thereby, the DTAC strategy here proposed aims to mitigate the damage influence in the global vibration result, while inducing a small impact on the controller performance. The proposed methodology is analyzed and compared with regular $H_\infty$ control cases, based on experiments and simulations with different levels of complexity. For illustration purposes, a structure model using four masses connected by springs and dampers is adopted. Damage is simulated by changes in mass, stiffness, and damping coefficients. This example allows easy analysis of the modal $H_\infty$ controller performance for DTAC applications. Then, the methodology is experimentally evaluated on two similar aluminum cantilever beams with noncollocated piezoelectric transducers. The first one is a regular beam, which is considered to be healthy, and a damage simulation is introduced into the other. A regular $H_\infty$ controller is designed, whose performance is used as a reference. Afterward, modal controllers are designed with different modal weights in order to show the increase of the global vibration reduction. Results indicate the effectiveness of the methodology along with performance improvement compared to a regular $H_\infty$ controller for damage tolerance.

This paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, the state-space model of a flexible structure is defined, in which the modal representation is introduced; in Section 3, the modal $H_\infty$ norm is presented and the modal control is written as an equivalent regular $H_\infty$ control problem; in Section 4, the validation of the modal $H_\infty$ control methodology for regular and DTAC applications is presented, where the simulated and experimental results are analyzed; final remarks are then presented in Section 5.

### 2. State-space modal representation

Structure behavior is commonly represented by a second-order differential equation, which is basic to finite element modeling and can be written in the state-space representation used in the control area. Considering the nodal second-order approach, a generic flexible structure can be modeled by the following equation:

\[
M\ddot{q}(t) + D\dot{q}(t) + Kq(t) = f(t),
\]

in which $p(t)$ denotes the displacements, $M$ is the mass matrix, $D$ is the damping matrix, $K$ is the stiffness matrix, $p_i(t)$ denotes the input matrix, and $f(t)$ represents the external forces acting on the structure. Usually, the flexible structure models have a large order and this complicates the dynamic analysis due to numerical difficulties and high computational costs. Thus, it is convenient to write an equivalent system with a proper number of modes. The modal coordinates are often used in the dynamic analysis to reduce the model order. Assuming that $m$ is the number of selected modes, the modal matrix is defined as:

\[
\Phi = [\phi_1 \ \phi_2 \ \ldots \ \phi_m].
\]

Considering a coordinate transformation given by $p(t) = \Phi q(t)$, and pre-multiplying (1) by $\Phi^T$:

\[
\Phi^T M \Phi q(t) + \Phi^T D \Phi \dot{q}(t) + \Phi^T K \Phi q(t) = \Phi^T B \dot{f}(t)
\]

\[
M_{\text{red}} \ddot{q}(t) + D_{\text{red}} \dot{q}(t) + K_{\text{red}} \dot{q}(t) = \Phi^T B \dot{f}(t),
\]

in which $M_{\text{red}} = \Phi^T M \Phi$, $D_{\text{red}} = \Phi^T D \Phi$, and $K_{\text{red}} = \Phi^T K \Phi$. While matrices $M_{\text{red}}$ and $K_{\text{red}}$ are diagonal, $D_{\text{red}}$ is not always diagonal. For analytical convenience, the damping matrix is considered as a linear combination of stiffness and mass matrices, $D = \alpha M + \beta K$. In general, this approach is justified by the fact that flexible structures have very small damping factors.

Pre-multiplying (2) by $M_{\text{red}}^{-1}$, which is assumed nonsingular, it results in

\[
q(t) + M_{\text{red}}^{-1} D_{\text{red}} \dot{q}(t) + M_{\text{red}}^{-1} K_{\text{red}} q(t) = M_{\text{red}}^{-1} \Phi^T B \dot{f}(t)
\]

and equivalently:

\[
\ddot{q}(t) + 2\Omega^2 q(t) + \Omega^2 \dot{q}(t) = \Phi^T B \dot{f}(t),
\]

where $\Omega = \sqrt{\lambda_{\text{red}}}$. This example allows easy analysis of the modal $H_\infty$ controller performance for DTAC applications. Then, the methodology is experimentally evaluated on two similar aluminum cantilever beams with noncollocated piezoelectric transducers. The first one is a regular beam, which is considered to be healthy, and a damage simulation is introduced into the other. A regular $H_\infty$ controller is designed, whose performance is used as a reference. Afterward, modal controllers are designed with different modal weights in order to show the increase of the global vibration reduction. Results indicate the effectiveness of the methodology along with performance improvement compared to a regular $H_\infty$ controller for damage tolerance.
in which $\Omega^2 = M_s^2 K_m$, $Z = 0.5M_s^2 D_m \Omega^{-1}$, $B_m = M_s^2 \Phi B_s$, and $q(t)$ represents the modal displacement vector.

Eq. (3), a structure modal representation, is a set of uncoupled second-order differential equations and can be written as a set of $m$ independent equations:

$$\ddot{q}_i(t) + 2\xi_i \omega_i \dot{q}_i(t) + \omega_i^2 q_i(t) = b_{m,i} f(t), \quad i = 1, \ldots, m,$$  

in which $\omega_i$ and $\xi_i$ are respectively the natural frequency and the damping factor of mode $i$.

From (4), it is easy to express the set of second-order differential equations as a space-state modal equation:

$$\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bf(t),$$

where $x(t)$ represents the state vector, $B$ is a modal input matrix, and $A$ is a block-diagonal matrix. The state variable definition conducts to a specific modal model, of which some examples are given in Gawronski (2004). However, for all these canonical models, $A$ and $B$ have the following representation:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & A_0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & A_m \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ \vdots \\ b_m \end{bmatrix},$$

where $A_i$ is a $2 \times 2$ matrix for $i = 1 \ldots m$, therefore isolating each mode.

### 3. Modal robust control

The next subsections present the modal $H_\infty$ control technique details. Initially, the modal $H_\infty$ norm is defined from the $L_2$-induced norm. Then, the modal $H_\infty$ problem is formulated as a regular $H_\infty$ problem. Finally, the last subsection shows that the modal robust controller design can be formulated as a convex programming problem, based on an LMI approach.

#### 3.1. Modal norm definition

This subsection introduces the modal $H_\infty$ norm. Initially, the relation between the $H_\infty$ norm of a linear time-invariant system and the respective modal behavior is presented. Then, the involved concepts are used to propose the modal $H_\infty$ norm.

Consider a stable linear time-invariant system with distinct natural frequencies represented by the transfer matrix $G(s)$, where $s$ is the Laplace variable. Assuming a disturbance $W(s)$ such that $w(t) \in L_2$, the respective system output is given by $Y(s) = G(s)W(s)$. Dividing the system frequency response into $m$ bands, with only one mode in each band, leads to

$$Y(s) = \sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{Y}_j(s) = \sum_{j=1}^m G_j W_j = \sum_{j=1}^m G_j F_j [W(s)],$$

(6)

where $F_j$ is an ideal bandpass filter and $W_j(s)$ is the disturbance at band $i$.

The induced $H_\infty$ norm of $G(s)$ may be written as:

$$\| G(s) \|^2_{H_\infty} = \sup_{w \neq 0, w \in L_2(0, \infty)} \frac{\int_0^\infty Y(t) W(t) dt}{\int_0^\infty W^2(t) W(t) dt},$$

(7)

where $y(t)$ and $w(t)$ are respectively the output and the input of $G(s)$ in the time domain. Eq. (7) may be written as:

$$\| G(s) \|^2_{H_\infty} = \sup_{w \neq 0, w \in L_2(0, \infty)} \frac{\int_0^\infty (\sum_{j=1}^m y_j(t))^2 (\sum_{j=1}^m w_j(t)) dt}{\int_0^\infty (\sum_{j=1}^m w_j(t))^2 (\sum_{j=1}^m w_j(t)) dt}.$$

Or, introducing $\delta_j$ and $\delta_m$ to represent the cross terms for $i \neq j$, it leads to

$$\| G(s) \|^2_{H_\infty} = \sup_{w \neq 0, w \in L_2(0, \infty)} \frac{\int_0^\infty (\sum_{j=1}^m y_j(t))^2 (\sum_{j=1}^m w_j(t) + \delta_j) dt}{\int_0^\infty (\sum_{j=1}^m w_j(t) + \delta_m) dt}.$$  

The following theorem has the objective of proving that the contribution of these cross terms can be disregarded, aiming for a system representation that is more suitable to achieve an $H_\infty$ controller design.

#### Theorem 1

Given a scalar $\gamma > 0$ and a stable linear time-invariant system with distinct natural frequencies represented by the transfer matrix $G(s)$, where the output signal vector $y(t)$ is decomposed into $m$ frequency bands according to (6), if

$$\sup_{w \neq 0, w \in L_2(0, \infty)} \frac{\int_0^\infty y_j^2(t) y(t) dt}{\int_0^\infty w^2(t) w(t) dt} < \gamma^2,$$

then

$$\sup_{w \neq 0, w \in L_2(0, \infty)} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^m \int_0^\infty y_j^2(t) y(t) dt}{\sum_{j=1}^m \int_0^\infty w_j^2(t) w(t) dt} < \gamma^2.$$

#### Proof.

The $H_\infty$-norm definition (7) bounded by the scalar $\gamma$ may be written as:

$$\| G(s) \|^2_{H_\infty} = \sup_{w \neq 0, w \in L_2(0, \infty)} \frac{\int_0^\infty y_j^2(t) y(t) dt}{\int_0^\infty w^2(t) w(t) dt} < \gamma^2.$$

Considering the Parseval’s theorem, it is possible to write the following expression:

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \int_0^\infty y_j^2(t) y(t) dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^m y_j^2(\omega) y_j(\omega) d\omega$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} W_j^* (\omega) G_j^*(\omega) G_j (\omega) W_j (\omega) d\omega$$

$$\leq \| G(s) \|^2_{H_\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} W_j^* (\omega) W_j (\omega) d\omega$$

This leads to

$$\| G(s) \|^2_{H_\infty} \sup_{w \neq 0, w \in L_2(0, \infty)} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^m \int_0^\infty y_j^2(t) y(t) dt}{\sum_{j=1}^m \int_0^\infty w_j^2(t) w(t) dt} < \gamma^2,$$

Considering that $\| G(s) \|^2_{H_\infty} < \gamma^2$, it is possible to conclude that

$$\sup_{w \neq 0, w \in L_2(0, \infty)} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^m \int_0^\infty y_j^2(t) y(t) dt}{\sum_{j=1}^m \int_0^\infty w_j^2(t) w(t) dt} < \gamma^2.$$  

This proves the theorem. □
in the same region. For each frequency band, the main contribution to the amplitude of \( F_i(s) \) is due to the amplitude of the resonant frequency response in the band, with the addition of all the other mode responses in the same band (Gawronska, 2008). Taking into account that the \( H_{\infty} \) norm is an intrinsic characteristic of the system, it does not depend on the excitation. In particular, the response to the summation of the modal excitations is bounded by the \( H_{\infty} \) norm (Gawronska, 2004). So, it may be written that

\[
\sup_{\omega \in \Omega, y \in \mathbb{C}} \left\{ \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \right\} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_0^\infty \left[ \int_0^\infty y_i(t) y_i(t) dt \right] dt < \gamma^2.
\]

(8)

Based on (8), it is possible to define a weighted norm to balance the contribution of each mode.

**Proposition 1.** The weighted modal \( H_{\infty} \) norm of a stable linear time-invariant system \( G(s) \) with distinct natural frequencies is proposed as:

\[
\| G(s) \|_{H_{\infty}} = \sup_{\omega \in \Omega, y \in \mathbb{C}} \left\{ \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \right\} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_0^\infty \left[ \int_0^\infty y_i(t) Q y_i(t) dt \right] dt < \gamma^2.
\]

in which \( y_i(t) = [y_1(t), y_2(t), \ldots, y_m(t)] \), \( Q \) is a diagonal matrix, and \( Q \) is the modal performance vectors.

3.2. Modal control problem

The following plant in the state-space representation is generally adopted to design the \( H_{\infty} \) controllers:

\[
x(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t), \quad z(t) = Cx(t) + Du(t), \quad y(t) = C_2 x(t) + Du_2 u(t),
\]

(9)

in which \( y(t) \) is the output vector, \( u(t) \) represents the control signal vector, \( w(t) \) is the disturbance vector, and \( z(t) \) is the performance vector chosen by the controller design; \( A \) is the system matrix, \( B = [B_1, B_2] \) is the input matrix, and matrices \( C \) and \( D \) balance the state vector and input signals to create \( y(t) \) and \( z(t) \). All vectors and matrices have appropriate dimensions related to the number of inputs and outputs and to the model order.

The \( H_{\infty} \) control problem is to find a controller \( K_c \) to the plant (9), if there is one, stated as:

\[
x_c(t) = A_c x_c(t) + B_c y(t), \quad u(t) = C_2 x_c(t) + D_2_1 w(t) + D_2 u(t),
\]

(10)

such that, for the closed-loop system and given a \( \gamma > 0 \),

\[
\inf_{K_c \in V} \sup_{\omega \in \Omega, \omega \in \mathbb{C}} \left\{ \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \right\} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_0^\infty \left[ \int_0^\infty y_i(t) y_i(t) dt \right] dt < \gamma^2.
\]

which leads to

\[
z^\infty (t) z_p(t) = x(t) Q x(t).
\]

(11)

Based on Theorem 1 and statement (11), it follows:

\[
\inf_{K_c \in V} \sup_{\omega \in \Omega, \omega \in \mathbb{C}} \left\{ \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \right\} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_0^\infty \left[ \int_0^\infty y_i(t) y_i(t) dt \right] dt < \gamma^2.
\]

which comes to

\[
\| T_{p_w}(s) \|_{H_{\infty}, Q} < \gamma.
\]

This proves the theorem. □

The proposed modal \( H_{\infty} \) technique allows that the control system designer prioritizes a specific mode vibration reduction by changing only its respective weighting matrix. For instance, when a structure is submitted to severe stress conditions due to external excitation of a mode. The excitation persistency may affect the structure lifetime,
potentially causing damage that may result in vibration increase. This cycle may contribute to damage severity increase, leading to undesirable consequences such as catastrophic failure. Thus, an adequate modal control is needed to mitigate this undesired cycle.

3.3. Modal control solution

It was shown that the modal control solution is obtained using the regular $H_{\infty}$ approach (Gahinet, Nemirovski, Laub, & Chilali, 1995; Gawronski, 2004; Zhou & Doyle, 1997). Usually, the $H_{\infty}$ controllers are computed using two different methodologies: Riccati equations and LMIs. The LMI approach has the advantage that it is possible to include additional restriction equations into the problem and that the final minimization problem keeps its convexity, permitting to be solved by efficient interior-point methods (Chilali & Gahinet, 1996; Nesterov & Nemirovski, 1994).

The $H_{\infty}$ control problem can be summarized in the block diagram of Fig. 1. In this figure, the filters $F_k$ and $F^*_k$ are applied to the control signal vector $u(t)$ and the performance vector $z(t)$, generating respectively $z_k(t)$ and $z^*_k(t)$. The disturbance forces are represented by $w(t)$ and the output vector is $y(t)$. The nominal plant is denoted by $G_m$, the generalized plant, by $G_{pr}$, and the nominal controller is $K_c$.

The weighing filters are key elements in the controller design process and often involve many iterations and fine tuning. To obtain a good trade-off between performance and robustness, $F_k(s)$ is designed as a low-pass weighing filter. To avoid spillover phenomenon, $F^*_k(s)$ is designed as a high-pass weighing filter. In general, the weighing filters are designed as (Zhou & Doyle, 1997):

$$F_k(s) = \left( \frac{s + \omega_n^2 M}{s + \omega_n \sqrt{\epsilon}} \right)^k$$

and

$$F^*_k(s) = \left( \frac{s + \omega_n^2 M}{s + \omega_n \sqrt{\epsilon}} \right)^k,$$

in which $\omega_n$, $k$, $\epsilon$, and $M$ determine the transition frequency between rejection band and passband, the filter order, the gain at passband, and the gain at rejection band, respectively.

The $H_{\infty}$ norm of the transfer function between the disturbance $w(t)$ and the performance output $z_k(t) = [z^*_k(t) z_k(t)]^T$ is designated by $\|T_{w\epsilon}\|_{\infty}$. Thus, the $H_{\infty}$ controller design consists in finding a central controller $K_c$ that minimizes $\|T_{w\epsilon}\|_{\infty}$. It is usual to solve a suboptimal problem that minimizes $\|T_{w\epsilon}\|_{\infty}$ through iterations, as presented in Section 3.2. Therefore, the robust controller design can be formulated as a convex programming problem, adopting a well-known procedure presented in Gahinet and Apkarian (1994) and Gahinet et al. (1995).}

4. Simulated programming and experimental results

This section presents experimental and analytical results concerning DTAC. Initially, the modal $H_{\infty}$ control methodology is examined in a simple structure with four masses connected by springs and dampers, and damage is simulated through changes in these parameters. This structure is used to examine the proposed technique for different damage locations and severities. After that, the methodology is experimentally tested using two similar aluminum beams, one integer and the second with a simulated damage, both with cantilever boundary conditions and noncollocated piezoelectric transducers.

4.1. Simulated structure results

The simulated structure is presented in Fig. 2. This example is used for illustration purposes, allowing easy reproducibility and easy DTAC application analysis. Masses, stiffness, and damping coefficients are represented respectively by $m_e$, $k_e$, and $d_e$ for $e = 1, \ldots, 4$. The signal $u(t)$ represents the control signal acting on mass $m_1$, and $u(t)$ is the control signal acting on mass $m_4$. The outputs $y_1(t)$ and $y_2(t)$ are the displacements of masses $m_2$ and $m_3$, respectively.

This simple structure can be modeled by (1):

$$Mp(t) + Dp(t) + Kp(t) = \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & B_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} w(t) \\ \epsilon(t) \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} m_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & m_4 \end{bmatrix}, D = \begin{bmatrix} d_1 + d_2 & -d_2 & 0 & 0 \\ -d_2 & d_1 + d_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -d_3 & d_3 + d_4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -d_4 & d_4 \end{bmatrix},$$

and $B_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $B_2 = \begin{bmatrix} k_1 + k_2 - k_3 \\ k_2 + k_3 - k_4 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

The control objective is to reduce the vibration of masses $m_2$ and $m_3$ due to disturbance $u(t)$ on mass $m_1$. Thus, to design the regular $H_{\infty}$ controller, it is necessary to transform the model into the state-space form:

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -M^{\ast}K & M^{\ast} \end{bmatrix}$$

and $B_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ M^{-1}B_1 \end{bmatrix}, B_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ M^{-1}B_2 \end{bmatrix}$.

To simulate this simple structure, mass and stiffness values are selected as $m_1 = 3$ kg, $m_2 = 2$ kg, $m_3 = 2$ kg, $m_4 = 3$ kg, $k_1 = 4800$ N/m, $k_2 = 2200$ N/m, $k_3 = 1200$ N/m, and $k_4 = 500$ N/m. The proportional damping matrix is adopted according to: $D = 0.0005K + 0.001M$. The modal model (9) is obtained using the function $canon$ and the $H_{\infty}$ controllers are designed using $mincx$, both functions of MATLAB®. The open-loop and closed-loop results are shown in Fig. 3. The regular $H_{\infty}$ controller (RC) reduces peak vibration in the four modes, but it is still possible to increase performance by using the modal $H_{\infty}$ controller (MC). Analyzing $T_{1,2}$ presented in Fig. 3a, the greatest reduction corresponds to the first mode. Thus, to increase control performance, modes 2, 3, and 4 should be weighted, focusing on modes 3 and 4 due to the small vibration reduction using the RC. The weights for modes 1, 2, 3, and 4 are chosen as 0.6, 1.3, 1.6, and 1.6, respectively. The RC performance for $T_{3,2}$ is similar to $T_{3,2}$. However, the vibration reduction should be increased in modes 2 and 3 because the mode 4 has a small
magnitude, as can be seen in Fig. 3b. For $T_{zw}$, the weights are respectively 1, 1.6, 1.4, and 0.6 for modes 1, 2, 3, and 4. Thereby, the weighing matrices are depicted as:

$$Q_i^+ = \begin{bmatrix} 0.6 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad Q_i^+ = \begin{bmatrix} 1.3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1.6 \end{bmatrix}, \quad Q_i^+ = \begin{bmatrix} 1.6 & 0 \\ 0 & 1.4 \end{bmatrix},$$

and $Q_i^+ = \begin{bmatrix} 1.6 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.6 \end{bmatrix}$.

Fig. 3 presents the comparison between the open-loop and closed-loop systems, demonstrating the performance increase in the weighted modes. To test the effectiveness of the MC for DTAC applications, the damage is simulated in the structure through changes in its mass and stiffness values, causing natural frequency shift and changes in damping of the structure modes. For this purpose, four configurations are induced sequentially to simulate damage severity increase:

1. Healthy: no changes in mass or stiffness (reference model).
2. Damage 1: reduction in masses $m_2$ and $m_3$ of 10% relative to the reference model.
3. Damage 2: reduction in stiffness coefficients $k_1$ and $k_4$ of 10% relative to configuration 2.
4. Damage 3: reduction in stiffness coefficients $k_2$ and $k_3$ of 20% relative to configuration 3.

The MC and the RC designed for the healthy structure are examined for DTAC using the models of configurations 2–4. The performance comparison between the RC and the MC is presented in Fig. 4. For all configurations, the MC is more effective in vibration reduction than the RC, as can be noted by analyzing the frequency responses presented in Fig. 4a and b. These results show that an appropriate vibration reduction in each mode decreases damage effects in the controlled system performance. However, this performance increase generally implies an increase in the control effort, as can be seen in Fig. 4c.

4.2. Experimental results

The experimental setup consists of two similar aluminum beams of 700 mm with cantilever boundary conditions and rectangular cross sections of 3.2 mm×32 mm. In each side of the beams, a PZT element with dimensions of 0.3 mm×20 mm×30 mm is glued 50 mm away from the origin and is used as an actuator. To apply the disturbance signal, PZTs with dimensions of 0.2 mm×20 mm×30 mm are glued to both sides of the beams 120 mm far from the origin. As a sensor, a PZT with dimensions of 0.5 mm×20 mm×20 mm is glued 110 mm away from the end on one side of each beam. The beams are presented in Fig. 5a.
obtained directly from truncation of the identified model, whose performance matrices are adopted as $C_1 = C_2$ and $D_{11} = D_{12} = 0$. In the simulations and experiments, a chirp signal with band between 78 Hz and 500 Hz, duration of 5.4 s, and amplitude of 0.5 V is considered as disturbance.

The RC is initially designed and its performance is used as the reference. The RC reduces the vibration level over the four modes, three modes in the interest band and another out, as can be seen in Fig. 8. To analyze the weighing effects in the modes, three configurations are created:

1. MC1: $[Q_1^{(1)} Q_2^{(1)} Q_3^{(1)}] = [0.5 1.0 1.2]$;
2. MC2: $[Q_1^{(2)} Q_2^{(2)} Q_3^{(2)}] = [0.5 1.2 1.4]$;
3. MC3: $[Q_1^{(3)} Q_2^{(3)} Q_3^{(3)}] = [0.5 1.4 1.6]$.

Fig. 8a shows modal peak vibration of the healthy structure. The modal vibration reduction is optimized by increasing the mode weighing. Also, the MCs are more effective in reducing vibration than
the RC. These results are demonstrated more clearly by analyzing the percentage reduction in Fig. 8b, computed in relation to the open-loop response of the healthy structure. Moreover, the control signal amplitude increases with mode weights, as can be seen in Fig. 8c.

4.2.3. Control system experimental application

To test the modal $H_\infty$ control methodology for regular vibration control and for DTAC purpose, the controllers designed for the healthy beam are experimentally examined in the healthy beam and in the damaged beam. Fig. 9 shows the open-loop frequency response comparison of the healthy and the damaged structures. It is possible to notice that damage produces natural frequency shifts in all modes. Damage also provokes amplitude increase in almost all modes, decreasing only in the last mode of $P_{uy}$, which is outside the interest band.

The experimental data analysis starts with the healthy beam experimental vibration signals, whose peak vibration of each mode is shown in Fig. 10a. The relative vibration reduction is presented in Fig. 10b, adopting the open-loop response of the healthy structure as the reference. Similarly to the simulated case, the RC reduces the global vibration in the interest band. For MCs, the vibration reduction in each mode is enhanced by the increasing mode weight. For instance, the third mode weight is continuously increased and its vibration is continuously reduced. For mode 1, the RC is causing the greatest vibration reduction. This result is expected because the MCs have a low weight in this mode. For mode 2, the MC1 does not change its weight, so it has a response similar to the regular $H_\infty$ controller. For mode 4, which is outside the interest band, the amplitude is attenuated in relation to the open-loop response, considering all tested controllers. Analogously to the simulated results, the weighing increases the experimental control signal as can be seen in Fig. 11a.

The peak vibration and the relative vibration reduction of the damaged structure are shown respectively in Fig. 10a and b, adopting the open-loop response of the damaged structure as the reference to compute the percentage of vibration reduction. Damage increases vibration mode amplitudes in the interest bandwidth. However, all controllers showed to be effective in reducing vibrations. The modal controllers continue to present superior performance in relation to the RC, but this performance difference between the MCs and the RC is increased when compared with results of the healthy beam. Moreover, the MC3 reduces substantially mode 3 peak vibration in the damaged beam, reaching similar values of the best results of the closed-loop healthy beam. Mode 2 has a slighter performance because this mode is less weighted. For mode 4, which is outside the interest band, the attenuation is more effective for the damaged beam, considering that damage itself provokes vibration attenuation of this mode. However, the percentage reduction is similar for the healthy and the damage structures. Fig. 11b shows that the weight increase also implies a rise in

---

Fig. 6. Experimental and identified $P_{uy}$ and $P_{uw}$.

Fig. 7. Transfer function comparison between full and reduced models.

(a) Transfer functions between $y(t)$ and $u(t)$.

(b) Transfer functions between $y(t)$ and $w(t)$.
the control signal. As a final analysis, these experimental results show that an adequate control of the selected modes produces better results than the RC when the structure undergoes damage.

5. Conclusion

DTAC is a new research area targeting to adapt fault-tolerant control concepts to mechanical structures submitted to damage. In this paper, a novel modal $H_\infty$ control methodology is presented and its capability of being applied to DTAC is shown through simulated and experimental examples. The proposed modal $H_\infty$ approach provides control energy distribution over selected modes, which is appropriate to reduce damage effects. A weighed modal $H_\infty$ norm is introduced, where the modal weighing leads to the high modal selectivity property,
which permits to design efficient and robust modal controllers. The methodology effectiveness was examined through applications with different levels of difficulty. Initially, the proposed design approach is applied to a simulated system with four masses connected by springs and dampers, and damage is included by changes in the respective parameters. Then, the methodology is experimentally tested using two similar cantilever aluminum beams, in which damage is introduced by material removal. Results show that the modal $H_{\infty}$ approach is more effective than the regular $H_{\infty}$ technique in mitigating structural vibration in the analyzed cases, opening up the possibility of modal selectivity and effective control including damage tolerance. As future work objective, an adaptive law will be used to update the weighing matrices online, considering the damage impact in each mode. For this purpose, a damage detection and assessment technique will be integrated into the modal $H_{\infty}$ control methodology.
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