Tribological behaviour of AISI 316L stainless steel for biomedical applications
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The aim of this research is to study the tribological behaviour of AISI 316L stainless steel for surgical implants (total hip prosthesis). The tribological behaviour is evaluated by wear tests, using tribometers ball on disc and sphere on plane. These tests consisted of measuring the weight loss and the friction coefficient of stainless steel (SS) AISI 316L. The oscillating friction and wear tests have been carried out in ambient air with an oscillating tribotester in accord with standards ISO 7148, ASTM G99-95a and ASTM G133-95 under different conditions of normal applied load (3, 6 and 10 N) and sliding speed (1, 15 and 25 mm s$^{-1}$). A ball of 100Cr 6, 10 mm in diameter, is used as counter pairs. These tribological results are compared with those carried out with a tribometer type pin on disc under different conditions of normal load applied $P$ (19-43, 28 and 44 N) and sliding speed (600 and 1020 rev min$^{-1}$). The behaviour observed for both samples suggests that the wear and friction mechanism during the tests is the same, and to increase the resistance to wear and friction of biomedical SS AISI 316L alloy used in total hip prosthesis (femoral stems), surface coating and treatment are necessary.
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Introduction

Biomedical prosthetic devices are used in the human body to carry out functions that can no longer be performed by the original human parts. Metals are mainly used for orthopaedic purposes in humans, so their degradation by wear and corrosion must be negligible so that they can be used for various practical applications. Among the various metallic materials that are used for orthopaedic devices, 316L stainless steel (SS) is one of the most commonly used. It is often used for temporary devices in orthopaedic surgery because of its low cost and acceptable biocompatibility. However, under some conditions, this alloy suffers localised corrosion under some conditions and releases significant quantities of iron to neighbouring tissues, inducing fibrosis around the implant. To overcome this problem, the prostheses should possess and also ensure high mechanical resistance. It should also have high corrosion resistance and the best possible adhesion to the tissue so that a stable biological bond with the bone is formed.

Materials and method

Materials

SS AISI 316L is used in this study as a total hip prosthesis (femoral stem) (supplied by ENSAM Lille, France). The composition of the alloy is given in Table 2. It is known that the fixation is greatly dependent on good mechanical interlocking between the rough surface of the implant and tissue. Therefore, the alloy surfaces were abraded with 600 grit abrasive paper and then polished with colloidal silica to a surface roughness $R_a$ of $\approx 0.025 \mu m$. The samples were cleaned in an ultrasonic bath with acetone, ethanol and distilled water, respectively, for 10 min and then dried in hot air and saved in the desiccators for use in different characterisation tests.

Surface and microstructural analysis

The sample was polished with SiC paper and then 1 $\mu m$ diamond paste, with the polished surface etched with an acidic etchant (1 part HF, 2 parts HNO$_3$ and 3 parts of H$_2$O). The microstructure (Fig. 4) was studied using optical microscopy (Leica DMLM). The chemical composition (Table 2) was acquired using a spectrometer (Spectrolab) and energy dispersive spectroscopy (Philips XL 30 ESEM-FEG and EDX IMIX-PTS). The phases (Fig. 8) present were identified by X-ray diffractometry (Intel CPS 120/Brucker AXS) using Cu $K_\alpha$ generated at 40 kV and 35 mA. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and energy dispersive X-ray analysis (EDX) were used to study the chemical composition of the SS AISI 316L (Fig. 7). The roughness (Fig. 6) of the SS in 3D was studied using Surface Data Veeo: Mag 5-0 X, Mode VSI. The SEM and EDX (Fig. 7) were used to study the chemical composition of the substrate.

Tribological study

In this work, pin on disc, ball on disc and sphere on plane tribological tests (Figs. 1–3) were carried out using
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the following prosthetic materials: AISI 316L austenitic SS alloy against 100C6 and abrasive paper number 320 (SiC). Figure 1 shows a simplified scheme of the conditions of friction and wear tests.

Contact plan wear test
The contact pair, which studies the tribological pair, the sample [AISI 316L SS] and sandpaper (320 abrasive papers). The parameters for this test are applied load and rotational speed. The test time is kept constant, and the weight loss is the weight difference of the sample measured before and after the test with a microelectronic balance with an accuracy on the order 10^{-3} g. The samples were cleaned with acetone before being weighed; the surface roughness of the test sample is measured before and after the test. Absolute weight loss was determined by the following equation

\[ \Delta P = P - P_i \]

where \( P_i \) is the weight measured after each time of wear, and \( P_o \) is the initial weight. The distance parcourue \( X \) is determined as follows: \( X = \frac{V \cdot r}{\omega} \), with \( \omega \) as sliding times, as the linear speed \( V \) is equal to the product of the angular velocity \( \omega \) by the radius of sandpaper \( r \)

\[ V = \frac{2 \pi n}{60} \quad X = \frac{2 \pi n r}{60} \]

Figure 2 shows a simplified scheme of the contact plan wear test conducted in the Physical Metallurgy laboratory, Department of Metallurgy and Materials Engineering, Annaba University.

Friction behaviour
In this work, oscillating friction and wear tests have been carried out in ambient air with oscillating tribometer in accord with standards ISO 7148, ASTM G99-95a and ASTM G133-95 (Figs. 1–3) under different conditions of normal load (3, 6 and 10 N) and sliding speed (1, 15 and 25 mm s^{-1}). As counter pairs, a ball of 100Cr6 steel, 10 mm in diameter, was used as given in Table 2. A second tribological test is conducted with tribometer type pin on disc under different conditions of normal load \( P \) (19.43, 28 and 44 N) and sliding speed (600 and 1020 rev min^{-1}), to confirm the tribological results (Fig. 2a).

Results and discussion
Surface and microstructural analysis
The SS sample was examined using EDX analysis. The spectra for the overall analyses are shown in Figs. 7 and 8. The EDX spectrum shows different peaks which correspond to the different elements contained in the substrate. The Fe peak is more pronounced than chromium, as expected in the EDX phases. Nickel, manganese, molybdenum and aluminium are also present (Table 2). The composition of the steel was in compliance with that of an AISI 316L SS.

Roughness analysis
The AISI 316L SS substrates are of biomedical interest. Therefore, they must meet biomedical ISO standards particularly at the surface of the material deposited on the articular surfaces of hip prostheses in which AISI 316L is the hip implant. The roughness of the SS AISI 316L was obtained (Table 3 and Figs. 5 and 6). It meets the biomedical standards of biomedicine, namely, roughness for metal parts as specified in ISO 7206-2:1996.10

Microhardness
Microhardness experiments were performed using a Zwick Roell Z 2.5 Micro Compression Tester type ZHU/Z2-5 equipped with a diamond indenter, at a room temperature of 22°C and laboratory atmosphere. Using the \( P-h \) (load–displacement) curves during
Microhardness experiments with a loading speed of 0-2 mm min$^{-1}$ under a maximum load of 50 N, each test was conducted three times, and the average values were calculated automatically by MCT as the load and displacement. The experimental $P-h$ curve of AISI 316L is shown in Figs 9–10. The hardness was measured as $315 \pm 5$ kgf mm$^{-2}$.

**Tribological results**

**Plan contact**

The weight loss (Fig. 11) of AISI 316L and ceramic samples, tested at 3-5 N load, is approximately proportional to the number of revolutions. Nevertheless, the wear was systematically greater to AISI 316L as expected. The behaviour observed for both samples suggests that the wear mechanism during the test is the same (abrasive wear). In the case of ceramic samples, the weight loss was $\sim 15\%$ of that observed for AISI 316L samples.

**Linear contact**

The evolution curves of friction coefficient versus time (Table 4 and Fig. 13) are almost the same from wholes in terms of load and speed. The analysis of these curves distinguishes several periods or successive regimes of friction and wear.

The first period, during which the friction coefficient increases rapidly, an accommodation, is the surface of the first body that is most ductile, in this case, the steel. The relief is so attenuated; the roughness of the surface of the steel is reduced by plastic deformation.

The second period is characterised by a slight decrease in the friction coefficient. Probably, the third body on the track generated by frictional wear of the steel plays a role comparable to that of a solid lubricant.

The third period is defined by a significant increase in the friction coefficient. The third body is fragmented, oxidises and probably plays a role as abrasive, then the virtual stabilisation of the friction coefficient.

The fourth and final period is near stabilisation of the friction coefficient. The wear marks on 316L sample after wear test. (severe deformation and plastic flow) are presented in figure 12.

**Alternative movement**

It is important to know the wear and friction coefficient of ball 100C6 steel (Fig. 14) before studying the friction coefficient of studied materials.

**Influence of load applied (normal load)**

The friction test results of AISI 316L SS at alternative movement versus 100C6 Ball are illustrated in Tables 5–7 and Figs. 15–20 respectively.

It is seen from Table 5 and Fig. 15 that the friction coefficient showed lower (min) values (approximately $CF_{min.}: 0.017, 0.069$ and $0.103$ at normal load 3, 6 and 10 N respectively) up to 200 distance (cycle) and then it increased to the average $0.508$ value at 500 distance (cycle). This may be due to the formation of an oxide layer on the SS.

In Table 6 and Fig. 16, it is seen that the average friction coefficient was obtained as $0.74, 0.726$ and $0.7$ of the SS AISI 316L at normal load 3, 6 and 10 N respectively. It is also shown in Fig. 16 that the coefficient of friction displayed a lower value of $0.17$, up to 50 distance (cycle), and then it sharply increased to the average value of $0.74$ until 1400 distance (cycle). It is seen that the average friction coefficient at different loads (3, 6 and 10 N) has the same values. The hertz pressure calculated is 690, 870 and 1031 MPa for loads 3, 6 and 10 N, respectively at alternative speed movement of 15 mm s$^{-1}$.

At a sliding speed of 25 mm s$^{-1}$ as shown in Table 7 and Fig. 17, it is seen that the average value of friction coefficient was obtained at loads 3 and 6 N ($0.71$ and $0.75$) of the SS AISI respectively. It is also obvious in Fig. 16 that the coefficient of friction displayed a lower value at $0.127$, up to 50 distance (cycle), and then it sharply increased to the average value of $0.59$ until 1400 distance (cycle).
Influence of sliding speed

In Figs. 18–20, it is seen that the friction coefficient is the same value at sliding speeds of 15 and 25 mm s\(^{-1}\) under different normal loads of 3, 6 and 10 N. At 1 mm s\(^{-1}\), it always has a lower value than those at 15 and 25 mm s\(^{-1}\).

Wear

In the wear test, the volumetric wear rate (Table 8) was calculated using a mechanical profilometer as \(53 \times 10^{-3} \, \text{mm}^3 \, \text{N}^{-1} \, \text{mm}^{-1}\) for the SS. A 100C6 ball did the grinding from the sample surface, that is, abrasive wear occurred on the surface and this is illustrated in Figs. 21 and 22. Volumetric wears were determined as 7.23 \(\times 10^{-3}\), 9.56 \(\times 10^{-3}\) and 15.05 \(\times 10^{-3}\) mm\(^3\) N\(^{-1}\) mm\(^{-1}\) for the 1 mm s\(^{-1}\) sliding speed under loads of 3, 6 and 10 N respectively. Finally, the volumetric wears were the same for both sliding speeds of 15 and 25 mm s\(^{-1}\) between 23.67 \(\times 10^{-3}\) and 64 \(\times 10^{-3}\) mm\(^3\) N\(^{-1}\) mm\(^{-1}\).

Friction versus wear

The strength of materials depends on three groups of factors in friction conditions. Those factors are as follows:

(i) internal reasons determined by material properties;
(ii) friction type (slipping and rolling) and working conditions (relative movement speed, load, application type and temperature);
(iii) working environment and lubricants.

Conclusions

AISI 316L SSs predominate as materials for prosthetic devices because they are relatively inexpensive and formable by common techniques. Further, their mechanical properties are controllable over a wide range, providing optimum strength and ductility. However, SSs are the least corrosion resistant over the long term.
5 Optical two-dimensional trace of SS AISI 316L before wear test

6 Optical three-dimensional photo of SS AISI 316L before wear test
7 Energy dispersive X-ray analysis spectrum of SS AISI 316L

8 X-ray diffractometry spectrum of SS AISI 316L

Table 2 Work condition of alternative movement wear test oscillating tribotester

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Friction pairs used</th>
<th>Ball 100C6/AISI 316L</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sliding speed</td>
<td>1, 15 and 25 mm s(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal load (load applied)</td>
<td>3, 6 and 10 N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wear track radius</td>
<td>10 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100C6 ball diameter</td>
<td>10 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>25°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humidity</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 Roughness parameters of SS AISI 316L before wear test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(R_a/\text{nm})</th>
<th>268.36</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(R_s/\text{nm})</td>
<td>384.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R_t/\text{nm})</td>
<td>18.670.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R_m/\text{nm})</td>
<td>40.232.59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
and cause rashes or pain owing to release of nickel ions as evidenced from the above results.

The aim of this work is to evaluate and compare the tribological behaviour of the total hip prostheses used by SS AISI 316L (supplied by ENSAM Lille). The oscillating friction and wear tests have been carried out in ambient air with oscillating tribotester in accord with standards ISO 7148, ASTM G99-95a and ASTM G133-95. The friction and wear tests were carried out to see the type of wear and to quantify the loss of mass. The variation in the friction coefficient of the studied couples under different conditions of load (3, 6 and 10 N) and sliding speed (1, 15 and 25 mm s\(^{-1}\)) was also studied. As counter pairs, a 100Cr6 ball was used, 10 mm in diameter. The results show that the weight loss quantifying the wear of a soft body slipping on a hard surface is proportional not only to the distance from the slip but also to the normal load applied.

The sliding speed has for a principal effect to act on the temperature of the contact zone. Going beyond a critical speed involves the surface fusion of the most fusible body.

The increase in the temperature of the contact with the speed induced to structure transformations increases the reactivity of surfaces with respect to the environment (oxidation in the presence of air). Above a certain temperature and thus for speeds of slip higher than a breaking value, the oxide film, resulting from a permanent oxidation, is reconstituted with the fur as it is destroyed by wear.

The behaviour observed in both samples under different conditions suggests that the wear mechanism during the test is the same, and to increase the wear and friction resistance of biomedical SS AISI 316L used in total hip prosthesis (femoral stems), surface coating and surface treatment are necessary.
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10 Microhardness curves of AISI 316L


Wear diagrams (weight loss) of SS AISI 316L and ceramic sliding against abrasive paper no. 320.

![Graph showing weight loss vs. parcour distance for SS AISI 316L and ceramic.]

11 Wear diagrams (weight loss) of SS AISI 316L and ceramic sliding against abrasive paper no. 320.
Morphology of SS AISI 316L surfaces tested against paper abrasive number 320 after different times: Gr x 50

Table 4 Mean coefficient of friction of SS AISI 316L versus times with different loads under two sliding speeds of 600 and 1020 rev min⁻¹

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Speed</th>
<th>1020 rev min⁻¹</th>
<th>600 rev min⁻¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal load P/N</td>
<td>19.43 28</td>
<td>43.95 19.43 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coefficient of friction</td>
<td>0.42 0.52</td>
<td>0.72 0.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
13 Variation in friction coefficient versus times with different sliding speeds

(a) 1020 rev min$^{-1}$; (b) 600 rev min$^{-1}$
Table 5 Friction coefficient of SS AISI 316L versus different applied loads (3, 6 and 10 N) under sliding speed of 1 mm s\(^{-1}\) (after running in distance)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sliding speed</th>
<th>1 mm s(^{-1})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Load/N</td>
<td>CF start</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.103</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

14 Wear marks of 100C6 steel ball under following conditions: \(t=1\) h, \(FN=10\) N, sliding speed \(=8\) mm s\(^{-1}\) with same oscillating tribotester.  

15 Friction test result of AISI 316L SS under sliding speed 1 mm s\(^{-1}\)
Table 6 Friction coefficient of SS AISI 316L versus different applied loads (3, 6 and 10 N) under sliding speed of 15 mm s\(^{-1}\) (after running in distance)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sliding speed</th>
<th>15 mm s(^{-1})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Load/N</td>
<td>CF start</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.263</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7 Friction coefficient of SS AISI 316L versus different applied loads (3, 6 and 10 N) under sliding speed of 25 mm s\(^{-1}\) (after running in distance)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sliding speed</th>
<th>25 mm s(^{-1})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal load/N</td>
<td>CF start</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.136</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
19 Friction test result of AISI 316L SS under normal load $F_N=6$ N
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20 Friction test result of AISI 316L SS under normal load $F_N=10$ N

![Friction test result graph](image2)

21 Wear tracks of AISI 316L SS

Table 8: Volumetric wear rate of AISI 316L under different conditions of applied load and speed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sliding speed/mm s⁻¹</th>
<th>Load/N</th>
<th>Volumetric wear/mm³ N⁻¹ mm⁻¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$7.23 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$9.56 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>$15.05 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$23.67 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$57.1 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>$61.5 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$30 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$62 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>$84 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Photos of circular wear marks on 316L sample after friction test (severe deformation and plastic flow)