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Abstract—This article deals with DC voltage dynamics of Multi-Terminal HVDC grids (MTDC) with energy-based controlled Modular Multilevel Converters (MMC) adopting the commonly used power-voltage droop control technique for power flow dispatch. Special focus is given on the energy management strategies of the MMCs and their ability to influence on the DC voltage dynamics. First, it is shown that decoupling the MMC energy from the DC side, causes large and undesired DC voltage transient after a sudden power flow change. This occurs when this energy is controlled to a fixed value regardless of the DC voltage level. Second, the Virtual Capacitor Control technique is implemented in order to improve the results. However, its limitations on droop-based MTDC grids are highlighted. Finally, a novel energy management approach is proposed to improve the performance of the later method. These studies are performed with detailed MMC models suitable for the use of linear analysis techniques. The derived MTDC models are validated against time-domain simulations using detailed EMT MMC models with 400 sub-modules per arm.
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I. INTRODUCTION

THE Modular Multilevel Converter is the state-of-the-art of High-Voltage DC transmission systems (HVDC) [1], and it’s suitable for Multi-Terminal DC grids [2].

The dynamic analysis of power-voltage droop controlled MTDC grids was widely studied considering 2-level Voltage Source Converters (VSC) [3]–[7]. As discussed in [4], the main parameters that govern the DC dynamics are the droop gains and the total stored energy in the DC grid. When considering 2-level VSCs, the stored energy in the DC grid is determined by the DC bus capacitors of converters, so the droop gain is the only parameter that can be modified to have a direct impact on the DC-side dynamics. However, with a single tunable gain, the options for improving the dynamics are rather limited. In consequence, some improvements were already proposed, as in [5], where lead-lag compensators are added to the controller structure. In [6], the DC voltage droop control structure is redefined for dealing directly with the energy of the grid, with promising results. In [7] and [8], specific controllers are proposed to damp DC resonances. Even though some of these papers mention the MMC, the converters are modeled or controlled similarly as 2-level VSCs (as e.g. [8]). However, the way that the internal energy of the MMC is managed may influence directly the dynamics of the system. In [9], the possibility of using the internally stored energy in the MMCs for supporting the AC grid frequency is studied. This energy may also be used to support the DC grid [10].

Indeed, depending on the adopted MMC control strategy, the internal energy may be largely decoupled from the DC voltage [11]. In [12], the impact of the MMC control algorithm on the droop-controlled MTDC has been analyzed with time domain simulations. It concludes that the decoupling capability of the MMC internally stored energy has a considerable impact on the dynamics of the MTDC grids: a part of the total energy stored in the DC grid relies on the MMC controller structure. In [13], similar conclusions were obtained regarding MMC-based point-to-point HVDC links and, for this reason, a modification on the MMC energy controllers was introduced. Moreover, [14] shows that it is possible to virtually enhance the DC energy by using the virtual capacitor concept.

Until now, the effect of the MMC control on the MTDC dynamics has been only analyzed through time-domain simulations or using simplified MMC models. In order to quantify the impact of MMC energy controllers on the DC grid dynamics, a Linear Time Invariant (LTI) modeling approach is of great interest for these studies. To do so, a suitable dynamic model of the MMC is needed. In the literature, dynamic phasors domain [15] or multiple dq frames [16] has been proposed as a modeling approach for developing an LTI model. This paper is based on [17], where a full dynamic non-linear MMC model with Steady-State Time Invariant solution (SSTI) was developed and further linearized and studied in [18], where the control strategy of the converter can be easily modified.

While articles [15]–[18] consider a dynamic analysis of a single MMC with a simplified environment (AC and DC sources), this paper considers an MTDC grid modeled with detailed MMC representations. Based on this modeling, an
in depth dynamic analysis is provided and different types of MMC controllers are evaluated and precisely compared, hence highlighting the influence of the energy management of the MMC on the system dynamics.

The main contributions of this paper are twofold:

- Detailed LTI modeling of MMC-based MTDC grid based on accurate MMC models which allow to take into account different controllers. Frequency dependent cable models are used to represent the DC grid dynamics. The obtained model is compared against an Electro-Magnetic Transient simulation (EMT) with switching models for the MMCs.
- Comparison of three MMC Energy Management Control strategies: the first one considers a constant energy reference as in [18]; the second one considers the method from [14]; and the third one is a novel strategy based on the derivative of the DC voltage. The main characteristics of each one are highlighted throughout the paper.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section II, a LTI model of a droop-controlled single terminal MMC in double Synchronous Rotating Reference Frame (SRRF) considering AC and DC sides is developed as a fundamental block. Then, in Section III, a linear MTDC grid is created with the concatenation of the aforementioned blocks, where each MMC is controlled with an energy-based strategy with constant energy reference. The dynamic performance of the MTDC grid is evaluated using the Singular Value Decomposition method (SVD). In Section IV, different energy management control variants are assessed based on the dynamic analysis of the resultant MTDC grid.

II. MMC MODELING AND CONTROL FOR COUPLING WITH MTDC SYSTEM

In this section, a full SSTI MMC model including an Energy-based controller is developed based on [17] and [18]. The nomenclature used in this paper is defined in [18].

A. Continuous MMC Arm Averaged Model

1) Model with “Upper-Lower” representation in abc frame: The Arm Averaged Model (AAM) of the MMC is recalled in Fig. 1 [19]. The model presents, for each phase, a leg consisting of an upper and a lower arm. Each arm includes an inductance $L_{arm}$, an equivalent resistance $R_{arm}$ and an aggregated capacitance $C_{arm} = C/N$, where $N$ is the number of submodules per-arm and $C$ is the capacitor of each SM.

The arm currents $[i_U^{abc}, i_L^{abc}]$ are a combination of a DC part, an alternating component at $\omega$ and potentially an additional term at $2\omega$ (the same stands for the modulated voltages $[v_U^{mabc}, v_L^{mabc}]$ and the modulation indices $[m_{abc}^{U}, m_{abc}^{L}]$). Since the arm capacitors $[v_U^{mabc}, v_L^{mabc}]$ are being charged and discharged by the modulated currents obtained by the multiplication of their respective arm currents and modulation indices (each with DC, $\omega$ and $2\omega$), the voltages include a DC, $\omega$ and $2\omega$ but also 3$\omega$ and 4$\omega$ components (last this DC is negligible as shown in [20]). This multiple frequency content in the “Upper” and “Lower” variables inhibit the application of a single Park transformation to the system equations to develop a full dqz model suitable for linearization. Nevertheless, it is possible to isolate the main frequency content of the variables transforming them as described in the following.

2) Modeling with “$\Sigma-\Delta$” representation in abc frame: For deriving the dynamics of the AAM, all the MMC variables are transformed from the “Upper-Lower” representation to “$\Sigma-\Delta$”, as summarized in Table I (bold variables represent vectors). In steady state, “$\Delta$” variables are sinusoidal at the fundamental grid frequency $\omega$, while “$\Sigma$” variables contain a sinusoidal oscillation at $-2\omega$ superimposed to a DC-component [17].

3) Model with “$\Sigma-\Delta$” representation in dqz frame: Once the main frequencies are identified, Park transformation at $-2\omega$ is applied to the “$\Sigma$” variables, obtaining the common-mode currents $v_{\Sigma dqz}^{abc} = [v_{\Sigma d}, v_{\Sigma q}, v_{\Sigma z}]^T$, common-mode modulated voltages $v_{\Sigma madq}^{abc} = [v_{\Sigma mad}, v_{\Sigma mq}, v_{\Sigma mz}, v_{\Sigma m}]^T$ and arm capacitors $v_{\Sigma C}^{Cdqz} = [v_{\Sigma C d}, v_{\Sigma C q}, v_{\Sigma C z}]^T$. Furthermore, Park transformation at $\omega$ is applied to the “$\Delta$” variables, resulting in the grid currents $i_{\Delta dq}^{abc} = [i_{\Delta d}, i_{\Delta q}]^T$, the modulated voltages $v_{\Delta madq}^{abc} = [v_{\Delta mad}, v_{\Delta mq}, v_{\Delta mz}, v_{\Delta m}]^T$, and arm capacitor voltages difference $v_{\Delta Cdqz}^{abc} = [v_{\Delta C d}, v_{\Delta C q}, v_{\Delta C z}]^T$. The zero-sequence $v_{\Sigma z}$ oscillates at $3\omega$, so an auxiliary virtual variable is also used to be able to represent the magnitude and phase of the oscillating component, i.e. $v_{\Delta cc}^{Z} = [v_{\Delta cc d}, v_{\Delta cc q}, v_{\Delta cc z}]^T$. In this way, the result is $v_{\Delta cc}^{dqz} = [v_{\Delta cc d}, v_{\Delta cc q}, v_{\Delta cc z}]^T$. These transformations allow to obtain a MMC model in SRRF with Steady-State Time Invariant solution (SSTI) suitable for linearization and further analysis. The main equations of the MMC model in dqz are given in the Appendix B, and the complete mathematical development can be found in [17].

The MMC stored energy $W_{\Sigma Z}^{C}$ is calculated from the dqz
components as in (1a), where \( C_{\text{mmc}} = 6 \times C_{\text{arm}} \). However, it can be approximated as proportional only to \( (v_{Cz}^2) \) for analysis purposes as shown in (1b), since it corresponds to the sum of all the MMC capacitor voltages [21]. The complete calculation is shown in Appendix C.

\[
W_z^2 = \frac{1}{2} C_{\text{mmc}} (\Delta v_{Cz}^2)^2 + \frac{C_{\text{mmc}}}{4} \left[ (\Delta v_{Cq}^2)^2 + (\Delta v_{Cp}^2)^2 \right] \tag{1a}
\]

\[
W_z^2 \approx \frac{1}{2} C_{\text{mmc}} (\Delta v_{Cz}^2)^2 \tag{1b}
\]

\[
\Delta v_{Cz} = 1 \left[ v_{Cz} - \left( v_{Cz}^* \right) \right] = \Delta v_{Cq} = 1 \left[ v_{Cq} - \left( v_{Cq}^* \right) \right], \Delta v_{Cp} = 1 \left[ v_{Cp} - \left( v_{Cp}^* \right) \right] \tag{2}
\]

\[
\Delta v_{Cz}^2 = \left( v_{Cz} - v_{Cz}^* \right)^2 = \left( v_{Cq} - v_{Cq}^* \right)^2 = \left( v_{Cp} - v_{Cp}^* \right)^2 \tag{3}
\]

\[
v_{\text{dq}} = \left[ v_{\text{dq}}^* \right]^T = \left[ \left( v_{Cq} - v_{Cq}^* \right) \left( v_{Cp} - v_{Cp}^* \right) \right]^T \tag{4}
\]

\[
v_{\text{dq}} = \left[ v_{\text{dq}}^* \right]^T = \left[ \left( v_{Cq} - v_{Cq}^* \right) \left( v_{Cp} - v_{Cp}^* \right) \right]^T \tag{5}
\]

An overview of the model structure corresponding to the MMC altogether with the AC and DC side equations is shown in Fig. 3. The dynamic equations of \( v_{\text{dq}} \), \( v_{\text{dq}}^* \), \( v_{\text{dq}} \), \( \Delta v_{\text{dq}} \), \( \Delta v_{\text{dq}}^* \), \( \Delta v_{\text{dq}} \), and \( \Delta v_{\text{dq}}^* \) can be found in the Appendix B.

C. MMC Energy-based control

Based on the proposed SSTI MMC model, a suitable controller in \( dq \) frame can be designed. In this sub-section, the Energy-based controller from [18] is recalled (variables with superscript 

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{C}_{\text{dq}}(\theta_C) = \mathbf{K}_{\text{PLL}} \mathbf{C}_{\text{dq}}(\theta_C) + \mathbf{v}_{\text{pcc}} \mathbf{C}_{\text{dq}}(\theta_C) + \mathbf{v}_{\text{pcc}} \mathbf{C}_{\text{dq}}(\theta_C)
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\mathbf{C}_{\text{dq}}(\theta_C) = \mathbf{K}_{\text{PLL}} \mathbf{C}_{\text{dq}}(\theta_C) + \mathbf{v}_{\text{pcc}} \mathbf{C}_{\text{dq}}(\theta_C) + \mathbf{v}_{\text{pcc}} \mathbf{C}_{\text{dq}}(\theta_C)
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{C}_{\text{dq}}(\theta_C) = \mathbf{K}_{\text{PLL}} \mathbf{C}_{\text{dq}}(\theta_C) + \mathbf{v}_{\text{pcc}} \mathbf{C}_{\text{dq}}(\theta_C) + \mathbf{v}_{\text{pcc}} \mathbf{C}_{\text{dq}}(\theta_C)
\end{align*}
\]

The structure of the PLL is shown in Fig. 4(b), where the transformations \( \mathbf{T}_{\text{C}}(\theta_C) \) applied to \( \mathbf{v}_{\text{pcc}} \), \( \Delta \mathbf{v}_{\text{dq}} \) and also to the MMC circulating currents \( \mathbf{i}_{\text{dq}} \) with \( \mathbf{T}_{\text{C}}(-2\theta_C) \) can be observed.
2) Energy-based controller structure: The global control structure is shown in Fig. 5. It is based on the Circulating Current Suppressing Controller (CCSC) from [24] and the independent control of the AC and DC powers ($P_{ac}$ and $P_{dc}$) with an Energy management control block which is the main focus of this paper and it is further detailed. The grid current controller is a generic synchronous PI control with crossed decoupling feed-forward terms “ωLdq” and $v_{pec}$. Note that the outputs of the grid current controllers and the CCSC (i.e. $v_{mdq}^{\Delta}$, $v_{mdq}^{\Sigma}$) are respectively transformed from the $dq^G$ axes back to the physical $dq$ frame with $T_{mg}^{-1}$. The modulation indices $m_{dq}^{\Delta}$ and $m_{dq}^{\Sigma}$ are obtained according to the Un-Compensated Modulation technique (UMC) adopted in [17], i.e. dividing each modulated voltage reference by $v_{dc}$.

3) Energy Management Control #1: Energy controller via DC power with constant reference: In Fig. 6, the dedicated energy controller which regulates the total MMC energy to a constant level is given [18]. As a common practice, the energy reference $W_{z}^{2*}$ is set to 1 pu: this means that, in average, the voltages of the six arm equivalent capacitors are maintained close to 1 pu regardless of the DC voltage at the PCC-DC. The AC power reference $P_{ac}^*$ is obtained through the output of the DC voltage droop controller (with gain $k_d$) and the set-point $P_{ac0}$ provided by the Transmission System Operators (TSO). The DC power reference $P_{dc}^*$ is obtained by the sum of the energy controller and $P_{ac}$ sent as a feed-forward action to improve the dynamic response.

4) Simplified energetic analysis of energy-based controlled MMC on DC bus dynamics: From Fig. 2, the energy stored on the MMC ($W_{z}^{2}$) is given by the difference of the energy available at the PCC and the power flowing from the DC-grid ($P_{dc}$) as expressed in (6), where it is assumed that $P_{dc} = P_{dc}^*$ for analysis purposes [14].

$$\frac{dW_{z}^{2}}{dt} = \frac{1}{2} C_{dc} \frac{dv_{dc}^{2}}{dt} = P_{l} - P_{dc}^*$$ (6)

Assuming also that $P_{ac} = P_{ac}^*$, the approximated dynamics of the energy stored on the MMC ($W_{z}^{2*}$) are given in (7).

$$\frac{dW_{z}^{2*}}{dt} \approx \frac{1}{2} C_{muc} \frac{d(v_{dc}^{2})}{dt} \approx P_{dc}^* - P_{ac}^*$$ (7)

Replacing $P_{ac}^*$ from (7) into (6) yields:

$$\frac{1}{2} C_{dc} \frac{dv_{dc}^{2}}{dt} + \frac{1}{2} C_{muc} \frac{d(v_{dc}^{2})}{dt} = P_{l} - P_{ac}^*$$ (8)

Assuming an ideal energy controller (i.e. $W_{z}^{2} = W_{z}^{2*}$), it is implied that $v_{dc}^{2} = v_{dc}^{2*}$, where $v_{dc}^{2} = \sqrt{2W_{z}^{2*}/C_{muc}}$. Consequently, if $W_{z}^{2*}$ is constant, the term $d(v_{dc}^{2})/dt$ from (8) is equal to zero. With this consideration, (8) results in (9).

$$\frac{1}{2} C_{dc} \frac{dv_{dc}^{2}}{dt} = P_{l} - P_{ac}$$ (9)

Equation (9) shows that the effective capacitance seen from the DC side ($C_{eff}$) is only considering the equivalent cable capacitance ($C_{dc}$). This simplified analysis is fundamental to understand the main issues when decoupling the MMC energy with the DC bus in MTDC systems; the voltage dynamics are mainly governed by the equivalent DC grid capacitance [12].

D. LTI model of a single MMC

The LTI model of a MMC with energy controller interacting with a MTDC grid is shown in Fig. 7, where the input-output relations are highlighted. The algebraic equation used for the $W_{z}^{2*}$ calculation is given in (1a).

In order to obtain the complete LTI model of the MMC from Fig. 7, two steps are followed. Step I consists in dividing the MMC model in two linear sub-models: LTI$_{ac}$ and LTI$_{muc}$, where each one is linearized by means of the Jacobian linearization [25] and the states, inputs and selected outputs of both state-space linear systems are given in (10) for LTI$_{ac}$ and (11) for LTI$_{muc}$ (the state variables $\hat{z}_{x}$ correspond to the integral part of the controller for state "x").
The states and inputs of the concatenated LTI system are given in (13), where the symbol “∥” represents the concatenation.

\[
\begin{align}
\Delta \mathbf{x}_M \parallel \mathbf{u}_M & = \mathbf{A}_M \mathbf{x}_M \parallel \mathbf{B}_M \mathbf{u}_M + \mathbf{G}_M \mathbf{y}_M, \\
\Delta \mathbf{y}_M & = \mathbf{C}_M \mathbf{x}_M \parallel \mathbf{D}_M \mathbf{u}_M
\end{align}
\]

III. MMC-BASED MTDC MODELING AND ANALYSIS

In this section, the MMC model from previous section is used for creating a four-terminal MTDC grid.

A. EMT Simulation model of MMC-based MTDC grid

The four terminal MMC-based MTDC grid considered in this paper is shown in Fig. 8. In the EMT Simulation model used as a reference, the MMCs are modeled with the switching “Model #2: Equivalent Circuit-Based Model” with 400 sub-modules per arm from [19] and the reduced switching-frequency capacitor balancing algorithm from [24]. Converters MMC-1, MMC-2 and MMC-3 are connected to onshore AC grids (SCR equal to 10) and they are equipped with DC voltage droop controllers. The DC grid is composed by four cables with equal length (70 km), namely “C1”, “C2”, “C3” and “C4”, with detailed Wide Band cable models whose parameters are given in [27]. The chosen cable length is in accordance with real HVDC offshore projects [28].

B. LTI modeling of MMC-based MTDC grids

The LTI model of the MTDC grid is obtained following a step-by-step methodology, where the overall state-space representation of the system is obtained by the concatenation of previously linearized sub-systems (DC grid, AC grids and MMCs with their controllers). The whole system is shown in Fig. 9 and the main steps for obtaining the state-space linear representation (LTI model) are discussed in the following.

1. **Step 1: Creation of DC grid in state-space representation:** Each cable is modeled with the Frequency Dependent-PI from [27], with three parallel branches per cable. This model is linear by nature since it is composed only by RL components (the endpoint capacitors are considered in the converter models as in [27] without losing generality). The DC grid LTI model is shown in (14), and the states and inputs are given in (15), where \( \mathbf{i}_{L,123} = [i_{C3}^T, i_{L,3}^T, i_{L,3}^T]^T \) represents the currents in the \( j \)-th FD-II cable model.

\[
\begin{align}
\Delta \mathbf{x}_{dc} & = A_{dc} \Delta \mathbf{x}_{dc} + B_{dc} \Delta \mathbf{u}_{dc} + C_{dc} \Delta \mathbf{x}_{dc} + D_{dc} \Delta \mathbf{u}_{dc}, \\
\Delta \mathbf{y}_{dc} & = \mathbf{C}_{dc} \mathbf{x}_{dc} + \mathbf{D}_{dc} \mathbf{u}_{dc}
\end{align}
\]

2. **Step 2: Creation of LTI models of MMCs with DC capacitor and controllers in state-space representation:** Each converter is modeled and linearized as discussed in Section II-D. However, the DC capacitance \( C_{dc} \) from Fig. 2 is now the sum of the capacitors of each cable connected at a given PCC-DC. Also, the current \( i_t \) reaching towards this equivalent capacitor (see (13b)) is now provided by the sum.
of the cable inductors connected to the converter $n$, namely $i_{l,n}$. The model of MMC-4 and the associated wind-farm is modeled in a similar way as the rest of the converters, and the LTI model is shown in (16) and (17) noted as "$M||W$".

$$\begin{align}
\Delta x_M||W &= A_M||W \Delta x_M||W + B_M||W \Delta u_M||W \\
\Delta y_M||W &= C_M||W \Delta x_M||W + D_M||W \Delta u_M||W
\end{align}$$

(16)

$$x_{M||W} = [\xi_{wz}^{\Sigma}, \xi_{dqa}^{\Sigma}, \xi_{dq}^{\Sigma}, \xi_{q}^{\Sigma}, i_{d}^{\Sigma}, i_{q}^{\Sigma}, v_{d}^{\Sigma}, v_{q}^{\Sigma}]^\top \in \mathbb{R}^{14}$$

(17a)

$$u_{M||W} = [w_{mdq,4}, w_{z,1,4}, \omega_{d,4}^{*}, i_{i,4}^{*}, P_{w,4}^{*}]^\top \in \mathbb{R}^{10}$$

(17b)

$$y_{M||W} = x_{M||W} \in \mathbb{R}^{14}$$

(17c)

**Step 3: Calculation of Operating Point (OP):** A DC load flow calculation is used to obtain all the DC voltages and currents at each PCC-DC (OP1). This information is used to solve the non-linear equations of each MMC-SSTI in a step-by-step process as illustrated in Fig. 10 (OP2).

**Step 4: Concatenation of linear subsystems:** Once the solutions of the operating point are obtained, it is possible to obtain numerically the matrices $A_{M||G}$, $B_{M||G}$, $C_{M||G}$ and $D_{M||G}$ of each MMC-LTI model (see (12)), and then combined into a single state-space global representation with the MMC-4, WF and DC grid as shown in (18). The MTDC states $x_{mdc}$ and inputs $u_{mdc}$ are shown in (19), where $x_{M||G}$ represents the states from (13a) for the MMC-$m$ and their AC grids.

$$\begin{align}
\Delta \dot{x}_{mdc} &= A_{mdc} \Delta x_{mdc} + B_{mdc} \Delta u_{mdc} \\
\Delta y_{mdc} &= C_{mdc} \Delta x_{mdc} + D_{mdc} \Delta u_{mdc}
\end{align}$$

(18)

$$x_{mdc} = [x_{d,MG}^{\Sigma}, x_{d,MG}^{\Sigma}, x_{d,MG}^{\Sigma}, x_{d,MG}^{\Sigma}, x_{d,MG}^{\Sigma}, x_{d,MG}^{\Sigma}, x_{d,MG}^{\Sigma}, x_{d,MG}^{\Sigma}]^\top \in \mathbb{R}^{64}$$

(19a)

$$u_{mdc} = [v_{dc,1}, W_{z,1}^\Sigma, P_{ac,1}^*, Q_{ac,1}^*, i_{dq,1}^*, v_{d,1}^G]^\top$$

(19b)

1) **Time Domain Validation:** In order to validate the small-signal modeling approach, two time-domain simulations are performed with the EMT model of the MTDC grid in EMTP-RV from Fig. 8 and the small-signal model from (18) with Matlab/Simulink: small-signal and large-signal scenario. The simulation cases start with a DC load flow provided in Table II (note that $P_{dc}$ for MMC-4 is not exactly the same as $P_{w,f}$, which is measured on its AC-side). The droop parameters $k_d$ for each converter are set to 0.15 pu, for having an allowed ±0.05 pu of DC voltage deviation around the operating point for a total loss of 1 pu of power imbalance on the DC grid. The arm capacitor voltages $v_{c,z}^{\Sigma}$ are set to 1 pu due to the constant energy reference. The response times for the inner current controllers ($i_{dq1}^{\Sigma}$, $i_{dq2}^{\Sigma}$) are set to 5 ms, while the energy controllers are set to 50 ms. The main MMC and cable parameters are gathered in the Appendix A.

For the small-signal scenario, the WF power is reduced in 0.1 pu at $t = 20$ ms. Simulation results for the DC voltage $v_{dc}$, arm capacitor voltage $v_{c,z}^{\Sigma}$, DC power $P_{dc}$, and WF power $P_{w,f}$ for MMC-4 are shown in Fig. 11. As observed in the results, the LTI model reproduces accurately the system response. For the rest of variables, no noticeable discrepancy is observed.

For the large-signal scenario, the WF is disconnected at $t = 20$ ms, manifesting a sudden reduction of 1 pu of wind power production $P_{w,f}$. Simulation results for the DC voltages for MMC-1 and MMC-4, arm capacitor voltage $v_{c,z}^{\Sigma}$ for MMC-1, and DC powers are shown in Fig. 12. The voltages for

![Fig. 11. Small-signal scenario: Comparison of time-domain simulations of EMT and small-model LTI of a four terminal MTDC grid - Converters equipped with Energy-based controllers with constant energy references.](image-url)
MMC-2 and MMC-3 behave similarly as for MMC-1.

As observed, the abrupt step on $P_{WF}$ causes a severe transient behavior on $v_{dc,4}$, and the ±0.05 pu limit on the DC voltage is easily violated for all the terminals, which is not desired, as it causes aging and requires an oversizing of High-Voltage components [29].

2) Singular Value Decomposition (SVD): The SVD method may be considered as an effective tool for the analysis of Multi-Inputs and Multi-Outputs (MIMO) systems, which is equivalent to the transfer function approach for Single-Input and Single-Output (SISO) systems [30], [31]. Indeed, since the studied MTDC grid is a MIMO system, the SVD method is suitable for its frequency analysis [3]. In this paper, the focus is given to the evolution of the variables $v_{dc,m}$ and $v_{Cz,m}^\Sigma$ with respect to the variation of the WF power deviation $\Delta P_{WF}$, so two different Single-Input Multi-Output transfer functions (SIMO) are considered: first, the function $G_1(s)$ is focused on the evolution of the DC voltage deviation of the four stations with respect to $\Delta P_{WF}$, so $y_{G_1} = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta v_{dc,1} \\ \Delta v_{dc,2} \\ \Delta v_{dc,3} \\ \Delta v_{dc,4} \end{bmatrix}$ and $u_{G_1} = \Delta P_{WF}$. Second, the function $G_2(s)$ considers the voltage deviations on the arm capacitor voltages of MMC-1, MMC-2 and MMC-3 also with respect to $\Delta P_{WF}$, so $y_{G_2} = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta v_{Cz,1}^\Sigma \\ \Delta v_{Cz,2}^\Sigma \\ \Delta v_{Cz,3}^\Sigma \\ \Delta v_{Cz,4}^\Sigma \end{bmatrix}$ and $u_{G_2} = \Delta P_{WF}$.

The study aims at determining if the DC voltage deviation or the arm capacitor voltages of each converter station (the outputs $y_{G_1}$ and $y_{G_2}$) are maintained within the acceptable range, which is set to ±5% for any power reference change of the WF (the input $u_{G_1}$ and $u_{G_2}$), both from a static and a dynamic point of view. This limit is selected based on [2], [32]. The maximum allowable voltages deviation and the maximum possible power reference change of the converters can then be represented as a gain boundary in the frequency response of the MTDC system. In fact, by ensuring that the maximum singular value $\sigma$ does not bypass the gain boundaries corresponding to the voltage deviation, the linear MTDC system is assured to comply with the imposed constraint [33].

The boundary for a maximum allowed deviation of the DC voltages $\Delta v_{DC}^{\max}$ or arm capacitor voltages $\Delta v_{Cz}^{\max}$ of 5% with respect to a power variation of the WF $\Delta P_{dev}^{WF}$ of 1 pu is given by (20):

$$20 \log_{10} \left( \frac{\sigma(G_1)}{\sigma(G_2)} \right) = 20 \log_{10} \left( \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{4} (\Delta v_{DC,k}^{\max})^2}{\sum_{k=1}^{4} (\Delta P_{dev}^{WF})^2} \right) = -20 \text{ dB} \quad (20)$$

In Fig. 13, the results for a sweep of the droop gain $k_d$ of all MMCs at the same time are shown (the stability has been verified for all the sweep). Since the SVD results for $G_1(s)$ and the considered parameters are crossing the −20 dB boundary defined in (20) when the droop parameters approach to 0.15 pu, it implies that the DC voltages are violating the ±5% for certain frequencies of the input $P_{WF}$ (which are easily excited in transients). Only for low values of $k_d$ the DC voltage dynamics may be guaranteed, but it may increase the potential risk of interactions with the AC-side and the stress on the high-voltage equipment. This demonstrates that only the tuning of $k_d$ is not sufficient to guarantee an acceptable damping for the system. With respect to the SVD from $G_2(s)$, MMC capacitor voltages are well maintained within the limits, due to the constant energy references.

In the following sections, an in-depth analysis is carried out to improve the SVD results and hence, the dynamic behavior of the DC voltages in MMC-based MTDC grids.

IV. ENERGY MANAGEMENT CONTROL VARIANTS

Two different variants are now considered and analyzed for the energy management control from Fig. 5: the Virtual Capacitor Control from [14], and a novel derivative control.

A. Energy Management Control #2: Coupled MMC energy with DC bus with Virtual Capacitor Control from [14]

In this strategy, the Energy management control in Fig. 5 is slightly modified for enabling the participation of the internal energy on the DC bus voltage dynamics. This is performed with an appropriated modification on the energy reference as:

$$W_{z}^{\Sigma} = \frac{1}{2} KC_{mmc} \left( v_{dc}^2 - v_{dc,0}^2 \right) + W_{z,0}^{\Sigma} \quad (21)$$
Fig. 14. Energy Management Control # 2: Virtual Capacitor control [14].

where \( v_{dc0} \) corresponds to the steady-state value of the DC voltage and \( Wz^*_{dc0} \) is the desired initial stored energy. The parameter K is referred as “Capacitor Coefficient” and defines how much of the energy \( Wz^* \) varies according to the DC voltage deviation. Now, the arm capacitors voltage reference \( v_{Cz}^* \) can be related to the energy reference as:

\[
v_{Cz}^* \approx \sqrt{\frac{2Wz^*}{C_{mmce}}} = \sqrt{K(v_{dc}^2 - v_{dc0}^2) + Wz^*} \quad (22)
\]

As in Section II-C4, the effective DC bus capacitance \( C_{eff} \) is recalculated: substituting (22) into (8) and taking into account a perfect energy controller (i.e. assuming that \( v_{Cz}^* = v_{Cz}^* \)), it yields:

\[
- \frac{1}{2}(C_{dc} + KC_{mmce}) \frac{dv_{dc}^2}{dt} = P_t - P_{ac}^* \quad (23)
\]

As shown in (23), the effective DC bus capacitance \( C_{eff} \) considers \( C_{dc} \) and also K times the total MMC capacitance. The implementation of the Energy Management Control #2: Virtual Capacitor control is shown in Fig. 14.

1) Time domain simulations: For evaluating the energy management strategy, the same large-signal time domain simulation as in Section III-B1 is performed. The energy references for converters MMC-1, MMC-2 and MMC-3 are given by (21), with K = 1.5, while maintaining the constant energy reference for MMC-4 attached to the WF. The LTI model of the MTDC is re-generated taking into account the modification on the energy reference with (21). Simulation results for the DC power and voltages are gathered in Fig. 15. The DC voltages at each converter are now maintained under the \( \%5 \) limit.

2) Limitations of Virtual Capacitor Control in droop-controlled MTDC grids: The DC voltages are near their nominal values only when a dedicated master controller sets the proper references for regulating the DC power flow. In case of transients causing a power imbalance, the DC voltage experiences a deviation due to the proportional droop controllers. Consequently, the term \( v_{dc}^2 - v_{dc0}^2 \) in (21) is not zero, so a deviation on the energy is expected for the steady state condition after an event (as it can be observed in the arm capacitor voltages \( v_{Cz}^* \) in Fig. 15). This difference on the energy level will exist until a dedicated master controller regulates again the stored energy.

This characteristic can be seen on the SVD from Fig. 16, where a parametric sweep of K is performed (from K = 1 up to 2.4 for each MMC onshore). The SVD from \( G_1 \) shows that by increasing the value of K, the low frequency behavior of the MTDC is attenuated (as the stored energy is virtually increased). However, the SVD from \( G_2 \) shows that the final deviation of the arm capacitor voltages are compromised when the capacitor coefficient K is increased. If the energy deviation is excessive (hence, the arm capacitor voltages are heavily discharged), there is a potential risk of hitting the converter limits since the arm capacitor voltages has such a low value, that it is not possible to form the desired arm voltage needed for the proper operation of the converter.

This phenomenon makes the choice of K a complicated task since several limitations should be taken into account. In the following section, a different approach for controlling the DC bus voltage while keeping the concept of energy sharing is given, which overcomes these limitations.

B. Energy Management Control #3: DC Power Derivative Control

Contrary to what has been shown in Figs. 6 and 14, another feasible arrangement could be to control the MMC internal energy with \( P_{ac}^* \) and the DC voltage with \( P_{dc}^* \) [34]. Doing so, it is possible to add a derivative term with respect to \( "(KC_{mmce}/2)v_{dc}^2" \) to the DC power reference generation \( P_{dc}^* \).
\[ \frac{1}{2} C_{dc} \frac{dv_{dc}^2}{dt} = P_t - (P_{droop}^* + P_{d0}^* + \frac{KC_{mmc}}{2} \frac{dv_{dc}^2}{dt}) \] (24a)

\[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{C_{dc} + KC_{mmc}}{C_{eff}} \right) \frac{dv_{dc}^2}{dt} = P_t - P_{droop}^* - P_{d0}^* \] (24b)

The Energy Management Control #3 is given in Fig. 17, where the main characteristic for this case is that the generation of \( P^{*}_{dc} \) and \( P^{*}_{ac} \) are inverted with respect to the previous strategies: the total energy is controlled via \( P^{*}_{ac} \), while the droop-controller acts directly on the DC power reference \( P^{*}_{dc} \) in addition to the derivative term. Moreover, a washout filter (Low-Pass Filter (LPF) with a time constant of 1 ms) is added for overcoming the fast changes of the derivative action.

1) Time domain simulations: The same time domain simulation is performed as in previous Sections for the energy management strategy from Fig. 17, and results are shown in Fig. 18. Comparing the results from Fig. 18 with the waveforms from previous strategy in Fig. 15, it can be noticed that the DC voltages behave similarly.

2) Linear analysis: Results in Fig. 19 considering the derivative control show that the deviations of the arm capacitor voltages are not violated anymore for the same values of K.

C. Offshore converters participation on DC dynamics support

As observed throughout the different SVD results in this paper (Figs. 13, 16 and 19), the peak around 650 Hz is always present. The information given by the SVD is condensed in a single graph and the explanation of this resonance cannot be directly adjudicated to a part of the system without further analysis. In Fig. 20, results for the SVD from Fig. 19 with \( K = 2.4 \), and the individual transfer functions are shown highlighting that the aforementioned resonance is mostly related to the DC voltage of the converter connected to the WF (i.e. \( v_{dc,A}(s)/P_{wf}(s) \)). This resonance is inherent to the system and it cannot be attenuated by the control actions on the onshore MMCs. This is coherent with [10], where it is suggested that the WF could also participate on the DC dynamics support.

Indeed, the aforementioned improvement can be assessed with an appropriated Energy Management Control on the offshore converter as e.g. the Virtual Capacitor strategy discussed in Section IV-A (even if they are not equipped with droop controller). For exemplifying this concept, a parametric sweep of K of MMC-4 equipped with the Virtual Capacitor Control is performed, varying from 0 (constant energy reference) to 1 (contribution to the effective DC capacitance of \( C_{mmc} \)). The rest of the system is the same as for Fig. 20. Results are shown in Fig. 21, where it can be seen that for values of K > 0.2 on MMC-4, the dynamic response of the DC grid complies with imposed voltage limitations for all the frequency range.
V. CONCLUSIONS

This paper presented a dynamic analysis of MMC-based MTDC grids, focused on the study of different control approaches of the management of the MMC internally stored energy. By using detailed MMC models suitable for small-signal stability analysis, the methodology for obtaining linearized MTDC models was presented. The linear models are validated with time-domain simulations in comparison to a detailed EMT model. With the Singular Value Decomposition method, the obtained models are exploited to identify the impact of MMC Energy-based controllers on the DC voltage behavior and arm capacitor voltages. For a given DC voltage limitation range in steady-state and during transients, it is shown that the proportional droop control technique is inefficient for limiting the DC voltage variations for the whole frequency range without further MMC control modifications. In small MTDC grids, it may possibly be allowed to loosen the imposed limitation, but with targeted improvements on the MMC control (without changing the droop value), the DC voltage deviations can be reduced even for fast dynamics. In this paper, it is proven that the DC voltage deviations can be effectively limited if each MMC can use its own dedicated internal energy management control strategy for increasing the effective DC capacitance of the whole DC grid (even if the MMCs connected to wind farms without droop controller). This feature is particularly interesting in HVDC projects where the premature aging of the cable and accessories is one of the main concerns. Furthermore, two different options were studied in this paper, but it is expected that new strategies will arise based on the MMC energy management approach.

APPENDIX

A. System Parameters

The cable and MMC parameters are listed in Tables III and IV, respectively. The variables $\tau_{x^{-}}$ and $\zeta_{x^{-}}$ are the response times and damping coefficients for the controller of “x”.

B. MMC-SSTI non-linear model equations

The expressions for the modulated voltages $v_{mdq}$ and $v_{mdqz}$ are shown in (25) (at the bottom of the page). In (26), (27) and (28), the dynamic equations for $v_{mdqz}$, $v_{mdqz}$ and $v_{Cdqz}$ are respectively shown.

$$\frac{dv_{mdqz}}{dt} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{dv_{mdq}}{dt} \\ \frac{dv_{mdq}}{2\tau_{arm}} - \frac{R_{arm}}{L_{arm}} v_{mdq} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -2v_{dqz} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}$$ (26)

$$\frac{dv_{Cdqz}}{dv_{Cdqz}} = \begin{bmatrix} 2w_{v_{Cdqz}} - 2w_{v_{Cdqz}} \end{bmatrix}^T + \begin{bmatrix} 4v_{dqz} \\ 4v_{dqz} \\ 4v_{dqz} \\ 4v_{dqz} \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} m_{dqz} \\ m_{dqz} \end{bmatrix}$$ (27)

$$\frac{dv_{Cdqz}}{dv_{Cdqz}} = \begin{bmatrix} -\omega v_{Cdqz} \omega v_{Cdqz} - 3w_{v_{Cdqz}} \end{bmatrix}^T + \begin{bmatrix} i_{dqz} \\ i_{dqz} \\ i_{dqz} \\ i_{dqz} \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} m_{dqz} \\ m_{dqz} \end{bmatrix}$$ (28)

The Park transformation adopted in this paper is shown in (29), where $n$ takes the value 1 or 2 for “$\Delta$” or “$\Sigma$” variables, respectively.

$$P_{nw} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \cos(n\omega t) \\ \sin(n\omega t) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos(n\omega t) \\ \sin(n\omega t) \end{bmatrix}$$ (29)

C. MMC Total energy calculation

The energy stored in the upper and lower arms, respectively $W_{U_{j}}$ and $W_{L_{j}}$ with $j \in [a, b, c]$, are calculated as follows:

$$W_{U_{j}} = \frac{1}{2} C_{arm} (v_{U_{j}})^2; \quad W_{L_{j}} = \frac{1}{2} C_{arm} (v_{L_{j}})^2$$ (30)

The total energy $W_{z}$ is defined in this paper as:

$$W_{z} = W_{U_{a}} + W_{L_{a}} + W_{U_{b}} + W_{L_{b}} + W_{U_{c}} + W_{L_{c}}$$ (31)
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