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1. Introduction

Whiplash has been defined as a fast motion of the head and neck without a direct force exerted onto the head. A major cause of neck injuries is car accidents, leading to whiplash associated disorders (Laporte et al. 2016).

The symptoms include neck pain, fatigue, dizziness, blurred vision, painful lesions (Chalovich & Eisenberg 2005), and headaches (Panjabi et al. 1998), are non-specific and are reported up to months or years after accidents. Although, the imaging methods, such as MRI or X-Ray, are not sufficient to identify these injuries (Panjabi et al. 1998).

The mechanisms of injury is not yet fully understood. It has been shown that precontracted neck muscles, acceleration level and visual inputs would influence the head motion (Eng et al. 1997; Brault et al. 2000; Kumar et al. 2005; Sandoz et al. 2016).

The aim of the present study is to model the dynamic of the head/neck complex to understand muscle stabilization strategies. The boundary conditions of the model are based on previous in vivo experiments on 20 volunteers seated on an accelerated sled in frontal direction (Sandoz et al. 2016). Some of these volunteers performed EOS Xray images in order to personalize geometrical parameters. EOS imaging system is a low dose X-rays acquisition system allowing to perform bi-planar acquisition of the subject’s cervical spine. From these X-rays, the 3D personalized geometry of each volunteer’s head neck complex can be built.

2. Methods

The model is a double inverted pendulum multi-body model using Matlab Simulink, representing the upper torso, the neck, and the head (Figure 1). Based on EOS X-rays, realistic geometries, and relative position were applied to the body segments. Masses and moments of inertia were based on the literature (Mcconville & Churchill 1980).

The speed of the sled was imposed to the upper torso of the model, from the experiments. Torques between head/neck and neck/torso are used to stabilize the motion of the segments and to represent the action of the muscles, joints and ligaments (equation 1).

\[ T(\Delta \theta) = - \sin(\Delta \theta)C_0 [e^{\alpha \Delta \theta} - 1] \]  

(1)

T is the torque of the joint (head/neck and neck/torso), \(\Delta \theta\) is the angle between two adjacent segments, \(C_0\) is the coefficient of proportionality, \(\alpha\) is the angle of the curvature between torque and \(\Delta \theta\). The values were \(\alpha = 10\) and \(C_0 = 12\). \(\Delta \theta\) is taken from the simulation according to changing angle of the segments.

Maximal natural Range of Motion (ROM) of the model is based on the real flexion and extension of the neck of each volunteer from the in vivo experiments.

ROM of the head respect to the trunk were obtained depending on the level of acceleration and chosen torques, and compared with inertial measurement units data from the experiments. To evaluate the model, two volunteers data were compared: one male (23yo, 1.83m, 65kg) with a floppy behavior (large head amplitudes), and one male (39yo, 1.80m, 82kg) with stiff behavior (small head amplitudes).

3. Results and discussion

The proposed model is able to represent a realistic behavior of the dynamic flexion/extension of the head and neck for floppy behavior. Five experimental repetitions of identical accelerations (0.25g) were compared to the model using the same boundary conditions. The amplitude of the floppy volunteer, is similar for both the extension and
The torque is currently depending on only two parameters ($C_0$ and $\Delta \theta$). In order to improve the simulation according to the real movements, the torque will take into account multiple other parameters (accelerations, angle velocities...).

4. Conclusions

With a simple torque, this 3-body model which include realistic anthropometric data might simulate whiplash-like experiments.