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**A B S T R A C T**

This work addresses the tribological reliability of TiAlN-based PVD coatings face to TiN-based CVD coating in cutting FRP. Wear and linear pin-on-plate tests were conducted on both glass/epoxy and carbon/epoxy composites for investigating wear progression and apparent friction, respectively. The TiAlN location shows great role in controlling wear upon PVD coatings. While abrasion was found dominating the wear mechanisms upon the flank face irrespective to coating type, PVD coatings demonstrate better ability to dissipate severe cratering. Although the all coatings showed close behavior against carbon/epoxy, TiAlN/AlCrO coating revealed great vulnerability against glass/epoxy resulting in extended AlCrO layer failure. While wear substantially reduces the thermal conductivity, PVD coatings kept roughly better conductivities than CVD coating as for worn states.

1. Introduction

PVD coating techniques proved their efficiency in enhancing tool life in several applications [1]. While advances have been well marked for metals, processing of heterogeneous materials such as composites still involves very challenging task. Although superior specific properties of fiber-reinforced polymers (FRP) are promoting for several applications, their machinability still presents many issues. The fiber abrasiveness is assumed to be the main role in controlling tool behavior. During cutting, the tool undergoes a series of low-to-high cyclic loads due to the properties of fiber and matrix phases. Thermo-mechanical disequilibrium takes place yielding, hence, poor surface finish, local delamination and fiber-matrix decohesion. The interface consumption mechanisms resulting in material removal bodies (coating components, fiber particles, matrix debris, etc.) should locally influence the contact properties [2]. The evolution of thermo-mechanical properties at the tool-material interface affects the tool behavior, particularly, the coating endurance. TiAlN coatings consist of effective solution since they exhibit unique properties at elevated temperatures. Especially, they preserve relatively high hardness with good thermal and chemical stability at high temperature [3–6].

Nowadays, thin hard PVD coatings are available for improving tool ability i.e. reducing friction and wear, in various manufacturing sets. Physical vapor deposition of TiN had marked the earlier development in thin coating technologies [7,8]. TiAlN coatings are among the intensely commercial techniques used for the enhancement of tool life. They can be applied as monolayer or as multilayers in combination with other coating types such as Ti and TiN [9,10]. They present a novel set of physical vapor depositions with improved properties (heat resistance, oxidation resistance, hardness, toughness, etc.) if compared with TiN coatings. In spite of the advances achieved, the efficiency of PVD coatings in machining fiber-reinforced composites still remains challenging.

Machining of FRP involves the coated tool to be in aggressive contact with the abrasive surface of the composite component. Thus, the coating resistance depends on the coating properties (coating structure and composition, substrate-to-coating adhesion, etc.). The PVD processes feature to enhance both physical and chemical properties of the overall coating [11,12]. TiN/TiAlN is expected to be a promising candidate as a hard coating layer because of excellent properties especially for high temperature applications.

PalDey et al. [13,14] reported that TiAlN coatings by PVD process enhance wear, thermal, and oxidation resistance of large tool variety. In addition to these properties, relatively low thermal conductivity makes these coatings most desirable in dry, abrasive, and high speed machining. Combination of TiAlN with multicomponent
coatings of complementary properties should lead, in turn, to a further refinement of coating structure. The presence of multiple interfaces between individual coating layers promotes an increase of hardness and strength of multilayered coatings. Li et al. [15] investigated multilayer coating structure composed of different TiAlN/TiN bilayer periods for wear protection. Multilayer structure results typically in an increase in hardness and adhesive strength. Combination of thermal stability of TiAIN and resistance-to-oxidation of CrAIN ensures an improved behavior in machining, irrespective to operating conditions. Mkaddem et al. [16] examined also the performance of multilayer coating structure in cutting composites. From observations, the TiAIN layer of TiN/TiAlN coating shows efficiency to resist the cratering because of fibers spring-back. However, the same layer made of finer grains upon TiAIN/AlCrO, exhibits better ability in dissipating severe abrasion to the detriment of a faster wear due to better adhesion at layers’ interface. Promotion of TiAIN-based multilayered coatings was widely addressed in open literature [17,18].

Currently, TiAIN coatings are assumed to be the second series in commercial hard following TiN coatings [7,19]. Ding et al. [19] measured the thermal conductivity of a series of TiAIN coatings with different Al/Ti atomic ratio deposited on AISI304 stainless steel substrate. They outlined a significant decrease in thermal conductivity with increasing Al/Ti atomic ratio. A minimum thermal conductivity of about 4.63 W/mK was obtained at the Al/Ti atomic ratio of around 0.72. Srinivasan et al. [20] also studied the variation of thermal properties of TiAIN thin films on silicon substrate versus di-nitrogen (N$_2$) flow rate. They stated an increase in thermal conductivity with increasing N$_2$ flow rate. The measured value were found significantly lower than those obtained with the TiAIN bulk materials.

Alternating contact between the tool-tip and composite phases consists of actual difficulty in machining. The new coating sets based on multilayer systems meet all the success in machining advanced composites [2,16,21]. Wear mechanisms on TiAIN/TiN coated tool have been particularly addressed by Isbilir et al. [22] when drilling carbon fiber-reinforced plastics. While abrasive wear operates on both relief and flank tool faces, no sign of degradation by chipping or plastic deformation were detected upon the drill surfaces. As both carbon and glass fibers significantly enhance the composite strength, the tool resistance is sensitively affected as a consequence [23].

In this paper, the behavior of two TiAIN-based coatings while cutting glass- and carbon fiber-reinforced polymers was investigated. Flank wear progression, friction and thermal conductivity were measured and discussed relatively to the performance of an available commercial CVD multilayered coating.

2. Experimental procedures

2.1. Composite specimens

The composite panels were firstly prepared at room temperature in 400 x 400 mm mold using hand lay-up technique. The cure cycle [24,25] for each used composite was adjusted so as to ensure the best technical solution avoiding deflection of the panels during cooling stage (Fig. 1a). Then, the panels were introduced in a press molding machine to undergo the required cure cycle (Fig. 1b).

The prepregs were supplied by COMPOSITES DISTRIBUTION GROUP (France). Table 1 summarizes the specifications of each phase of both CFRP and GFRP studied materials. After cooling, the panels were pre-trimmed using a diamond wheel to obtain the testing specimen of $100 \times 50 \times 4 \text{ mm}$ in dimensions. Clockwise sense was considered to specify the fiber orientation with respect of tool trajectory. Both wear and friction tests use the same specimen type.

2.2. Wear tests

A shaper machine (Model GSP-EL 136) of 5222 W maximum power, 650 mm maximum stroke, and 100 m min$^{-1}$ maximum speed was used for conducting wear tests. The capability of two PVD TiAIN-based coatings was investigated and compared to a referred CVD coating. All inserts, supplied by Sandvik Coromant Group, have unique cutting geometry with rake and clearance angles of 0° and 7°, respectively. The insert is lock-pinned on a tool-holder of model STFCR 2525M 16-4 (ISO). Table 2 summarizes the specifications of the three selected coatings. Wear tests were however limited to the specimen of 45° fiber orientation since cutting mechanisms in that configuration combines the most wear modes encountered. That configuration is also assumed representative of the most severe conditions at tool-material interface. The feed ($f$), depth of cut ($a_p$), and cutting speed ($v_c$) were fixed to 0.3 mm, 4 mm, and 50 m min$^{-1}$, respectively. Dry cutting was ensured through consecutive edge trimming of the top side of coatings.

![Fig. 1. (a) Press-molding machine, and (b) typical cure cycles used for the fabrication of the considered composites.](image-url)
the specimen up to a maximum cutting length \((L_c)\) of 100 m, at which dominating wear process becomes substantially invariable. The feed is coordinately adjusted to the target value at each trimming sequence of 0.12 s. A new insert is used to perform the wear tests, respectively (Table 4). The tool-holder and pin-on-plate system are illustrated in Fig. 2.

### 2.3. Friction tests

In this work, friction tests were performed in order to assess the apparent friction coefficient. The friction test inserts were chosen to be cutting edge-free to prevent material removal while the coating type was kept the same. Because of cost and time consuming, the design of experiments was restricted to CVD coating and only one PVD coating (Table 3). The coated pins are cylindrical of 19.1 mm in diameter and 6 mm in effective width. They are lock- pinned so as to prevent any free rotation of the pin during sliding against the composite plate.

Sliding contact between the cylindrical insert and the top side of the specimen results from the relative motion between the fixed table and the shaper ram. Before each test, the top side of the specimen was pre-trimmed to ensure the tool-plate interface to be rectilinear. This ensures a continuous and constant contact pressure during testing step. The fiber orientation \((\theta)\) and applied contact pressure \((P)\) are variables. The former was adjusted by means of Kistler piezoelectric dynamometer (model 9255B) connected to an acquisition device. The sliding speed \(\left(V_s\right)\) and contact width \(\left(W_c\right)\) were adjusted to cutting speed and cutting feed used in wear tests, respectively (Table 4). The tool-holder and pin-on-plate system are illustrated in Fig. 2.

### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. GFRP cutting induced wear

The microscopic inspections performed on worn faces revealed that flank wear commonly dominates upon the studied inserts (Fig. 3). However, worn area and wear patterns observed on CVD coatings were found nearly different from those observed on PVD coatings. The wear mechanisms induced substantially due to abrasive fibers, acts differently upon the coating layers because of various coating properties. The rapid onset of irregular streaks on the CVD coating reflects a hard abrasion that takes firstly place on TiCN layer before reaching the cemented carbide substrate. While the former coating exhibit discontinuous and randomly oriented wear patterns (Fig. 3a–d), TiAlN–AlCrO PVD coating shows continuous streaks oriented parallel to the tool trajectory. This implicitly refers to the abrasion mode operating on that PVD coating. At early cutting stage \((L_c \leq 50\ m)\), the material removal still remains localized throughout the outer coating layer made of AlCrO (Fig. 3e–f). Once that layer was fully removed \((L_c \geq 50\ m)\), the sharp edges of cut fibers come into contact with the intermediate layer made of TiAlN, which forces wear to progress toward the substrate (Fig. 3g and h). The micrographs make it possible to distinguish the substrate from the two coating constituents. After 1 min-working period, the coating set completely fails and the damage reaches the substrate. Besides, when abrasion develops close to the tool-tip, erosion mechanisms extends along the frontiers of the AlCrO layer (see Fig. 3g and h). The observations show fine cratering throughout the worn area, which attributed to the adhesion level between the two coating layers. It can be outlined that PVD technique allows, mostly, better adhesion of coating phases to the substrate, and to each other than CVD one. Following the specifications of the supplier, TiAlN–AlCrO and TiN–TiAlN PVD coatings are classified as with ‘medium’ and ‘high’ adhesion, respectively. It appears that adhesion of TiAlN–AlCrO to the substrate is not high enough to efficiently resist the action of abrasive glass fibers. However, adhesion between the two coating layers seems to be so enough so that to reduce wear to a local cratering mechanisms acting in TiAIN intermediate layer. The relatively good adhesion at TiAIN/AlCrO interfaces is essentially attributed to the ultra-fine grains constituting the coating layers as mentioned in the coating specifications. This grain grade is crucial for reaching a thickness of 2 \(\mu\)m in such a coating. The coating thickness has tendency to increase with the grain number. An increase in grain number through the thickness multiplies the interfaces at grain boundaries which relatively alters adhesion quality and favors, in turn, premature degradation of the coating. Nevertheless, the deep streaks observed on the CVD worn land result practically in the relatively coarse grains forming the coating layers. As obvious, the failure of grain boundaries is nearly more probable than breaking-up a grain itself. Unexpectedly, the TiAIN–AlCrO coated insert exhibited good ability to produce neat GFRP surfaces in spite of its high vulnerability to degradation. From observations, it was revealed that the former has the sharpest cutting edge as compared to the other considered inserts.

Even if abrasion mode acts also upon the TiN–TiAIN coating, it exhibits slower progression than the other used coatings. The good adhesion property of TiN [16] plays a great role in resisting

### Table 1

Specifications of material constituents used in specimen preparation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>GFRP</th>
<th>CFRP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prepreg</td>
<td>1418 g m(^{-2})</td>
<td>923 m(^{-2})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure</td>
<td>0.3–3 bar</td>
<td>0.3–5 bar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ply thickness</td>
<td>0.742 mm</td>
<td>0.6 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix</td>
<td>Epoxy BE M10</td>
<td>Epoxy M9.6G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass transition temperature ((T_g))</td>
<td>120 °C</td>
<td>120 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density</td>
<td>1.2 g cm(^{-3})</td>
<td>1.2 cm(^{-3})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume fraction</td>
<td>29.5%</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiber</td>
<td>Glass E 2400</td>
<td>Carbon Panex 35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density</td>
<td>2.6 g cm(^{-3})</td>
<td>1.81 g cm(^{-3})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal mass</td>
<td>1000 g m(^{-2})</td>
<td>600 g m(^{-2})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2

Design of experiments for wear analysis, and thermal conductivity measurements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nuances used</th>
<th>Designation</th>
<th>Substrate Type</th>
<th>Coating Thickness</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Composition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TCMT16T308</td>
<td>UF4215</td>
<td>WC-Co</td>
<td>14.5–17.5 (\mu)m</td>
<td>CVD</td>
<td>TiCN–Al(_2)O(_3)–TiAIN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCMT16T308</td>
<td>GC1115</td>
<td>WC-Co</td>
<td>2 (\mu)m</td>
<td>PVD</td>
<td>TiAIN–AlCrO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCMT16T308</td>
<td>GC2930</td>
<td>WC-Co</td>
<td>2 (\mu)m</td>
<td>PVD</td>
<td>TiAIN–TiAIN</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
wear development. From the micrographs of Fig. 3i–l, the TiN intermediate layer shows relatively narrow worn area while the top TiAlN layer undergoes early material removal owing to severe abrasion. Contrary to the two other inserts, the TiAlN layer succeeds at preventing wear to penetrate into the following coating to the detriment of wide superficial worn area, up to cutting length approximating 25 m (micrograph of Fig. 3i). This patently proves the ability of TiAlN to delocalize wear when operating in aggressive contact conditions as governed by sharp glass fibers. The intermediate layer i.e. TiN, however, demonstrates ability to localize material removal at the maximum until advanced cutting stage (Fig. 3l). By inspecting the TiN worn area, it was noticed that material removal doesn’t evolve perfectly linearly versus cutting period since the TiN worn area fraction ($A_f$) increases faster within 25–50 m than out of this domain. Nevertheless, it is virtually certain that abrasion regime on the coating set fluctuates from severe regular to severe irregular upon the two extreme constituents i.e. the substrate and the top layer. However, hard regular abrasion regime should describe quite better the intermediate layer behavior.

3.2. CFRP cutting induced wear

As for CFRP cutting, abrasion still remains dominating the flank wear upon all the used coatings. The examination of CVD coating reveals more vulnerability of TiN layer against CFRP than against GFRP. The top layer is released so early so as to transfer rapidly wear to the intermediate layer made of Al$_2$O$_3$. The former undergoes hard abrasion resulting in regular and pronounced wear patterns that appear as soon as cutting starts (Fig. 4a). The examination of worn CVD inserts reveals that CFRP cutting induces flank wear values of approximately 1.5 to 1.8 times higher than GFRP cutting while $l_c$ ranges in 25–100 m. However, the abrasion mode acting particularly on alumina phase switches from discontinuous irregular (Fig. 3a–d) to continuous regular (Fig. 4a–d), when the cut structure changes from GFRP to CFRP, respectively. When operating with CVD coating against CFRP, the substrate stands out because of the failure of fully coating set, at approximately 60 s cutting period.

While TiAlN–AlCrO (PVD) and TiCN–Al$_2$O$_3$–TiN (CVD) inserts show comparable worn area, the PVD coating constituents’ seem to remove faster. The substrate emerges at early cutting stage (Fig. 4e). The TiAlN intermediate layer emerges also early through the top layer. This was mainly attributed to local cratering owing to fibers
spring-back that acts directly on the AlCrO top layer, and capable for uncovering the TiAIN sub-layer. Unlike to GFRP cutting tests, CFRP cutting tests lead to approximately same worn area upon the two PVD coatings (Fig. 4i–l). However, it was found that wear mechanisms dominating the coating behavior upon TiN–TiAIN are, from one hand, (i) more severe, and, from the other hand, (ii) different to those PVD coatings.
observed on TiAlN–AlCrO. In fact, material pulls out through the TiAlN top layer, and the cutter edge rounds-off along the substrate (Fig. 4k–l). This results, in turn, in relatively neat different worn area fractions of TiAlN phase in the two PVD inserts.

### 3.3. Effect of TiAlN “location” in wear progression

The relative good property of TiAlN offers, actually, valuable solution for dry cutting applications. Cutting of heterogeneous
materials such as unidirectional composite structures is among the primary beneficiaries. As a summary, Table 5 emphasizes the wear variation induced upon TiAlN layer due to cutting of both GFRP and CFRP composites, when it changes location from intermediate (TiAlN–AlCrO) to top (TiN–TiAIN).

- **TiAIN vs. GFRP**
  When TiAIN layer changes location from intermediate to top, abrasion mechanisms dominating material removal process switches from hard to severe, respectively (Table 5). Wear patterns, besides, transform from regular to irregular, respectively. It is worth noting that hard abrasion at intermediate location combines with fine cratering. The former refers to a potential local material removal of the top coating layer because of resistance of TiAIN/AlCrO interface to progressive failure. As a layer top, TiAIN suffers from fiber spring-back resulting in variable streak depth. Although the TiAIN flank wear ($V_B$) values developed at the two locations are very close, the worn area fraction ($A_{Fl}$) calculated at intermediate location is found, however, about 3 times lower ($A_{Int}^{GFRP} \approx 0.3$) than that obtained at the top location (Fig. 5a), where $t$ is the composite plate thickness. At top location, hence, such a layer acts to delay, at maximum, wear to reaching the intermediate layer as practically observed in TiN–TiAIN insert. As for intermediate location, it exhibited the shallowest and closest worn area which reflects its ability to moderate wear progression (i) firstly, by dissipating abrasion actions progressing from the top layer, and (ii) secondly, by delaying, accordingly, the substrate to enter into contact with the cut fibers.

- **TiAIN vs. CFRP**
  The most significant transformation in wear behavior of TiAIN was detected during cutting of CFRP. Abrasion mechanisms was found able to pass from mild to severe regime when TiAIN changes location from intermediate to top. Additionally, typical material removal takes place upon that coating layer at top location (Table 5). The CFRP cutting tests yield TiAIN wear tendencies opposite to those obtained in GFRP cutting tests: The worn area fraction calculated for intermediate location increases from $\sim$9% to $\sim$17% while it decreases from $\sim$31% to $\sim$10% for top location while composite plate changes from GFRP to CFRP (Fig. 5b). Here, TiAIN flank wear measured at the intermediate location is approximately twice that measured at the top one ($V_B^{CFRP} = \frac{V_B^{GFRP}}{2}$). Unlike to CFRP cutting case, the ratio between the worn area fractions resulting in CFRP cutting keeps roughly same value ($r_{CFRP} = \frac{A_{Fl}^{CFRP}}{A_{Fl}^{GFRP}} \approx 1.8$). It was found that flank wear and worn area fractions of TiAIN constituent obtained at GFRP cutting can be, respectively, related to those obtained at CFRP cutting. From experimental findings, the following relationships were especially deduced at 100 m cutting length:

$$r_{GFRP}^{CFRP} = \frac{1}{2} r_{GFRP}^{CFRP}$$

$$r_{CFRP}^{CFRP} = \left( r_{CFRP} \right)^{-1}$$

Irrespective to the insert used, the WC-Co substrate exhibits the most area fraction against CFRP because of relatively rapid removal of superior coating layers. Once these former fully disappear, the fibers enter in contact with the substrate allowing hence abrasion to develop within the active zone. In the case where the TiAIN layer occupies the intermediate location, the coating set shows better performance in protecting the substrate against GFRP than against CFRP. In fact, the coating set was found able for dissipating more than 80% of generated wear ($A_{Eros}=A_{Top}+A_{Int}$) when operating face to GFRP composite.

### Table 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Coating type</th>
<th>Constituent Substrate</th>
<th>1st Intermediate layer</th>
<th>2nd Intermediate layer</th>
<th>Top layer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GFRP</td>
<td>TiCN–Al2O3–TiN</td>
<td>Mild abrasion</td>
<td>Hard abrasion/irregular cratering</td>
<td>Mild abrasion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiAIN</td>
<td>Al2O3</td>
<td>Hard abrasion</td>
<td>Mild abrasion</td>
<td>Hard abrasion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiN–TiAIN</td>
<td>Al2O3</td>
<td>Hard abrasion</td>
<td>Mild abrasion</td>
<td>Hard abrasion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFRP</td>
<td>TiN–Al2O3–TiN</td>
<td>Mild abrasion</td>
<td>Hard abrasion/irregular cratering*</td>
<td>Mild abrasion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiAIN</td>
<td>AlCrO</td>
<td>Mild abrasion</td>
<td>Hard abrasion/irregular cratering</td>
<td>Mild abrasion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiN–TiAIN</td>
<td>AlCrO</td>
<td>Mild abrasion</td>
<td>Hard abrasion/irregular cratering*</td>
<td>Mild abrasion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Fig. 5

Worn area fraction measured upon coating layers of each PVD insert at $l=100$ m. (a) GFRP cutting tests, and (b) CFRP cutting tests.
compared to only 29% face to CFRP. The same conclusion might be outlined when TiAlN occupies the top layer since the whole coating area fractions ($A_{\text{Coat}}$) obtained in cutting GFRP and CFRP are, respectively, 55% and 24%. This indicates the high vulnerability of PVD coating to resist the carbon fiber aggressiveness.

3.4. Flank wear rate

Fig. 6a and b show the maximum flank wear measured over the studied inserts. From tendencies, it is easily to distinguish the typical behavior of TiAlN–AlCrO versus GFRP if compared to the two other coatings.

The wear rate, $W = \frac{V_{B_{\text{max}}}}{t}$ where $t_c$ is the cutting lifetime, resulting from CFRP cutting tests was intentionally calculated for investigating the resistance to wear of the three inserts. The obtained values of $W$ show very close behavior between CVD and PVD insert. The wear rate is virtually constant for the three coated inserts since the values range in 0.0015–0.0017 mm s$^{-1}$. The discrepancies were, however, found significantly larger when the inserts operates versus GFRP since $W$ exhibits wide variation range i.e. 0.0006–0.0036 mm s$^{-1}$. Referring to the former values, TiAlN–AlCrO coating records a wear rate versus GFRP of about two times higher than the rate obtained versus CFRP. This reflects, in turn, the vulnerability of such a coating set because of a premature failure of the top layer by effect of erosion. The CVD and TiN–TiAlN PVD coatings proved better efficiency to resist the wear progression within the cutting period.

3.5. Apparent friction maps

A tribological test reflecting the real technical configuration was proposed for investigating the reliability of multilayer coatings in cutting unidirectional fiber-reinforced composite structures. The TiAlN–AlCrO PVD coating behavior was especially compared to the CVD coating behavior. Fig. 7 shows the apparent friction maps versus both applied pressure and fiber orientation, built for the aforementioned inserts.

The measurements performed for GFRP plates using the two coating types lead to comparable plots (Fig. 7a and e). The apparent friction coefficient was found more sensitive to fiber orientation than pressure since $\mu_{ap}$ exhibits a non-linear behavior versus $\theta$ marking thus an inflection transition about 45° along all pressure range. The friction values are as high as $\theta$ and $P$ are high. However, the variation gap appears more significant versus fiber orientation. The highest friction values were recorded within 60–90°. In such an orientation range, the number of cut fibers in contact with the tool is relatively high which explains, in part, the rise observed in apparent friction. However, 0°–fiber orientation shows the lowest values because the fiber circumferential surface favors sliding while fiber cut sections enhances adherence.

While CVD-on-CFRP tests (Fig. 7b) yield a tendency roughly similar to those obtained on GFRP, the PVD-on-CFRP tests (Fig. 7f) doesn’t lead to a so neat similitude with the map shown in Fig. 7b. It is worth noting that friction resulting in PVD coating fluctuates in larger ranges irrespective to fiber type (Table 6).

The friction range owing to PVD-on-GFRP tests records an enlargement of about 9.5% while PVD-on-CFRP tests lead to a three times higher percentage, namely 27.3% (Fig. 7g and h). The sensitivity of friction interval to the coating type can be respectively expressed for the two studied composite as,

$$\mu_{ap_{\text{GFRP}}}-\mu_{ap_{\text{CFRP}}} = 0.044$$

and

$$\mu_{ap_{\text{PVD}}} = \mu_{ap_{\text{CVD}}} = 0.051$$

$$\mu_{ap_{\text{PVD}}}-\mu_{ap_{\text{CVD}}} = 0.142$$

$$\mu_{ap_{\text{PVD}}}-\mu_{ap_{\text{CFRP}}} = 0.131$$

where $\mu_{ap_i}$ is the apparent friction for a specified deposition mode $i$ and specified composite material $j$.

Thus, the PVD coating looks more beneficial for cutting low fiber oriented structures regardless the fiber type and pressure. At relatively high fiber orientations, the CVD coating overcomes the PVD one (see Fig. 7c and d). This was essentially attributed to the further vulnerability of PVD coating against cut fibers acting in block for generating premature removal of coating layers. It should be mentioned, moreover, that PVD deposited layers are 7 to 9 times thinner than CVD deposited one which expose them to higher failure risk although their better adhesion to the substrate.

3.6. Thermal conductivity

AFM (DIMENSION Edge Veeco) was employed to analyze the thermal conductivity of both new and worn inserts by means of scratch test [2,16]. The test uses a thermal probe type VITA-DM-GLA-1 of 150 µm in length as a resistive heater for scanning active zone of coated insert. During analysis, the temperature of the probe was kept constant by dint of an electric voltage adjustment...
Fig. 7. Typical friction maps and friction evolutions obtained upon GFRP, and CFRP (SD: 0.086 ± 0.03). (a-d) CVD coating response, (e-f) PVD coating response.
As heat flow throughout the probe/insert interface is sensitive to thermal conductivity ($\lambda$) variation, the scan area was kept constant for all the analyzed inserts. Any variation in $\lambda$ induces fluctuations in the output voltage. Contrast mode imaging analysis lead to deduce the thermal conductivity value as the average of the all data points to be measured throughout the scanned area. For comparison purposes, the measured $\lambda$-values were intentionally reported to the thermal conductivity measured upon glass/epoxy prepreg, using same methodology.

At new state, the three studied inserts commonly show higher relative thermal conductivity than that obtained at worn state (Fig. 8). In fact, there is no appreciable difference between thermal conductivities of the two PVD coatings at the new state. As obvious, the measured values at new state refer to the thermal conductivities of the top layer. The $\lambda$-values fall by approximately 50% after 120 s working period whatever the fiber type. This entails that wear process dominates the structure effect as for thermal conductivity variation.

As a proof, it was typically mentioned in open literature that $\lambda_{\text{TiN}}$ is relatively higher than $\lambda_{\text{AlCrN}}$ [29], which fits to the obtained results upon new inserts. A part of the lost coating, as particles or debris because of wear mechanisms, will be transferred to the composite cut area. This should play, somehow, to vary likely the thermal properties of the composite constituents [2]. In this case, the cut composite acts as thermal dissipater face to coating layer which explains, in some way, the drop in the thermal conductivity values observed upon all the studied inserts.

### 4. Conclusions

This paper addressed the reliability of typical TiAlN-based PVD coatings in cutting FRP composites. Wear and friction tests were performed in order to highlight, respectively, the material removal process and friction evolution versus testing conditions. The coating efficiency versus both glass/epoxy and carbon/epoxy was sensitively reported to the TiAlN layer location within the coating set. From experimental findings, the following conclusions can be drawn:

- While abrasion dominates wear upon CVD coating regardless the fiber type, it shows more vulnerability in cutting GFRP than in cutting CFRP. TiN top layer fails much earlier versus CFRP so as to transfer rapidly wear to Al$_2$O$_3$ intermediate layer.
- Unlike GFRP cutting tests, CVD cutting tests yield so similar worn area upon both the TiN–TiAlN and TiAlN–AlCrN coatings while the former exhibits less severe wear mechanisms. As intermediate layer, TiAlN emerged early through the AlCrO top layer due to local cratering resulting in carbon fiber spring-back.
- The location of TiAlN within the PVD coating is of great role in controlling wear. Although it suffers from damage involved by the effect of fiber spring-back, such a layer acts in top location to delay at maximum the progression of in-depth wear face to GFRP. As intermediate layer, it plays to dissipate glass fiber induced abrasion progressing from the top layer, which delays, hence, the substrate failure. At that location, the entire coating set shows better efficiency in protecting the substrate against GFRP than against CVDP since it dissipates the most glass fiber induced wear as compared to carbon fiber induced one. This indicates the particular sensitivity of PVD coating to carbon fiber aggressiveness.
- The results of tribological tests revealed an extension of about 9.5% in PVD-on-GFRP friction range and 27.3% in PVD-on-CFRP, as compared to tests conducted, respectively, using CVD coating. PVD coating was found more beneficial at low fiber orientations irrespective to fiber type and pressure. However, PVD coatings exhibit vulnerability at higher orientations where cut fibers act in package for enhancing premature coating damage.

### Table 6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CVD$_{4215}$ (TiCN–Al$_2$O$_3$–TiN)</th>
<th>PVD$_{1115}$ (TiAlN–AlCrO)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GFRP</td>
<td>0.178–0.314</td>
<td>0.168–0.328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFRP</td>
<td>0.176–0.362</td>
<td>0.153–0.414</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Fig. 8. Thermal conductivity values obtained on the considered inserts by AFM scratch tests at new and worn ($λ_{ap}=100$ m) states.](image)
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