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Clinical and stereoradiographic analysis of adult spinal deformity with and without rotatory subluxation
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Abstract

Introduction: In degenerative adult spinal deformity (ASD), sagittal malalignment and rotatory subluxation (RS) correlate with clinical symptomatology. RS is defined as axial rotation with lateral listhesis. Stereoradiography, recently developed for medical applications, provides full-body standing radiographs and 3D reconstruction of the spine, with low radiation dose.

Hypothesis: 3D stereoradiography improves analysis of RS and of its relations with transverse plane and spinopelvic parameters and clinical impact.

Material and methods: One hundred and thirty adults with lumbar ASD and full-spine EOS® radiographs (EOS Imaging, Paris, France) were included. Spinopelvic sagittal parameters and lateral listhesis in the coronal plane were measured. The transverse plane study parameters were: apical axial vertebral rotation (apex AVR), axial intervertebral rotation (AIR) and torsion index (TI). Two groups were compared: with RS (lateral listhesis > 5 mm) and without RS (without lateral listhesis exceeding 5 mm: non-RS). Correlations between radiologic and clinical data were assessed.

Results: RS patients were significantly older, with larger Cobb angle (37.4° vs. 26.6°, P = 0.0001), more severe sagittal deformity, and greater apex AVR and TI (respectively: 22.9° vs. 11.3°, P < 0.001; and 41.0° vs. 19.9°, P < 0.001). Ten percent of patients had AIR > 10° without visible RS on 2D radiographs. RS patients reported significantly more frequent low back pain and radiculalgia.

Discussion: In this EOS® study, ASD patients with RS had greater coronal curvature and sagittal and transverse deformity, as well as greater pain. Further transverse plane analysis could allow earlier diagnosis and prognosis to guide management.

Level of evidence: 4, retrospective study.

1. Introduction

Low back pain and radiculalgia are among the most frequent reasons for orthopedic consultation, at 2.5% in some countries [1]. There are many causes, of which spinal deformity is one. A recent study reported that the rate of spinal deformity can reach 68% in elderly populations (mean age > 65 years) [2]. Moreover, in degenerative adult spinal deformity (ASD) frontal deformity with vertebral rotation and sagittal malalignment is often associated with osteoarthritis and discal and ligamentous degeneration, inducing central or foraminal canal stenosis with radicular compression [3]. The combination of these phenomena causes pain and major disability [4,5].

To investigate the relation between symptoms and spinal deformity, several studies assessed correlations between radiologic parameters and quality of life scores [2,3,6–8]. Radiologic parameters most frequently found to be associated with symptoms were rotatory subluxation (RS) of the joint and loss of lumbar lordosis leading to global sagittal alignment defect, triggering compensation mechanisms in the pelvis, such as increased pelvic retroversion, or spine, such as flattening of the thoracic kyphosis [8]. Moderate but significant correlations were recently reported between clinical disability scores and sagittal spinopelvic radiographic parameters, demonstrating the contribution of global sagittal analysis to diagnosis, prognosis and management [5,9,10]. Coronal alignment
parameters, on the other hand, seem to have little influence on the severity of pain and functional disability [5].

However, all of the literature regarding correlations between radiologic and clinical data has been restricted to 2D radiography, whereas adult spinal deformity is 3-dimensional deformity sometimes causing RS [11]. Radiographic assessment of vertebral rotation often uses pedicle projection on AP view [12–14]. However, in severe rotation the pedicle becomes difficult to identify [15]. MRI or CT may complete X-ray examination but are performed with the patient in supine position and do not allow analysis of anatomic factors underlying pain or loss of function in upright position. Stereoradiography, which was recently developed, provides full-body standing radiographs without distortion and with a low dose of radiation and shorter examination time, and allows 3D reconstruction at lower cost than MRI or CT [16–19].

Certain studies of adolescent idiopathic scoliosis using stereoradiography highlighted the importance of the axial plane for deformity analysis [17,18]. However, the literature on 3D analysis of adult spinal deformity remains sparse [20,21]. The present study therefore sought to analyze RS in ASD by 3D stereographic reconstruction, assessing correlations between axial plane and spinopelvic parameters on the one hand and pain and functional impairment on the other.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Data collection

A retrospective study included patients between November 2012 and July 2014, after institutional review board approval. Inclusion criteria were: adult patient consulting for spinal deformity (Cobb angle > 10°) [22]. Exclusion criteria were: non-idiopathic or non-degenerative etiology, and history of spine surgery. Demographic data comprised age, gender and body-mass index (BMI). Functional data comprised Oswestry Disability Index (ODI) and a visual analog scale (VAS), as well as low back and radicular pain. Radiography used the EOS® system (EOS Imaging, Paris, France), on a standardized protocol: patient upright, with horizontal gaze, and fingers on the clavicles to avoid superimposition on the arm on the spine [23].

2.2. Radiographic analysis

Radiographic measurements were made by an experienced observer. 2D measurement on Surgimap software (Nemaris Inc., New York, USA) consisted in lateral listhesis alone: distance (in mm), on the convex side parallel to the cranial plate of the underlying vertebra, between the lateral edge of the underlying vertebra and the lateral edge of the overlying vertebra lowered perpendicularly to the plate of the underlying vertebra (Fig. 1). RS was defined as axial rotation associated with > 5 mm lateral listhesis in the coronal plane [24,25]. The patient cohort was thus divided into two groups: with (RS) and without ≥ 1 lateral listhesis exceeding 5 mm (non-RS).

3D spinal reconstruction used SterEOS® software, version 1.2.1 (EOS Imaging, Paris, France) (Fig. 2). To correct any pelvic rotation during acquisition, all parameters were measured with the patient-specific landmark defined by the Scoliosis Research Society (SRS) as the vertical plane through the acetabular centers [26]. Sagittal alignment assessment comprised global parameters (sagittal vertical axis [SVA], T1 spinopelvic inclination [T1SPI]) (Fig. 3), spinal parameters (T1-T12 thoracic kyphosis, L1-S1 lumbar lordosis [LL]) and pelvic parameters (pelvic incidence [PI], pelvic tilt [PT] and...
sacral slope \( SS \) \[27\]. The 3 parameters measured for SRS Schwab ASD classification were PT, SVA and the difference between PI and LL (PI-LL) \[28\]. In the coronal plane, lumbar Cobb angle (Cobb) and the C7 plumb-line with respect to the center of the sacrum (C7PL) were measured \[29,30\]. Vertebral and intervertebral rotations were measured in the axial, sagittal and coronal planes; intervertebral rotation was defined as superior vertebral rotation with respect to the underlying vertebra. Transverse plane parameters comprised apical axial vertebral rotation (apex AVR), axial intervertebral rotation at the limits of the curve (sup AIR, inf AIR) and maximal intervertebral rotation (AIR max). The lumbar curve torsion index (TI) was calculated as the sum of the axial intervertebral rotations in the curve \[20\] (Fig. 4).

2.3. Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis used Stata software, version 13.0 (Stata-corp, College Station, Texas). Normal distribution was checked on Shapiro Wilk test. Descriptive analysis was performed on the demographic and radiology data. Inter-group comparison used Chi\(^2\) or Student tests as appropriate for normally distributed variables, and Kruskal-Wallis test for non-parametric variables. Finally, descriptive analysis was performed for the clinical variables, and correlations with radiologic parameters were calculated. The significance threshold was set at 0.05.

3. Results

3.1. Demographic analysis

One hundred and thirty patients with 3D EOS\(^\circ\) imaging were included. Eighty-three percent were female; mean age was 57.6 ± 18.3 years; mean BMI was 25.2 ± 5.9 kg/m\(^2\).

Eighty-three patients (64%) had lumbar scoliosis, 33 (25%) thoraco-lumbar scoliosis, and 14 (11%) major double scoliosis. Lumbar scoliosis was significantly more frequent in the RS group (75% vs. 45%, \(P = 0.003\)). Seventy-nine patients (61%) had > 5 mm lateral listhesis in the coronal plane and 51 (39%) were free of RS. Age in the RS group was significantly greater; there were no inter-group differences for BMI or gender (Table 1).

3.2. Radiographic analysis

Mean Cobb angle was 33.2 ± 15.6° and mean apex AVR 18.3 ± 14.3°. Cobb angle was significantly greater in the RS group (37.4 ± 16.7° vs. 26.6 ± 10.8°; \(P = 0.0001\)). There was no significant difference in coronal C7PL. In 35 of the 79 RS patients (44%) RS
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Fig. 3. Global sagittal parameters. (T1SPI: spinal inclination; SVA: sagittal vertical axis).

Fig. 4. Transverse plane parameters.
RS number correlated with ODI ($r=0.362$, $P<0.05$) and radiculalgia ($r=0.380$, $P<0.05$). There were no significant correlations between transverse plane parameters and ODI.

4. Discussion

The present results for ASD assessed on EOS® found that patients with ASD and RS showed greater coronal curvature and sagittal and transverse deformity than patients with ASD without RS.

4.1. Assessment of rotary subluxation

RS was more frequent in lumbar scoliosis, notably of L3L4, in agreement with Freedman et al. [31]. RS was observed in the most severe transverse plane deformities (greater TI, apex AVR and AIR max). In almost a third (29%) of patients with > 5° AIR, there was no lateral listhesis, and the range of AIR values was wide. Rotation is thus detected ahead of subluxation in the degenerative evolution toward RS.

Several authors focused on assessment of axial rotation on 2D plain radiographs. In 1948, Cobb developed a measurement method based on spinous projection; later, Nash and Moe and also Perdriolle used pedicle projection [12–13,29]. However, these methods require supine positioning, and involves positioning, shows measurement error of $\pm 1.6°$ for coronal, $\pm 2.0°$ for sagittal and $\pm 3.8°$ for axial rotation [18,20–34,36]. Several studies of adolescent idiopathic scoliosis demonstrated the prognostic importance of transverse plane analysis [35–37]. To the best of our knowledge, however, only two studies focused on ASD, only one of which analyzed axial rotation using the EOS® system [20,21].

3.3. Clinical analysis

ODI, available for 56 patients, showed moderate disability, without inter-group difference. Radicular and low back pains were more frequent in the RS group (Table 4).

### Table 2
Comparison of radiographic parameters between patients with and without rotatory subluxation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>RS (n = 79)</th>
<th>Non-RS (n = 51)</th>
<th>$P$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SVA (mm)</td>
<td>Mean 42.7</td>
<td>SD 62.6</td>
<td>Mean 12.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI-LL (°)</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT (°)</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>14.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T15sp (°)</td>
<td>-2.3</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>-3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1T2 (°)</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>41.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1S1 (°)</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>51.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI (°)</td>
<td>52.6</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>50.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apex AVR (°)</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TI (°)</td>
<td>41.1</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>19.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIR max (°)</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sup AIR (°)</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inf AIR (°)</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RS: rotatory subluxation; SD: standard deviation; SVA: sagittal vertical axis; PI-LL: pelvic incidence minus lumbar lordosis; PT: pelvic tilt; T15sp: spine pelvic inclination; T1T2: thoracic kyphosis between T1 and T2; L1S1: lumbar lordosis between L1 and S1; PI: pelvic incidence; apex AVR: apical axial vertebra rotation; TI: torsion index; AIR max: maximum axial intervertebral rotation; sup AIR: axial intervertebral rotation in the superior transitional level of the curve; inf AIR: axial intervertebral rotation in the inferior transitional level of the curve.

involved 1 level, in 28 (36%) 2 levels, in 15 (19%) 3 levels, and in 1 patient 4 levels. RS level was predominantly L3L4 (33%).

RS patients showed significantly greater sagittal malalignment in terms of SVA, PI-LL and PI. Transverse deformity was more severe in RS, with significantly greater apex AVR, TI, AIR max and AIR sup (Table 2).

Transverse plane analysis found significantly greater AIR in case of RS at the same level (except for L4L5). AIR range in non-RS patients was 0.1–28.3°. In sub-analysis of patients with > 5° AIR, 38 (29%) were free of lateral listhesis, as were 13 (10%) for > 10° AIR (Table 3).

### Table 3
AIR according to RS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spinal level</th>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>Axial intervertebral rotation (AIR) (°)</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>$P$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L1-L2</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-RS</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2-L3</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>44.9</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-RS</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3-L4</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-RS</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L4-L5</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-RS</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RS: rotatory subluxation; SD: standard deviation; Min: minimum; Max: maximum.

### Table 4
Comparison of clinical symptoms between groups with and without RS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>RS (n=56)</th>
<th>Non-RS (n=19)</th>
<th>$P$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ODI</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>22.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAS</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>22.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiculalgia</td>
<td>46 (65%)</td>
<td>19 (40%)</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LBP</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>35 (73%)</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.3. Relation between radiologic and clinical data

In the present series, radicular and low back pain were significantly more frequent in case of RS. Low back pain is a common symptom in degenerative spinal pathology, and especially in case of RS in ASD. Trammel reported an 80% rate of low back pain in patients with RS [38]. Marty-Poumarat reported similar findings, with 84% low back pain and 43% radiculalgia in ASD patients with RS. Ploumis reported more severe ODI in case of RS [25]. However, no correlation has been demonstrated between clinical symptoms and radiologic data [39].

Many cofactors certainly need to be taken into account in clinical analysis, but in the present study RS number showed moderate correlation with ODI ($r = 0.362, P < 0.05$) and radiculalgia ($r = 0.380, P < 0.05$). RS may increase underlying foraminal stenosis, which, when associated with radicular stretching, may exacerbate radiculalgia. The relation between transverse plane parameters and clinical symptoms has, to the best of our knowledge, never previously been studied. Rotation-induced stress to the disk and paravertebral structures as a whole partly accounts for symptomatology.

4.4. Study limitations

The present study involved certain limitations. Firstly, detailed radiographic analysis of anatomic structures such as the zygapophysial joints and foramina was difficult in cases of severe deformity associated with osteoarthritis and osteoporosis, as is frequent in ASD. Secondly, the study design was retrospective, and only a limited number of clinical scores were available; this could be improved by a prospective study with systematic clinical scoring. Even so, the present series was larger than in the main previous studies on the subject.

5. Conclusion

The present study reports the first 3D description of ASD and RS in a significant cohort. 3D data were associated to 2D measurement of lateral listhesis, enabling analysis of the relations between 2D and 3D radiologic parameters and clinical symptoms.

Patients with RS showed more severe deformity in the sagittal plane. RS measurement seemed to be an objective criterion of rotational destabilization in ASD, showing acceptable clinical correlation. Moreover, presence of AIR in patients in whom lateral listhesis is not yet radiologically detectable is a determining finding in our understanding of the evolution of RS. These results show that 3D assessment is necessary for complete analysis of the deformity. Future studies are needed to analyze the evolution of ASD on 3D data, as has been done for adolescent scoliosis.
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