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Eco-Driving Performance Assessment With In-Car Visual and Haptic Feedback Assistance

In this experiment, 28 participants completed an urban driving task in a highly immersive driving simulator at Renault's Technical Centre for Simulation. This simulator provides a 150 deg field of view in a fully instrumented cockpit. Two different eco-driving assistance devices were added: a visual display on the midconsole and a force feedback system on the gas pedal, in order to apply an additional reaction torque on drivers' foot. The feedback information was computed by comparing the car's instantaneous acceleration with an optimal acceleration level based on a proprietary consumption model of a Renault diesel engine. This experiment has three main goals: I. Assess the contribution of verbal instructions to eco-driving performance; II. Quantify the additional contribution generated by two eco-driving assistance systems (visual and haptic); III. Measure drivers’ acceptance of haptic eco-driving assistance system. Basic eco-driving instructions, such as changing gears under 2000 Rpm, yield significant decrease of polluting emissions. Assisting drivers with visual, haptic, or visual-haptic on-board devices, in addition to low engine speed verbal instructions, lead to supplementary significant savings of polluting emissions. There is no significant difference between assistance feedback type; suggesting that the haptic feedback provides the same eco-performance as visual feedback. In particular, subjects show good adaptation to the haptic feedback pedal at first utilization of the system. They apparently relied more on haptic modality to achieve the eco-driving task, when they used both visual and haptic assistance. [DOI: 10.1115/1.3622753]
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Research and Development (CARDS) at the Technical Center the dynamic driving simulator Comprehensive Automobile based on the SCANER2[ 17] simulation software package, developed equipments and interactions existing in a real car (Fig.1). It is lar cockpit, completely instrumented, providing to the driver all the for Simulation of Renault. This simulator, is composed of a modu-
tion of longitudinal speed by visual cues [18].

\[
\text{Acc}_\text{veh} = \text{Vehicle acceleration} \\
\text{Acc} = \text{Optimal acceleration} \\
\Delta \text{Acc} = \text{Overacceleration based on our model} \\
X_{\text{pedal}} = \text{Petal position} \\
\Delta \text{Acc} = \text{Acc}_\text{veh} - \text{Acc} \\
F_{\text{additional}} = K \cdot X_{\text{pedal}} \cdot (\Delta \text{Acc}/\text{Acc}) \\
\text{With } K = \text{Petal stiffness factor}
\]

Protocol

Task Description. The experimental task consists in driving through an urban environment, along a predefined route. No car traffic is present, to facilitate control task repeatability and compare more efficiently eco-driving performances between experimental conditions.

Participants. Twenty eight subjects aged between 25 and 45 took part in the experiment (7 females and 21 males). All drivers were in possession of a valid driving license. Participants were split into four distinct groups of seven subjects. Three groups were given assistance feedback at third trial: the visual group (S_v) had a visual assistance display; the haptic group (S_h) was assisted with the haptic pedal; and the visual-haptic group (S_vh) had both visual and haptic assistance. The fourth group is the reference group (S_ref), no assistance was provided, and drivers had to accomplish the verbally instructed condition.

Conditions. Initially, participants had to follow a training ses-
sion, during which, drivers were asked to accomplish two practice runs in order to get used to drive comfortably with the CARDS simulator and to memorize the experimental task path. Participants in assisted groups drove 1 min more to understand the functioning of the assistance system to use. After practicing, all participants confirmed that they felt at ease with the whole experimental device.

Participants drove the same route four times in different conditions:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{(T1_ref)} & \quad \text{Normal driving without instructions nor assistance} \\
\text{(T2_eco-behavior)} & \quad \text{Driving with the verbal instruction not to exceed 2000 Rpm} \\
\text{(T3_eco-assistance)} & \quad \text{Same as condition 2 with the support of an assistance feedback} \\
\text{(T4_eco-behavior)} & \quad \text{A repetition of trial (T2)}
\end{align*}
\]

Data Recordings. The following objective data were recorded for each subject:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Total polluting emissions, calculated on the base of a Renault proprietary model of fuel consumption of a Megane diesel car.} \\
\text{Std}(X_{\text{pedal}}), \text{the standard deviation of gas pedal position, calculated on the whole trajectory for each run.} \\
\text{Mean}(\Delta \text{Acc}), \text{the mean of over-acceleration, resulting from the difference between Acc}_\text{veh}, \text{the instantaneous longitudinal acceleration of the car, and Acc}_\text{opt}, \text{the optimal acceleration depending on car speed, given by the Renault proprietary eco-driving rule.}
\end{align*}
\]
Results

We performed an analysis of variance (ANOVA) planned comparison with $\alpha = 0.05$ on these parameters (Table 1).

A comparison between $T_{1\text{ref}}$ and $T_{2\text{eco-behavior}}$ was computed among all subjects. A significant decrease was observed on our parameters of interest.

A between group comparison on assisted trial $T_{3\text{eco-assistance}}$ was computed, to evaluate the benefit of each assistance, compared to the reference unassisted group (Sn), with verbal instruction to drive at low engine speed. Assistance systems induced a significant decrease. However, there were no significant effects of the type of assistance feedback.

By comparing, into each assisted group, verbally instructed runs (mean of $T_{2\text{eco-behavior}}$ and $T_{4\text{eco-behavior}}$) to assisted runs $T_{3\text{eco-assistance}}$ ($T_2$ and $T_4$ serve to cancel the bias due to learning effects of low engine speed driving across the three last trials), visual assistance does not lead to significant improvements for any of the parameters of interest. In the haptically assisted group, total polluting emissions are the only result, which is not significantly improved. In visual-haptic group, all the recorded parameters are significantly reduced.

Discussion

This study is a first step to demonstrate the efficiency of a haptic feedback gas pedal on eco-driving, and the ability of drivers to adapt at first use of such an information feedback system. We chose to immerse drivers in a simulated driving context, without traffic to allow performance comparisons between experimental conditions. This choice may give preferential treatment to the visual assistance condition compared with ecological driving condition, because the visual perception of car traffic competes with the visual attention allocated to watching the visual assistance display.

Contribution of Verbal Instructions to EcoPerformance

In this experiment, adopting eco-driving behavior, by limiting engine speed at 2000 rpm, constitutes a first significant step to reduce total polluting emissions by 5%, compared to driving sessions without instructions (Fig. 3(a)). Mean overpass of optimal acceleration, significantly decreases (Fig. 3(b)), suggesting that a correlation exists between our optimal acceleration model and eco-driving requirements.

Additional Contribution Generated by Eco-Driving Assistances

The three assisted conditions provide significant decreases by 5–7% of total polluting emissions, in addition to the decrease observed when following verbal instructions to drive at low engine speed (Fig. 4(a)). This result is consistent with the performance announced for the Continental’s accelerator force feedback pedal [9], or the Nissan’s eco pedal [10]. However, there is

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total polluting emissions</th>
<th>Std ($X_{\text{pedal}}$)</th>
<th>Mean (AAcc)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All subjects</td>
<td>F(1.88) = 19.87; p &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>F(1.88) = 25.85; p &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>F(1.88) = 23.15; p &lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{1\text{ref}}$ versus $T_{2\text{eco-behavior}}$</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_n$ group</td>
<td>F(1.88) = 4.32; p &lt; 0.05</td>
<td>F(1.88) = 14.23; p &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>F(1.88) = 9.38; p &lt; 0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{2\text{eco-behavior}}$ versus $T_{3\text{eco-assistance}}$</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_v$ group</td>
<td>F(1.88) = 4.69; p &lt; 0.05</td>
<td>F(1.88) = 5.45; p&lt;0.05</td>
<td>F(1.88) = 4.42; p&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_h$ group</td>
<td>F(1.88) = 5.74; p &lt; 0.05</td>
<td>F(1.88) = 5.68; p&lt;0.05</td>
<td>F(1.88) = 6.35; p&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_{vh}$ group</td>
<td>F(1.88) = 7.51; p &lt; 0.01</td>
<td>F(1.88) = 7.55; p &lt; 0.01</td>
<td>F(1.88) = 7.86; p &lt; 0.005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3 Plot by trials, among all subjects, of total polluting emissions (a); and mean over-acceleration compared with optimal (b)
no significant effect of the type of assistance feedback. This suggests that, haptic stimulation can be as efficient as visual stimulation, in terms of assisted eco-driving performance. Moreover, in presence of assistance feedback, drivers’ over-acceleration level also significantly decrease (Fig. 4(b)), suggesting that optimizing over-acceleration allows additional polluting emissions improvement, compared to engine speed optimization.

Drivers’ Reaction When First Using the Haptic Pedal Assistance

Even if haptic devices are newer than visual displays for eco-driving assistance, drivers show a good adaptation to haptic signal modulations. In the groups assisted haptically (haptic and visual-haptic conditions), we notice a significant decrease of the standard deviation of the accelerator pedal position, in assisted trials, compared to low engine speed verbally instructed trial, without active assistance. In the visual group, this decrease is not significant (Fig. 5(a)). One could think that pedal stability is enhanced by opposing a counterforce to the foot, which helps guiding it to the position recommended by the system. This result shows a better efficiency of the force feedback pedal on foot stability, for a first usage of the haptic pedal system.

Fig. 4 Plot by groups of T3eco-assistance trials for total polluting emissions (a); and mean of overacceleration (b)

Drivers are able to make fast modifications of their foot response, depending on the specificity of the task they are performing. By measuring muscular activity of the leg pressing a car pedal, during “force tasks” (minimize effort variations) and “position task” (resist to perturbations), it appears that drivers use antagonist muscles of the leg to accomplish the various use modes imposed by an active car pedal [19]. In our force task experiment, the feedback stimulation provided by the haptic pedal was inhibitory [20], since participants were asked to cancel additional force feedback when it appeared, by releasing accelerator pedal.

This ability to modify the biomechanical admittance has also been highlighted for upper limbs with a steering wheel handling task. Drivers are able to control the trajectory of their car with different steering wheel force feedback strategies, whether they are linear or not, which implies a strong sensorimotor plasticity and a large capacity of quasi-instantaneous adaptation to haptic disturbances [21]. We know, furthermore, that the foot and the hand have the same degree of differentiation in haptic modality [22].
spite of their neuronal and anatomic differences, the upper and lower limbs seem to have the same perceptive performance in terms of force discrimination ability. This ability allows fast detections of force feedback variations perceived by drivers’ foot, and thus induces fast response to this haptic stimulation by releasing gas pedal. This property of haptic perception could explain the good results in terms of over-acceleration minimization in presence of haptic assistance pedal.

Visual-Haptic Merging

When drivers have both visual and haptic assistance for a first use, the decrease in over-acceleration is significant. This decrease is also significant in haptic modality, but not with visual feedback (Fig. 5(b)). Drivers show better self-efficacy improvement when, at least, haptic feedback is available, in comparison to visual assistance alone: this suggests that haptic is more suited for that particular double task (driving and following the eco-driving indications). This result is, otherwise, coherent with the higher reliance accorded by participants to the haptic modality when visual perception is impaired, for instance, in a visual-haptic size detection task [23,24]. In our study, this impairment on the reliability of visual assistance perception could be a consequence of the competitive visual workload induced by the driving task. In that case, visual perception performance tends to decrease when car traffic complexity increases, since a reduction of the performance in driver’s visual detection and discrimination is observed [25]. As well, one could assume that increasing number of curves on the track could lower visual perception ability.

Conclusion

This study confirms the efficiency of basic eco-driving behaviors, such as gear-shifting under 2000 rpm, on the amount of generated polluting emissions for diesel engines. Adding eco-driving assistances (visual or haptic) allows additional reduction of polluting emissions, but no effect of the type of assistance feedback has been noticed in our experiment. With haptic and visual-haptic assistance, we also observe significant reductions of control activity, measured by standard deviation of gas pedal position, which demonstrates the ease of use of haptic feedback pedal for a first utilization of the system. Moreover, drivers apparently rely more on haptic modality when using both visual and haptic assistance. In this experiment, visual assistance may have an advantage in comparison to ecological driving conditions, because of the lack of car traffic. Further studies should analyze the impact of the curviness of the road, as well as the impact of car traffic complexity on the efficiency of visual and haptic assistance, but also drivers’ adaptation to haptic feedback pedal in critical situations, when drivers need to accelerate, despite of the increased rigidity of the pedal.
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