



Science Arts & Métiers (SAM)

is an open access repository that collects the work of Arts et Métiers Institute of Technology researchers and makes it freely available over the web where possible.

This is an author-deposited version published in: <https://sam.ensam.eu>
Handle ID: <http://hdl.handle.net/10985/9489>

To cite this version :

Juan Manuel JAUREGUI-BECKER, Nicolas PERRY - Lean Design - 2015

Any correspondence concerning this service should be sent to the repository

Administrator : archiveouverte@ensam.eu



1 Lean Design

2 Juan Manuel Jauregui-Becker^{a*} and Nicolas Perry^b

3 ^aLaboratory of Design, Production and Management, University of Twente, Enschede, The Netherlands

4 ^bI2M – Mechanical and Engineering Institute of Bordeaux, Art et Métiers ParisTech, Talence, France

5 Synonyms

6 [Lean design](#); [Lean engineering design](#); [Lean development](#)

7 Definitions

8 Lean design is a term increasingly being used by both academics and practitioners to refer to lean
9 principles applied in the context of design. However, as this context is not uniform, the meaning of
10 lean design is not either. Lean design can be used as a *synonym of lean development* (which refers to
11 leaning the processes required to be undertaken for translating a market need into a manufacturable
12 device, as it is used in Ward and Sobek (2014), Ōno (1988), and Bauch (2004)), *as a verb* (referring to
13 having the process of designing being performed in a lean fashion, as it is used by Baines et al. (2006)),
14 and *as a noun* (referring to an object that fulfills a set of desired functions by being lean, as it is used in
15 Johansson and Sundin (2014), Dombrowski et al. (2014), and Gautam and Singh (2008)). All three
16 definitions are intrinsically founded upon the principles of the lean philosophy: eliminating non-value-
17 adding activities (for a process) or sub-functions (i.e., subpart or components for a product) relatively to
18 the needs of the end customer. From the perspective of the consumer of the product or service, the value
19 refers to the expected functionalities of the product or process, according to the amount the customer is
20 willing to pay for. Lean approach preserves value with less work or resources involvement. Based on this,
21 the following definitions can be drawn:

22 **Definition 1:** Lean Product Design (*synonym of Lean Product Development*). Is a product development
23 process characterized by reacting to information continually as it is being generated, keeping product
24 options open longer and enabling the engineers' continuous (re)action on new information about
25 customers, markets, suppliers, and production capabilities. Lean product development, as described
26 by Morgan and Liker (Ward and Sobek 2014), refers to the management of (1) skilled people, (2) tools
27 and technologies, and (3) information and decision-making during the phases of product development
28 process (e.g., problem analysis, concept design, layout design, detail design, testing and prototyping,
29 and finally, production ramp-up as described by Ulrich (Ulrich et al. 2011)).

30 **Definition 2:** Lean Design (*verb*). Is a design process that focuses on continuous customer value
31 maximization while minimizing all activities and tasks that are not adding value. Lean design deals
32 with a subset of methods and tools of lean product development, targeting the conceptual, layout, and
33 detail design phases.

34 **Definition 3:** Lean Design (*noun*). Lean design can be seen as minimal (lean) functions that fit to the
35 customer's needs, maximizing added value with minimizing materials, energy, and overfunctionalities.

*Email: j.m.jaureguibecker@ctw.utwente.nl

36 The difference in meaning of lean design according to its grammatical form (noun vs. verb) is a direct
37 result of the grammatical forms of the term design. In fact, for both the noun and the verb definition of lean
38 design, an adjective (for the first case) and adverb (for the second) provide a more specific meaning of the
39 term design.

40 **Theory and Applications**

41 Lean philosophy originates at the Toyota Production System (initiated by S. Toyoda) in the 1970s. The
42 lean thinking (Womack and Jones 1996) approach initially started for manufacturing and mixing just-in-
43 time tools and Jikoda methods. Companies applying lean principles have a deep understanding of
44 customer value and concentrate its main processes for constant improvement of it. Moreover, the aim
45 of the company is to deliver, to the consumer, the perfect value obtained by the perfect, wasteless process
46 (Jones and Roos 2009). To be able to achieve this goal, thinking in the lean way switches the management
47 attention from single processes, technologies, departments, etc. to product flow through complete value
48 streams (Jones and Roos 2009). The value stream should be as big as possible, which is obtained by
49 setting one by one the steps that add value to the next ones and removing the non-value-adding steps.
50 A significant help here can be received from the visual management, which will support employees and
51 management to instantly see where the process differs from the perfect one and what is working and what
52 is not.

53 Lean thinking is an “improvement philosophy which focuses on the creation of value and the
54 elimination of waste.” Its first focus was on manufacturing, based on the good practices of Japanese
55 automotive industry since post Second World War. Later in the 1990s, tools and methods have been
56 created to support and to spread lean manufacturing to other industries. Lean is not restricted to one single
57 method but to a family of tools and methods. It is now applied as a strategic and management method and
58 can be applied to many systems. However, the definition of lean is drifting. While earlier papers saw lean
59 as a philosophy for waste reduction, the emerging view is one of value creation (Baines et al. 2006). Lean
60 product design and lean products are more recent applications of lean. The analogy between lean
61 attributes in manufacturing and in product development can be found at Baines et al. (2006). Manufactur-
62 ing has material flow, and product development has information flow. Lean philosophy focuses on three
63 elements: value, knowledge, and improvement.

64 The improvements expected from a lean product and process development methods are energy, time
65 and resources reduction (for the product or the design process), quality improvement, and innovation
66 increase (Ward and Sobek 2014). As the results of lean product design are in line with resources
67 optimization, comparisons can be made to green product development, as shown in Johansson and
68 Sundin (2014). The authors conclude that lean product development does not ensure green product and
69 that green products do not insure product development process efficiency, but there are potential cross-
70 field learning between fields.

71 **The Lean Product Development (LPD) Approach**

72 As in manufacturing, LPD has the goal of eliminating waste such that value can be maximized. However,
73 as the nature of product design processes is intrinsically different than that of manufacturing ones, the
74 concepts of value have their own particular meaning within the LPD approach. As a consequence, the
75 leaning principles are different than in manufacturing too. According to lean design, the design process
76 results in two different types of value: manufacturing systems and usable knowledge. When these values
77 are created in an efficient and effective manner, profitable operational streams emerge (Ward and Sobek
78 2014).

79 LPD deals with how these activities are organized in time and distributed among different disciplines
80 and people. In this context, LPD is an operations management method. Some of the most common high-
81 level concepts associated with lean product development are:

- 82 1. Creation of Reusable Knowledge. As the goal of lean is to maximize value adding by optimizing
83 knowledge translation into operational streams, reusability of knowledge increases both the effective-
84 ness and efficiency.
- 85 2. Teams of Responsible Experts. Lean product development organizations develop integrated work
86 teams with multiple competences in each team and reward competence building in teams and
87 individuals.
- 88 3. Cadence and Pull. Managers of lean development organizations reject the scientific management
89 notion that managers plan, and workers do. Rather, engineers plan their own work and work their
90 own plans.
- 91 4. Visual Management. Visualization is a main enabler of management in lean product development.
- 92 5. Entrepreneurial System Designer (ESD). The lean development organization makes one person
93 responsible for the engineering and aesthetic design, and market and business success, of the product.

94 **The Lean Design Approach (Verb)**

95 In LPD, effectiveness and efficiency are achieved by the continuous application of two base principles,
96 namely, just-in-time decision-making (JIT-DM) (as described in Holman et al. (2003)) and set-based
97 concurrent engineering (SBCE) (as described in Raudberget (2010)).

98 JIT-DM consists on taking decisions proactively by acting on the level of information readiness of a
99 given design phase. JIT-DM rests on the base idea that information processing entities (i.e., engineers) can
100 act the most effectively when the information batches required for carrying out those decisions are fully
101 available. Consequently, efficiency also increases, as the decisions made are more effective, and no time is
102 wasted on readapting afore made erroneous ones.

103 During the SBCE, designers reason about, develop, and communicate sets of feasible solutions
104 concurrently and with certain independence. Then, as the design process proceeds, solutions are evaluated
105 and dismissed based on additional information coming from different disciplines – for example, simula-
106 tions and tests from the technical departments and consumer needs from the marketing department.

107 The most well-known and successful industrial implementation of lean design is done at Toyota
108 automobile company, described in Sobek et al. (1999). Here, designers apply set-based concurrent
109 engineering to generate and evaluate sets of solutions. Then, as the design process progresses, implicit
110 knowledge and new coming constraints are used to narrow the solution space. The application of this
111 principle implies that several options are worked out simultaneously, having the final design selection
112 toward the end of the whole product design process. This encourages just-in-time decision-making.

113 **Approach for Lean Design (Noun)**

114 Lean design as a noun refers to lean product design, in a perspective of eco-design of products and lean
115 function selection or just expected functions and services. Lean design started from the basic idea of lean
116 thinking and focuses on value-adding activities from the perspective of the end customer use. Lean design
117 can be seen as minimal (lean) functions that fit to the customer's needs, maximizing added value with
118 minimizing materials, energy, and overfunctionalities. Lean designed products are mostly driven by cost
119 reduction objective. They can arrive to strategic change such as the switch to product to service. Lean
120 design means lean product and sustainable product.

121 In order to achieve a product that is lean in its expected function specification, value analysis
122 approaches and value stream serve as important tools. From the design science, the functional analysis

123 (Kaufman 1977) and value analysis (Standard (SAVE International, The Value Society 2007) (or value
124 stream) methods help in defining the minimal functions to be delivered. It should be coupled with the
125 establishment of the customer-defined value to separate value added from waste. Life cycle assessment,
126 and life cycle costing approaches, for the designed product, can give the evaluation (at least material,
127 energy, and costs) of the non-value-added save by the leaned designed product. In this sense, Naveen
128 Gautam refers to customer perception on the value of the product and does not only focus on functional
129 answers and minimal function selection to meet the needs.

130 According to Dombrowski (Dombrowski et al. 2014), the product has different vies:

- 131 1. Design View: The product is the sum of parts, their properties, and their relationships.
- 132 2. Value View: The product is the sum of functions it performs or properties it offers to create customer
133 value.
- 134 3. Waste View: The product is the sum of all life cycle processes.

135 Lean product design refers mainly to the second point. A well-known example of a design that is lean is
136 the Logan model of Renault (from Renault–Dacia) launched in 2004 and Design from 1999 to 2003, as it
137 has a very restrictive cost objective. The limited set of functions available and the technical solution allow
138 the car manufacturer to propose a cheap and affordable car that meets a huge commercial success.

139 Cross-References

- 140 ► [Conceptual Design](#)
- 141 ► [Decision-Making](#)
- 142 ► [Design Methodology](#)
- 143 ► [Productivity](#)

144 References

- 145 Baines T, Lightfoot H, Williams GM, Greenough R (2006) State-of-the-art in lean design engineering: a
146 literature review on white collar lean. *Proc Inst Mech Eng B J Eng Manuf* 220(9):1539–1547
- 147 Bauch C (2004) Lean product development: making waste transparent. Diploma thesis, Massachusetts
148 Institute of Technology/Technical University of Munich
- 149 Dombrowski U, Schmidt S, Schmidtchen K (2014) Analysis and integration of design for X approaches in
150 lean design as basis for a lifecycle optimized product design. *Procedia CIRP* 15:385–390
- 151 Gautam N, Singh N (2008) Lean product development: maximizing the customer perceived value through
152 design change (redesign). *Int J Prod Econ* 114(1):313–332
- 153 Holman R, Kaas H-W, Keeling D (2003) The future of product development. *McKinsey Q* 3:28–39
- 154 Johansson G, Sundin E (2014) Lean and green product development: two sides of the same coin? *J Clean*
155 *Prod* 85:104–121
- 156 Jones DT, Roos D (2009) What is lean? Lean Enterprise Institute, Cambridge, MA
- 157 Karlsson C, Åhlström P (1996) The difficult path to lean product development. *J Prod Innov Manag*
158 13(4):283–295
- 159 Kaufman JJ (1977) Functional analysis: CVS, FAST- a thinking discipline. In: SAVE proceedings, p 221
- 160 Ōno T (1988) Toyota production system: beyond large-scale production. Productivity press, New York

- 161 Raudberget D (2010) Practical applications of set-based concurrent engineering in industry. *Strojniški*
162 *Vestnik J Mech Eng* 56(11):685–695
- 163 Sobek DK, Ward AC, Liker JK (1999) Toyota's principles of set-based concurrent engineering. *Sloan*
164 *Manage Rev* 40(2):67–84
- 165 Ulrich KT, Eppinger SD, Goyal A (2011) *Product design and development*, vol 2. McGraw-Hill, New
166 York
- 167 Value Analysis: Standard (SAVE International, The Value Society) (2007) Value methodology standard
168 and body of knowledge. http://www.value-eng.org/pdf_docs/monographs/vmstd.pdf. Accessed on
169 11 Nov 2014
- 170 Ward AC, Sobek DK II (2014) *Lean product and process development*, 2nd edn. Lean Enterprise Institute,
171 Cambridge, MA
- Q5 172 Womack JP, Jones DT (1996) *Lean thinking: banish waste and create wealth in your organisation*. Simon
173 and Shuster, New York, p 397

Uncorrected Proof