

Science Arts & Métiers (SAM) is an open access repository that collects the work of Arts et Métiers Institute of Technology researchers and makes it freely available over the web where possible.

> This is an author-deposited version published in: https://sam.ensam.eu Handle ID: .http://hdl.handle.net/10985/17878

To cite this version :

Mirko FARANO, C. MANCINI, Pietro DE PALMA, Stefania CHERUBINI, Jean-Christophe ROBINET - 3D global hydrodynamic stability analysis of a diffusion flame - Fluid Dynamics Research - Vol. 50, n°5, p.051401 - 2018

Any correspondence concerning this service should be sent to the repository Administrator : scienceouverte@ensam.eu



#### PAPER

## 3D global hydrodynamic stability analysis of a diffusion flame

To cite this article: M Farano et al 2018 Fluid Dyn. Res. 50 051401

View the article online for updates and enhancements.



### **Related content**

- Intermittency and transition to chaos in the cubical lid-driven cavity flow J-Ch Loiseau, J-Ch Robinet and E Leriche
- <u>Three-dimensional stability, receptivity and</u> <u>sensitivity of non-Newtonian flows inside</u> open cavities Vincenzo Citro, Flavio Giannetti and Jan O
- Pralits
- Oscillatory instability of 2D natural convection flow in a square enclosure with a tandem of vertically aligned cylinders Yuri Feldman

# 3D global hydrodynamic stability analysis of a diffusion flame

M Farano  $^{1,2}$ , C Mancini  $^1$ , P De Palma  $^1 \textcircled{0}$ , J-C Robinet  $^2$  and S Cherubini  $^1$ 

<sup>1</sup> DMMM, Politecnico di Bari, Via Re David 200, I-70125 Bari, Italy <sup>2</sup> DynFluid Laboratory, Arts et Metiers ParisTech, 151 Boulevard de l'Hopital, F-75013 Paris, France

E-mail: pietro.depalma@poliba.it

#### Abstract

This work investigates the three-dimensional global hydrodynamic stability of a diffusion flame. The low-Mach-number Navier-Stokes (LMN-NS) equations for reacting flows are solved together with a transport equation for the mixture fraction. A source term is added to the energy conservation equation to model the chemical heat release as a function of the Damköhler (Da) number and of the reaction rate, computed according to an Arrhenius law. The global stability analysis has been performed by a matrix-free time-stepper approach applied to the LMN-NS equations, using an Arnoldi method to compute the most unstable modes. Increasing the value of Da, direct numerical simulations show a transition from an oscillating unstable regime towards a stable one. In the unstable regime, stability analyses show two different flame behaviors: a highly unstable weak-flame and a typical diffusion flame. In the latter case, two different families of modes have been identified: the low-frequency most unstable one related to the premixing zone of the flame and a high-frequency stable branch representative of the Kelvin-Helmholtz instability of the diffusive rear region of the flame. The present three-dimensional stability analysis has been able to compute, for the first time, the eigenmodes responsible for the cellular structure of the flame.

1

Keywords: reacting flows, jet flows, cellular flame

#### 1. Introduction

Lifted flames are common in many engineering applications such as gas-turbine combustion chambers, burners, and rocket engines. The flame does not anchor to the injector rim when the flame propagation velocity in the upstream direction equals the flow velocity at some distance from the inlet plane. The flame velocity depends on the characteristics of the fuel and of the oxidizer and on their mixing process. In most gas-turbine combustion chambers, the lifting distance depends also on the inlet flow swirl, which creates a recirculation region downstream of the injector, anchoring the flame (Qadri *et al* 2015).

In the present work a non-swirling jet diffusion flame is considered. Such a flame has a typical triple-flame structure with a lean branch and a rich branch in the base premixed region, which anchor the diffusion part of the flame extending downstream along the stoichiometric surface.

The hydrodynamic stability of a jet diffusion flame has been recently studied by using local (Nichols and Schmid 2008) and global (Qadri *et al* 2015) stability analysis, considering an axisymmetric jet of fuel issuing in a large cylindrical domain.

A pocket of absolute instability (Nichols and Schmid 2008) at the injector exit (wavemaker) produces oscillations which are convected and amplified downstream. The hydrodynamic stability properties of the flow upstream of the flame base is different from that downstream of it. The upstream part is more unstable and can influence the behavior of the entire flow (Qadri *et al* 2015). These instabilities may become dangerous when coupled with thermo-acoustic perturbations. For small lift-off height, for example in  $H_2/O_2$  rocket engines where the flame speed is very high, the pocket of absolute instability reduces and does not support unstable global modes, so that the entire flow may be stabilized.

Nichols and Schmid (2008) have shown that two peak-frequency ranges for the oscillations exist: a high frequency range, 0.25 < St < 0.3, and a low frequency range, St < 0.05, St being the Strouhal number. They showed that the high-frequency oscillations are linked to the absolutely unstable premixing region, whereas they postulated that the low-frequency oscillations were due to nonlinear interactions among resonant modes.

The local stability analysis is not fully suited for this kind of application since the heat release modifies sharply the stability properties of the flow. For this reason, Qadri *et al* (2015) performed an axisymmetric stability analysis using the same test case. They have shown that two families of global mode exist, called mode A and B. Mode A is linked to high-frequency oscillations and its wavemaker is located in the shear layer in the premixing region. Mode B has global frequency close to the low-frequency oscillations computed by Nichols and Schmid (2008), and its wavemaker is located in the outer part of the shear layer of the flame.

The approach employed by Qadri *et al* (2015) is based on a linearization of the differential operator governing the phenomenon and on the time-stepper approach proposed by Edwards *et al* (1994) and Bagheri *et al* (2009) to compute the eigenpairs of the exponential propagator. Such an approach allows one to avoid the explicit storage of the linearized operator and a direct computation of its eigenvalues; this is needed especially for computations in three space dimensions, where the number of degrees of freedom can be too large.

In the present work, we extend this analysis to three space dimensions, employing a timestepper approach and also avoiding the linearization procedure by adopting a numerical evaluation of the exponential propagator. In this way, we provide a more flexible approach, which can be applied straightforwardly to any complex system of conservation equations governing the dynamics of a reacting flow. Such a method was validated by Mancini *et al* (2017) versus the results of Qadri *et al* (2015), using the test case proposed by Nichols and Schmid (2008).

As a suitable three-dimensional test case, a gaseous diffusion flame has been considered, for which experimental data show that non axisymmetric phenomena, such as cellular instabilities, may exist. In particular, for relatively low Lewis and Damköhler numbers, the occurrence of cellularity has been observed in Wolfhard-Parker burner flames (Frouzakis *et al* 2005). Cell formation includes uniformly rotating or stationary ring(s) or cells (Gunaratne *et al* 1996) and ratcheting or chaotic motions (Gorman *et al* 1996). Frouzakis *et al* (2005) performed direct numerical simulations (DNSs) and local linear stability analysis, trying to replicate and explaining the experimental observations of Lo Jacono *et al* (2003). In the present work, we employ the mixture fraction model and the time-stepper approach validated in a previous work (Mancini *et al* 2017) to perform a three-dimensional global stability analysis of a jet diffusion flame inspired to that experimentally tested by Lo Jacono *et al* (2003).

#### 2. Problem formulation

The present work provides a hydrodynamic stability analysis of jet diffusion flames. The flow is modeled by the low-Mach-number Navier–Stokes (NS) equations, neglecting the effect of acoustic waves (Tomboulides *et al* 1997). These equations are coupled with a simple combustion model and a closing equation to link density,  $\rho$ , temperature, *T*, and mixture fraction, *Z* (Nichols and Schmid 2008):

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{u}) = 0, \tag{1}$$

$$o\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}\right) = -\nabla p + \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\tau}{S_{\mathrm{l}}Re}\right),\tag{2}$$

$$\rho\left(\frac{\partial Z}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla Z\right) = \frac{\nabla^2 Z}{S_{\rm l} Re \, Sc},\tag{3}$$

$$\rho\left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla T\right) = \frac{\nabla^2 T}{S_1 Re Sc} + Da\rho^3 \omega, \tag{4}$$

$$\rho[(S_1 - 1)Z + 1][(S_2 - 1)T + 1] = 1,$$
(5)

where *p* indicates the pressure, **u** is the velocity vector, and  $\tau = [\nabla \mathbf{u} + (\nabla \mathbf{u})^T] - 2/3(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u})I$ . Using equations (3)–(5), the mass conservation equation (1) is recast in the following form:

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = (S_1 - 1)[(S_2 - 1)T + 1] \left( \frac{1}{S_1 ReSc} \nabla^2 Z \right) + (S_2 - 1)[(S_1 - 1)Z + 1] \left( \frac{1}{S_1 ReSc} \nabla^2 T + Da\rho^3 \omega \right).$$
(6)

The concentration of fuel and oxidizer is described using the mixture fraction parameter (Peters 2000),

$$Z = \frac{sY_{\rm fuel} - Y_{\rm ox} + Y_{\rm ox}^0}{sY_{\rm fuel}^0 + Y_{\rm ox}^0},$$
(7)

where Y indicates concentrations of fuel and oxidizer while  $Y^0$  indicates the corresponding inlet values and s is the reaction stoichiometric ratio. The flow variables have been nondimensionalized by the jet diameter at inlet, d, inlet fuel jet velocity,  $u_j$ , and oxidizer density,  $\rho_o$ . The non-dimensional temperature is

$$T = \frac{T^* - T_0}{T_f - T_0},\tag{8}$$

where  $T^*$  is the dimensional temperature [K],  $T_f$  is the dimensional adiabatic flame temperature [K] and  $T_0$  is the dimensional ambient oxidizer temperature [K].  $S_1$  represents the ratio of the oxidizer density,  $\rho_o$ , to the fuel density,  $\rho_j$ ; whereas,  $S_2$  is the the ratio of the adiabatic flame temperature to the oxidizer temperature. In equation (4) the source term, Da $\rho^3\omega$ , is the non-dimensional rate of enthalpy release per unit volume. In particular, the following simple Arrhenius law, employed by Nichols and Schmid (2008) and Qadri *et al* (2015), has been used for the reaction rate,

$$\omega = \left[ \left( Z - \frac{T}{s+1} \right) \left( 1 - Z - \frac{sT}{s+1} \right) - \kappa T^2 \right] e^{\left( \frac{-\beta(1-T)}{1-\alpha(1-T)} \right)},\tag{9}$$

that includes as chemistry parameters: the equilibrium constant  $\kappa$ ; the mass stoichiometric ratio s; the heat release parameter  $\alpha = (T_f - T_0)/T_f$ ; the Zeldovich number,  $\beta = \alpha T_a/T_f$ , where  $T_a$  is the dimensional activation temperature of the considered reaction. *Re*, *Pr* and *Sc* indicate the Reynolds, Prandtl and Schmidt numbers, respectively. Finally, *Da* is the Damköhler number,

$$Da = (1+s)\frac{\Delta h}{c_p(T_f - T_0)}\frac{A d}{u_j},$$
(10)

where  $\Delta h$  is the enthalpy change due to combustion,  $c_p$  the specific heat at constant pressure and A the pre-exponential factor. This parameter is very important in this case of study: it specifies the ratio of the rate of reaction to the rate of fluid convection and controls the transition from stable to unstable flame.

#### 2.1. Global stability analysis

Linear stability analysis allows one to investigate the asymptotic time evolution of global infinitesimal perturbations in the vicinity of a given fixed point of the governing equations. We recast these equations in the following compact form,

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{q}}{\partial t} = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{q}),\tag{11}$$

where  $\mathbf{q} = (\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}), Z, T)^{T}$ ,  $\mathbf{x}$  is the position vector, and  $\mathcal{N}$  is the nonlinear partial differential operator projected onto a vector space satisfying equation (6) (the density is not included since it is computed from the known values of the mixture fraction and the temperature by using equation (5)). The dynamics of an infinitesimal perturbation  $\mathbf{q}'(\mathbf{x}, t)$  is governed by the following linearized equation (with respect to a given base flow  $\mathbf{q}_b$ ),

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{q}'}{\partial t} = \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{q}'),\tag{12}$$

where  $\mathcal{L}$  is the linearized operator. Since, especially for computations in three space dimensions, the number of degrees of freedom can be too large to enable explicit storage of matrix  $\mathcal{L}$  and a direct computation of its eigenvalues, the time-stepper approach proposed by Edwards *et al* (1994) and Bagheri *et al* (2009) is here employed, extending the algorithm developed by Loiseau *et al* (2014) using the code *Nek5000*. Given an initial state  $\mathbf{q}'_0$  and a time increment  $\Delta t$ , the solution of the linearized equation is of the form  $\mathbf{q}'(\Delta t) = \mathbf{M}\mathbf{q}'_0$ , where  $\mathbf{M} = e^{\mathcal{L}\Delta t}$  is the exponential propagator. The eigenvalues  $\lambda = \sigma + i\omega$  and the eigenvectors  $\mathbf{Q}$  of  $\mathcal{L}$  are related to those of  $\mathbf{M}$ , namely ( $\Lambda$ ,  $\mathbf{V}$ ), by the following equations,

M Farano *et al* 

$$\lambda = \frac{\log\left(\Lambda\right)}{\Delta t}, \quad \mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{V}.$$
(13)

Therefore, one can compute the eigenpairs of **M** and easily recover those of  $\mathcal{L}$  by the latter equations; the advantage of this procedure is that one does not need to compute  $\mathcal{L}$  since the action of the exponential propagator onto a generic vector  $\mathbf{q}'_0$  can be approximated by integrating the linearized NS equations from t = 0 to  $t = \Delta t$  with initial solution  $\mathbf{q}'_0$ . This allows one to compute  $\Lambda$  and  $\mathbf{V}$  by using an efficient matrix-free Arnoldi iteration. In the present work, we also avoid the linearization of the NS equations by computing the effect of the linear operator employing a first order finite-difference approximation (Mack *et al* 2008, Mack and Schmid 2010) using the nonlinear operator  $\mathbf{N}$  acting on a small perturbation superposed to the base flow solution, namely,

$$\mathbf{Mq'}_0 \approx [\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{q}_b + \epsilon \mathbf{q'}_0) - \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{q}_b)]/\epsilon, \tag{14}$$

where the nonlinear NS equations are integrated from t = 0 to  $t = \Delta t$  and  $\epsilon$  is a small parameter equal to  $10^{-7}$  as proposed by Gibson *et al* (2008) (see the appendix for further details about the numerical procedure). For the initial Arnoldi iteration,  $\mathbf{q}'_0$  is chosen as a random unit norm vector (Loiseau *et al* 2014).

#### 3. Global stability of a 3D cellular jet diffusion flame

#### 3.1. Flow configuration

We choose as flow configuration for our investigation the one used in the experimental analysis of Lo Jacono *et al* (2003), which was performed on circular jet diffusion flames burning  $CO_2$ -diluted hydrogen and oxygen at the EPFL jet flame facility. The gaseous fuel passed through a muffler, a settling chamber with honeycomb straighteners and screens, and finally through a contoured axisymmetric contraction with an area ratio of 100:1.

The diameter of the circular fuel nozzle was D = 0.75 cm; a uniform co-flow of a H<sub>2</sub>-CO<sub>2</sub> mixture was introduced through a porous plate of 7.5 cm diameter surrounding the fuel nozzle. The uniform fuel velocity was  $u_F = 76$  cm s<sup>-1</sup>, and the co-flowing oxidizer stream velocity,  $u_O$ , was fixed at 4 cm s<sup>-1</sup>. All reactants have  $T_0 = 300$  K.

The parameter space near the extinction limit was investigated by fixing the fuel composition (H<sub>2</sub>–CO<sub>2</sub> mixture) and then systematically lowering the O<sub>2</sub> concentration in the coflowing O<sub>2</sub>–CO<sub>2</sub> stream. The O<sub>2</sub> concentration was lowered in decrements of less than 0.1% (by volume) until a transition to cellular flames was first observed, and then further until the extinction limit was reached. The conditions for these near-extinction experiments covered a range of reactant Lewis numbers (*Sc/Pr*), based on the overall fuel-oxygen mixture at 300 K, of 1.1–1.2 for oxygen and 0.25–0.29 for hydrogen.

Several types of cellular modes were observed for a fixed jet fuel composition and various oxygen concentrations above the extinction limit of 23.2% O<sub>2</sub>. The cellular state can be classified by the number of cells and by its rotating or stationary condition. The experiments show that cellular flames occur near the extinction limit; furthermore, several cellular states were found to co-exist and the particular state realized was determined by the initial conditions and the path adopted to reach the experimental condition. The number of cells in the preferred states observed was found to decrease with decreasing oxygen concentration (Damköhler number).

#### 3.2. Simulation assumptions

The above experimental set-up has been approximated by a simplified computational model in which we have assumed a unique value of the Lewis number equal to 1 and a fuel stream of pure  $H_2$ . The fuel enters the domain through a circular nozzle of diameter d at (x, y, z) = (0, 0, 0) with a hyperbolic tangent profile having maximum velocity  $u_j$ , and density  $\rho_j$ . The fuel jet is surrounded by an oxidizer co-flow of pure O<sub>2</sub> with velocity  $u_c = 4/76$ . d and  $u_j$  are chosen as reference length and velocity, respectively. The computational domain is a block with dimensions  $L_x = 10$ ,  $L_y = 5$ , and  $L_z = 5$ , x, y, and z being the streamwise, vertical, and spanwise directions, respectively.

The nondimensional parameters in the governing equations are the following: Re = 500,  $S_1 = 16$ ,  $S_2 = 10.67$ , Pr = Sc = 1,  $\kappa = 0.01$ ,  $\alpha = 0.90625$ ,  $\beta = 2.353$ , s = 8 (corresponding to a stoichiometric mixture fraction  $Z_{st} = 0.111$ ).

In the present work, because of the simple combustion model, we cannot reproduce the same test performed by Frouzakis *et al* (2005). Therefore, we control the transition from stable to unstable regime varying the value of the Damköhler number and we perform a qualitative analysis concerning the phenomenology of extinction of the flame.

The open-source code *Nek5000* (Fischer *et al* 2008) is employed, based on a spectralelement method with Galerkin approximation. The solution is expanded within the spectral elements using Legendre polynomials of order N = 8 at the Gauss–Lobatto–Legendre quadrature points. The computational domain is discretized by 30, 15, and 15 spectral elements in the *x*, *y*, and *z* direction, respectively. The resulting grid has 6750 3D-hexahedric elements, about three times more than those used by Frouzakis *et al* (2005). For time discretization, a second-order-accurate backward differentiation has been employed (Fischer *et al* 2008). The nondimensional time step is equal to 0.0025.

Concerning the boundary condition, at inlet points, Michalke's profile number two (Michalke 1984) has been employed,

$$f(r) = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ 1 + \tanh\left[\frac{1}{4}\frac{d}{2\theta}\left(\frac{d}{2r} - \frac{2r}{d}\right)\right] \right\},\tag{15}$$

$$u_x(x=0, r) = (u_j - u_c)f(r) + u_c,$$
(16)

$$Z(x = 0, r) = f(r), \ T(x = 0, r) = 0,$$
(17)

where  $r = \sqrt{y^2 + z^2}$ . The ratio of the jet radius d/2 to momentum thickness of the shear layer  $\theta$  is equal to  $d/2\theta = 20$ . At the lateral boundaries, T = 0, Z = 0, and the normal derivative of the velocity components and pressure are set to zero. At the outlet boundary, outflow conditions have been employed for the velocity, whereas temperature and mixture fraction have zero gradient in the normal direction to the boundary:

$$\left(-\nabla p + \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\tau}{S_{\mathrm{I}}Re}\right)\right) \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0, \tag{18}$$

$$(\nabla T) \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0, \tag{19}$$

$$(\nabla Z) \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0. \tag{20}$$

Finally,  $\partial p / \partial x = 0$  has been imposed at inlet and outlet points.

#### 3.3. Discussion of the DNS results

We start the investigation about the cellular flame by looking for the range of Damköhler number in which blow-off of the flame occurs. For sufficiently large inlet jet velocity  $u_j$ , the flame stabilizes at a lift-off height *H* defined as the minimum axial distance from the nozzle at which the temperature is T > 0.5 for any radius (Nichols and Schmid 2008).

Figure 1 shows seven snapshots of jet flames obtained from DNS. We found that instabilities occur for  $3 \times 10^5 < Da < 4 \times 10^5$ ; increasing the Damköhler number, the flame shifts towards the nozzle and tends to stabilize. The predicted lift-off heights are compatible with flame profiles provided by Frouzakis *et al* (2005). For the sake of completeness, also cases at  $Da = 1.5 \times 10^5$  and  $Da = 10^5$  are shown; for the latter case we had the flame almost lifted out of the domain. At  $Da = 1.5 \times 10^5$ ,  $Da = 2 \times 10^5$  and  $Da = 3 \times 10^5$ , the flow reaches a quasi-periodic unstable condition. One can see in the center part of the domain, which is rich of fuel, the development of a train of Kelvin–Helmholtz type vortices which convect downstream. In addition we observe some waves on the lean branch of the flame which arise in the premixed zone. At  $Da = 4 \times 10^5$ ,  $Da = 5 \times 10^5$  and  $Da = 6 \times 10^5$ , the flow evolves towards a steady-state solution, providing a flame profile stable and aligned with the flow.

The structure of the flame was analyzed also by extracting snapshots of the temperature contours in crosswise sections, as shown in figure 2. For low values of Da, several transient cellular structures are observed. It is noteworthy that this phenomenon can be observed only in a three-dimensional simulation, such as that presented here.

DNS shows that, under the assumptions described above, all flames achieve a 4-cell structure, sometimes evolving through a transient 8-cell configuration, as shown by figure 2(a). This result can be explained by remembering that the Lewis number is equal to one for all species, whereas, in the computation of Frouzakis *et al* (2005) it was possible to set different values of the Lewis number for each species. The experiments (Lo Jacono *et al* 2003) clearly demonstrated that this parameter affects the occurrence of cellularity in flames.

Table 1 shows the lift-off height obtained from the computations. For  $Da = 10^5$ ,  $Da = 1.5 \times 10^5$ ,  $Da = 2.0 \times 10^5$  and  $Da = 3.0 \times 10^5$ , after the initial transient, the flame tip oscillates around a mean value of the lift-off height,  $H_m$ . A fast Fourier transform (FFT) analysis of such an oscillating signal has been performed to compute the Strouhal number,  $St = f_1 d / u_i$ , based on the frequency of the first peak of the FFT.

For these unstable cases, the selective frequency damping (SFD) technique (Åkervik *et al* 2006) has been employed to compute a steady base solution. The low-pass filter frequency for the SFD simulation has been set according to the Strouhal number, so that global fluctuations are damped and the solution is driven to steady state.  $H_s$  is defined as the lift-off height obtained using the SFD technique (Åkervik *et al* 2006); at this purpose, the iterative procedure is stopped when residuals are smaller than  $10^{-6}$ . For the stable cases, the values of  $H_s$  and  $H_m$  are coincident.

The typical base-flow flame structure obtained using the SFD computation is shown in figure 3: Kelvin–Helmholtz vortices and lean branch waves are damped. For different Damkhöhler numbers only  $H_s$  varies, as one can see in table 1, the steady-state solution being characterized by a 4-cell structure.

For  $Da = 10^5$  and  $Da = 1.5 \times 10^5$ , the DNS shows an unstable flame with values of temperature and reaction rate similar to other cases with higher Damköhler number. However, applying the SFD technique, the base flow for these low values of *Da* reveals a *weak flame* structure (Bucci *et al* 2016). This states are characterized by very low values of temperature



**Figure 1.** Snapshots at t = 200 of jet flames obtained by seven DNSs with:  $Da = 1 \times 10^5$ ,  $1.5 \times 10^5$ ,  $2 \times 10^5$ ,  $3 \times 10^5$ ,  $4 \times 10^5$ ,  $5 \times 10^5$ ,  $6 \times 10^5$  (top to bottom). Contours of non-dimensional temperature in a y = 0 plane. Scales are from white  $(1 \times 0^{-2})$  to red (0.98).



**Figure 2.** Non-dimensional temperature contours in a cross section showing the computed cellular structures: (a)  $Da = 10^5$ , x = 4; (b)  $Da = 1.5 \times 10^5$ , x = 1; (c)  $Da = 2 \times 10^5$ , x = 1.15; (d)  $Da = 3 \times 10^5$ , x = 1.1. Scales are from blue (low) to red (high).

**Table 1.** Strouhal number, lift-off height  $(H_s)$  computed by the SFD technique, and average lift-off height  $(H_m)$  computed by DNS, for several values of the Damköhler number.

Da	St	$H_s$	$H_m$
$1.0 \times 10^{5}$	0.180	weak-flame	7.151
$1.5 \times 10^5$	0.196	weak-flame	1.405
$2.0 \times 10^5$	0.208	1.195	1.157
$3.0 \times 10^{5}$	0.214	1.082	1.123
$4.0 \times 10^5$	stable	0.735	0.735
$5.0 \times 10^5$	stable	0.393	0.393
$6.0 \times 10^{5}$	stable	0.382	0.382



**Figure 3.** Base flow of cellular jet flame: non-dimensional temperature field in the longitudinal section (a) and in the crosswise section at x = 1.3 (b) for  $Da = 2.0 \times 10^5$ . Same temperature scale as figure 1.

and heat release rate and represent often not sustainable flame configurations, being strongly unstable.

Figure 4 shows the temperature field and the heat release rate field computed by SFD: one can notice that the flame structure is completely different with respect to that computed by the DNS and shown in figure 1.

#### 3.4. Global stability: weak flame cases

Firstly, two weak flame cases will be analyzed, obtained for  $Da = 10^5$  and  $1.5 \times 10^5$ . In the related spectra, shown in figure 5, among the modes that are well-converged, we find four isolated unstable modes for each value of Da.

These results explain the strong unstable nature of weak flames. The eigenvalues obtained for these two cases are listed in table 2. One can notice that in this regime the Damköhler number does not strongly affect the eigenvalue growth rates and frequencies. For both values of Da, the fourth eigenvalue has a frequency close to the  $\lambda_{i,DNS}$  calculated from the DNS. Concerning the associated eigenvectors, they all have a similar shape as shown in figures 6 and 7. The real components of these eigenvectors reveal that the instability zone is in the diffusive part of the flame, which is typical of weak flame cases (in agreement with Bucci *et al* (2016)) with a strong diffusion of production rate and radial development of the eigenmodes' shape.



**Figure 4.** Base flow of cellular jet flame: temperature field (a) and  $\ln(\omega)$  field (b) for the weak-flame condition at  $Da = 1.5 \times 10^5$ . Same temperature scale as figure 1.



**Figure 5.** The least-stable part of the global eigenvalue spectra for the weak flame cases at  $Da = 10^5$  (circles) and  $Da = 1.5 \times 10^5$  (crosses).

**Table 2.** Frequency and growth rate of the unstable eigenvalues at  $Da = 10^5$  and  $Da = 1.5 \times 10^5$  compared to frequencies obtained from Fourier transform of the lift-off height displacement signals.

$Da = 10^5$	$\lambda_{i,\mathrm{DNS}}$	$Da = 1.5 \times 10^5$	$\lambda_{i,\mathrm{DNS}}$
0.141 + 0.734i		0.139 + 0.732i	
0.065 + 0.824i		0.066 + 0.817i	
0.051 + 0.630i		0.039 + 0.646i	
0.014 + 0.953i	1.13	0.017 + 0.964i	1.23



**Figure 6.** Isosurfaces of the non-dimensional temperature T (a) and of the mixture fraction Z (b) for the real component of the most unstable weak-flame global mode at  $Da = 1.5 \times 10^5$ . Negative (blue) and positive (red) surfaces are shown.



**Figure 7.** Contours of the non-dimensional temperature T (a) and of the mixture fraction Z (b) in a longitudinal plane for the real component of the most unstable weak-flame global mode at  $Da = 1.5 \times 10^5$ . Negative (blue) and positive (red) contours are shown.

#### 3.5. Global stability: cellular flame cases

Figure 8(a) shows the eigenvalue spectra for  $Da = 2 \times 10^5$ ,  $Da = 3 \times 10^5$  and  $Da = 4 \times 10^5$ . Varying the Damköhler number in this range, a transition between unstable and stable flame was found. In fact, for  $Da = 4 \times 10^5$  all modes drop under the stability limit, confirming the results of the DNS. Amongst the modes that are well-converged, we find an isolated low-frequency unstable mode (mode A), and a set of stable convective high-frequency modes: these modes correspond to shear vortical structures and only the most unstable of these will be shown in detail (mode B). Mode A has lower frequency than mode B; moreover, the frequencies of both modes increase with Da, the vortical shape of the modes being almost unchanged. It is worth to notice that the modes here labeled as A and B have not a direct correspondence with the modes found by Nichols and Schmid (2008), since the test case considered in the present work is quite different from that used by Nichols and Schmid (2008) both for the chemical and fluid parameters, so that a direct comparison of the results is not possible.

Table 3 provides the eigenvalues for each Damköhler number. A good matching is found between  $\text{Im}(\lambda)$  of mode A and  $\lambda_{i,\text{DNS}}$ : this indicates that the sampling employed in the algorithm is correct and validates our approach.



**Figure 8.** Global eigenvalue spectra for cellular flames at (a)  $Da = 2 \times 10^5$ ,  $Da = 3 \times 10^5$  and  $Da = 4 \times 10^5$ ; (b)  $Da = 4 \times 10^5$ ,  $Da = 5 \times 10^5$  and  $Da = 6 \times 10^5$ . Filled symbols represent modes A and B.

**Table 3.** Frequency and growth rate of the unstable eigenvalues at  $Da = 2 \times 10^5$ ,  $Da = 3 \times 10^5$ , and  $Da = 4 \times 10^5$  compared to frequencies obtained from Fourier transform of the lift-off height displacement signals.

	$Da = 2 \times 10^5$	$Da = 3 \times 10^5$	$Da = 4 \times 10^5$
Mode A Mode B	+0.159 + 1.183i -0.211 + 2.070i	+0.179 + 1.247i -0.212 + 2.213i	-0.077 + 1.531i -0.359 + 2.414i
$\lambda_{i,\text{DNS}}$	1.306	1.344	0.337 + 2.111

Figure 8(b) shows the eigenspectra of the stable cases; the previously shown unstable modes, such as mode A, have negative growth rate despite their imaginary part remains about the same. Stable behavior is coherent with DNSs, in which the residual reduces in time in absence of SFD. On the other hand, modes B have higher frequency when increasing the Damköhler number.

Concerning the shape of the eigenvectors, small modifications are observed varying the Damköhler number; therefore, only those corresponding to  $Da = 6 \times 10^5$  are provided. For the first of these modes, labeled mode A, real components, shown in figures 9 and 10, indicate that the mode shape is dominant in the premixing zone between the inlet and the flame base. Thus, mode A appears to correspond to the pocket of instability upstream of the flame base. Temperature distribution shows two zones marked by different wave lengths: the front part, where the maximum amplitudes of the eigenmode are located, is characterized by oscillations having smaller wave length; whereas, the downstream oscillations, similar to the Kelvin–Helmholtz vortices observed by DNS, are characterized by a higher wave length.

However, even if both instability mechanisms are involved for mode A, the effect of the wavemaker in the premixing zone is dominant. Figure 9 shows that the present global stability analysis allows one to predict the three-dimensional structure of these modes, which are characterized by different azimuthal wave numbers along the axis of the flame. In fact, it appears that, for mode A, the azimuthal wave number tends to zero towards the tip of the flame. These figures also show that the axial wavenumber is varying along the streamwise direction. Moreover, both figures 9 and 10 show the presence of an instability front in the premixed region aligned with the lean branch of the triple flame. Finally, figures 11(a) and (b) provide the temperature distribution



**Figure 9.** Isosurfaces of the non-dimensional temperature *T* (a) and of the mixture fraction *Z* (b) for the real component of mode A at  $Da = 6.0 \times 10^5$ . Negative (blue) and positive (red) surfaces are shown.



**Figure 10.** Contours of the non-dimensional temperature T (a) and of the mixture fraction Z (b) in a longitudinal plane for the real component of mode A at  $Da = 6.0 \times 10^5$ . Negative (blue) and positive (red) contours are shown.

for mode A at two cross section corresponding to x = 4.5 and x = 8.0, respectively. The contours clearly show again the 4-cell structure of the eigenmode.

The second mode, labeled mode B, provided in figure 12, is located further downstream along the diffusive part of the flame; it is clearly a Kelvin–Helmholtz mode, which grows both in the radial and axial direction (convective instability).

Also the corresponding contours of temperature and mixture fraction are located along the diffusive zone of the flame, as shown in figure 13. It is noteworthy that this mode is active in the flame region as mode B described by Qadri *et al* (2015); however, its frequency is higher than that of mode A, whereas, in the test case considered by Qadri *et al* (2015), mode B had a lower frequency with respect to mode A. This different behavior could be due to the different parameters of the combustion model and to three-dimensional effects.

#### 4. Conclusions and future developments

In this work, a numerical method based on the time-stepper approach proposed by Edwards *et al* (1994) and Bagheri *et al* (2009) has been combined with a numerical linearization of the



**Figure 11.** Non-dimensional temperature distribution in the cross sections at x = 4.5 (a) and x = 8.0 (b) for the real component of mode A at  $Da = 6.0 \times 10^5$ . Negative (blue) and positive (red) contours are shown.



**Figure 12.** Isosurfaces of the non-dimensional temperature T (a) and of the mixture fraction Z for the real component of mode B at  $Da = 6.0 \times 10^5$ . Negative (blue) and positive (red) surfaces are shown.

governing equations and employed to study the global stability of three-dimensional reacting flows. This method allows one to analyze the stability of diffusion flames without the direct evaluation and storage of the linearized operator; in this way, a remarkable reduction of the storage capacity is achieved, which renders the method suitable for three dimensional flow computations and complex combustion models. Furthermore, the employed numerical approach, avoiding the analytical evaluation of the Jacobian matrices, represents a very flexible approach, which can be applied straightforwardly to any complex system of conservation equations governing the dynamics of a reacting flow provided that a DNS solver is available. The present work provides the first application of the global stability analysis to a



**Figure 13.** Contours of the non-dimensional temperature T (a) and of the mixture fraction Z (b) for the real component of mode B at  $Da = 6.0 \times 10^5$ . Negative (blue) and positive (red) contours are shown.

three-dimensional reacting flow. The results obtained in the present work demonstrate that the proposed approach is useful to identify the main mechanisms of instability with a reasonable computational cost.

The method was validated versus the results of Qadri *et al* (2015) using the same test case proposed by Nichols and Schmid (2008) concerning an axisymmetric jet diffusion flame (Mancini *et al* 2017).

In the present work, the numerical model has been employed to study the stability of a three-dimensional jet diffusion flame with cellular structure. The baseline case was the same employed by Lo Jacono *et al* (2003), providing the experimental observation of cell structures in reactive flows using the EPFL jet flame facility, and by Frouzakis *et al* (2005), who have performed numerical simulations and a local stability analysis. Since the focus of the present paper is the stability analysis, a simple mixture fraction combustion model has been employed. Despite the mixture fraction model does not consider transport equations for species concentrations, we obtained a cellular structure for all considered Damköhler numbers and the flame behavior in terms of lift-off height is coherent with the experimental results.

Thanks to the global stability analysis it was possible to study the three-dimensional eigenmode shape related to the most representative eigenvalues in the range  $10^5 \le Da \le 6 \times 10^5$ . Two different families of modes have been identified: we labeled as mode A the low-frequency most unstable mode that contains instabilities related to the premixing zone of the flame and presents higher frequency peaks in that zone; whereas, the high-frequency mode was labeled mode B, which is the most representative of the instabilities in the diffusive rear region of the flame (associated with Kelvin–Helmholtz vortices). Moreover, unlike previous two-dimensional analyses, the present three-dimensional stability analysis has been able to compute for the first time non-axisymmetric modes, which are responsible for the cellular structure of the flame. Thus, the main contribution of this paper has been to provide a physical explanation for the onset of a cellular structure of the flame, linking it to a Hopf bifurcation arising for decreasing values of the Damköhler number.

Future development of this work could be to employ a more accurate chemical reaction model that would allow one to consider different Lewis numbers and to have a better matching with real experimental assumptions.

#### Acknowledgments

This work was granted access to HPC resources of IDRIS under allocation x20162a6362 made by GENCI (Grand Equipement National de Calcul Intensif). The authors also wish to acknowledge the computational resources of the PrInCE project (grant PONa3-00372-CUP D91D11000100007) at Politecnico di Bari. M A Bucci is also acknowledged for fruitful discussions.

#### Appendix

In this section, details about the numerical method used for evaluating the Jacobian matrix, as well as a validation of the grid, are provided. The numerical evaluation of the Jacobian matrix for stability analysis is a well established technique employed by several authors (Mack et al 2008, Mack and Schmid 2010). The present work provides the first application of such a technique for the global stability analysis of three-dimensional reacting flows. For applying this method, one should first ensure that the value of  $\epsilon$  in equation (14) is small enough to accurately approximate (with a nonlinear operator) the linear evolution of the perturbations. However, even if the initial value of  $\epsilon$  is very small, the flow might be prone to strong energy growth due to modal or nonmodal mechanisms, as in some of the cases considered in this work. In these cases, a renormalization of the amplitude of the perturbation during the time integration is necessary in order to ensure that the flow remains in the linear regime during the whole time integration. This method guarantees a good approximation of the eigenvalues even when the flow is highly unstable. In this work, for all of the considered cases, we have applied such a renormalization every  $\Delta t$  (namely, every time a snapshot is extracted from the DNS for creating the Krylov subspace in the Arnoldi method), rescaling the initial condition for obtaining the following snapshot such that its L2 norm is equal to  $\epsilon$ . Concerning the value of  $\epsilon$ , we have chosen to employ  $\epsilon = 10^{-7}$ , which is a reference value employed in the literature (see, for instance, the work of Gibson et al (2008)). Furthermore, using the two-dimensional flow case of Qadri et al (2015) as a test case, we have verified that the leading eigenvalues change less than 1% when using  $\epsilon = 10^{-8}$ .

Concerning the discretization, all computations have been performed using a Cartesian grid. Inside each cell, the nodes are distributed according to the spectralelement discretization based on Legendre polynomials. To choose the order of the Legendre polynomial employed in the study, we have used different values of N for the case with  $Da = 6 \times 10^5$ . The eigenspectrum as well as the eigenvector shapes obtained for N = 8 and N = 9 are very similar. Figure A1 provides the eigenvalue spectrum obtained with N = 8 and N = 9, showing a satisfactory convergence level, whereas the non-dimensional temperature distributions in the cross sections at x = 4.5 (a) and x = 8.0 (b) for the real component of mode A, are provided in figure A2. One can compare these results with those provided in figure 11 (obtained with N = 8), noticing that the 4-cell structure of the mode does not change with the order of the polynomial reconstruction. Thus, for all the computations provided in this work, a polynomial order N = 8 has been used.



**Figure A1.** Eigenvalue spectrum for  $Da = 6 \times 10^5$  obtained with N = 8 and N = 9.



**Figure A2.** Non-dimensional temperature distributions in the cross sections at x = 4.5 (a) and x = 8.0 (b) for the real component of mode A obtained for  $Da = 6 \times 10^5$ .

#### **ORCID** iDs

P De Palma https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7831-6115

#### References

Åkervik E, Brandt L, Henningson D S, Hœpffner J, Marxen O and Schlatter P 2006 *Phys. Fluids* 18 068102

Bagheri S, Åkervik E, Brandt L and Henningson D S 2009 AIAA J. 47 1057-68

Bucci M A, Robinet J C and Chibbaro S 2016 Combust. Flame 167 132-48

Edwards W S, Tuckerman L S, Friesner R A and Sorension D C 1994 J. Comput. Phys. **110** 82–102 Fischer P, Lottes J and Kerkemeir S 2008 'nek5000 Web pages' http://nek5000.mcs.anl.gov

Frouzakis C E, Tomboulides A G, Papas P, Fischer P F, Rais R M, Monkewitz P A and Boulouchos K 2005 *Proc. Combust. Inst.* **30** 185–92

Gibson J F, Halcrow J and Cvitanović P 2008 J. Fluid Mech. 611 107-30

- Gorman M, El-Hamdi M, Pearson B and Robbins K A 1996 Phys. Rev. Lett. 76 228
- Gunaratne G H, El-Hamdi M, Gorman M and Robbins K A 1996 Mod. Phys. Lett. B 10 1379-87
- Loiseau J C, Robinet J C, Cherubini S and Leriche E 2014 J. Fluid Mech. 760 175-211
- Lo Jacono D, Papas P and Monkewitz P A 2003 Combust. Theory Modelling 7 635-44
- Mack C J and Schmid P J 2010 J. Comput. Phys. 229 541-60
- Mack C J, Schmid P J and Sesterhenn J L 2008 J. Fluid Mech. 611 205-14

Mancini C, Farano M, De Palma P, Robinet J C and Cherubini S 2017 Energy Proc. 126 867-74

Michalke A 1984 Prog. Aerosp. Sci. 21 159–99

- Nichols J and Schmid P J 2008 J. Fluid Mech. 609 275-84
- Peters N 2000 Turbulent Combustion (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
- Qadri U A, Chandler G J and Juniper M P 2015 J. Fluid Mech. 775 201-22
- Tomboulides A G, Lee J C Y and Orszag S A 1997 J. Sci. Comput. 12 139-67