

Science Arts & Métiers (SAM)

is an open access repository that collects the work of Arts et Métiers Institute of Technology researchers and makes it freely available over the web where possible.

This is an author-deposited version published in: https://sam.ensam.eu Handle ID: .http://hdl.handle.net/10985/21361

To cite this version :

Mahshid ZARESHARIF, David J. KINAHAN, Yan M. C. DELAURE, Florent RAVELET - Cavitation control using passive flow control techniques - Physics of Fluids - Vol. 33, n°12, p.121301 - 2021

Any correspondence concerning this service should be sent to the repository Administrator : scienceouverte@ensam.eu



1 Cavitation control using passive flow control techniques

- 2 Mahshid Zaresharif^{1,2}, Florent Ravelet³, David J Kinahan^{1,2,4,5} and Yan Delaure^{1,2*}
- 3 ¹The Water Institute, School of Mechanical and Manufacturing Engineering, Dublin City
- 4 University, Ireland
- 5 ²Advanced Processing Technology Research Centre, School of Mechanical and Manufacturing
- 6 Engineering, Dublin City University, Ireland
- 7 ³Arts et Metiers Institute of Technology, CNAM, LIFSE, HESAM University, 75013 Paris, France
- 8 ⁴I-Form The SFI Centre for Advanced Manufacturing, Dublin City University, Ireland
- 9 ⁵National Centre for Sensor Research, Dublin City University, Ireland
- 10 * Corresponding Author: <u>Yan.Delaure@dcu.ie</u>
- 11
- 12 Abstracts

13 Passive flow control techniques, and particularly vortex generators have been used successfully in 14 a broad range of aero- and hydrodynamics applications to alter the characteristics of boundary 15 layer separation. This study aims to review how such techniques can mitigate the extent and 16 impact of cavitation in incompressible flows. This review focuses first on vortex generators to 17 characterize key physical principles. It then considers the complete range of passive flow control 18 technologies; including surface conditioning and roughness, geometry modification, grooves, 19 discharge, injection, obstacles, vortex generators, and bubble generators. The passive flow control 20 techniques reviewed typically delay and suppress boundary layer separation by decreasing the 21 pressure gradient at the separation point. The literature also identifies stream-wise vortices that 22 result in the transfer of momentum from the free stream to near-wall low energy flow regions. The

23	area of interest concerns hydraulic machinery, whose performance and life span are particularly
24	susceptible to cavitation. The impact on performance includes a reduction in efficiency, and
25	fluctuations in discharge pressure and flow, while cavitation can greatly increase wear of bearings,
26	wearing rings, seals and impeller surfaces due to excessive vibration and surface erosion. In that
27	context, few studies have also shown the positive effects that passive controls can have on the
28	hydraulic performance of centrifugal pumps, such as total head and efficiency. It is conceivable
29	that a new generation of design in hydraulic systems may be possible if simple design features can
30	be conceived to maximize power transfer and minimize losses and cavitation. There are still
31	however significant research gaps in understanding a range of impact factors such as
32	manufacturing processes, lifetime, durability, and essentially how a static design can be optimized
33	to deliver improved performance over a realistic range of operating conditions.
34	Keywords
35	Passive flow control, Cavitation control, Vortex generator, Boundary layer separation
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	

Nomenclature

ACL	Anti-cavitation Lip	Ra	roughness
с	Hydrofoil chord	Re	Reynolds number
CGs	Cavitating bubble Generators	Re _θ	Reynolds number based on momentum thickness
CCGs	Cylindrical Cavitating bubble Generators	R-T	Rayleigh–Taylor
CD	Drag coefficient	S	Hydrofoil Span
C _{pmin}	Minimum pressure coefficient	TLV	tip-leakage vortex
GEMS	gas entrapment by micro- textured surfaces	U_{∞}	Free-stream stream- wise velocity
h	Device height	VG	Vortex generator
h/ δ	Device height to boundary layer thickness ratio	X _{VG}	Distance between the leading edge and vortex generators
K-H	Kelvin-Helmholtz	Z	distance between two Doublet Wheeler or Wishbone Wheeler vortex generators
1	Device chord length	α	Angle of attack
L	Distance between two counter- rotating vortex generators' ends	β	Device angle of incidence
LSB	laminar separation bubble	δ	Boundary layer thickness
m	Vortex Generators spacing in the span-wise direction between two pair of counter-rotating vortex generators	Δh	height of the cavity
mVG	Micro Vortex Generator	Δs	distance between the leading edge roughness and the re- entrant jets
n	Gap ratio of between two counter-rotating vanes	ΔX_{VG}	Distance between the vortex generators trailing edge and



45 Introduction

46 Cavitation is defined as the appearance of vapor cavities due to phase change in a liquid medium
47 ¹. Hydraulic machinery in industries have been experiencing many challenges which are associated
48 with the cavitation phenomenon include noise ², vibration ³, material damage ⁴, and reduced
49 efficiency/performance ⁵.

50 Since the initial investigation of Reynolds⁶, there have been many studies that have attempted to 51 improve our understanding of the nature of the phenomenon; focusing, amongst others, on 52 processes involved in the formation of cavitation vapor, the dynamics of bubble detachment, the 53 behavior of boundary layers, and more recently, on how the strength, extent, dynamics, and impact of cavitation may be controlled or mitigated. The two essential prerequisite conditions needed for 54 55 cavitation to develop are the presence of favorable bubble inception sites and the opportunity for 56 the liquid pressure to fall below the saturation pressure. Dissolved gas in the liquid medium can 57 also play a role in the activation of nucleation sites. These prerequisites commonly occur in 58 hydraulic machinery. Sudden pressure drops over impellers and blades occur as energy in the flow 59 is transferred to kinetic energy in the volute and around impeller blades ⁷.

60 Initially, the bubbles in the oncoming stream on a hydrofoil or generally a surface were assumed

61 to be micron-sized nuclei in the liquid and they would move along the streamline close to the solid

62 surface. Observable bubbles of 1 mm or larger were deemed to initiate cavitation. Nuclei present 63 in incident-free streams are a primary source of these bubbles. Nuclei passing close to the front stagnation point will experience large fluid accelerations and pressure gradients since the 64 65 streamlines encountering the low-pressure region are close to the surface. The initial growth phase in all cases was characterized by a spherical cap. Bubbles are separated from walls by thin layers 66 67 of liquid of a thickness equal to the boundary layer. Once the bubble enters an area of adverse pressure gradient, it begins to be pushed inward, resulting in a wedge-shaped profile. Thus, the 68 69 bubble collapse begins on the exterior frontal surface, often resulting in the bubble breaking into forward and aft bubbles. This phase is called bubble travelling cavitation ⁸⁻¹⁰. 70

As the bubble grows, it develops substantial span-wise vorticity as it interacts with the boundary layer. As a result, the cavitating vorticity within a bubble is concentrated as the collapse proceeds, transforming it into one (or several, or even more) cavitating vortex with a spanwise axis. When the vortex bubbles collapse, they reappear as a cloud of small bubbles. There is an occasional occurrence where bubbles pass the point where the laminar separation occurs and subsequently develop locally attached cavitation streaks at the lateral or span-wise extremities of the bubble.

This trailing edge of attached cavitation, which is attached to the solid surface, eventually extends out behind the main bubble. Consequently, the main bubble collapses first, leaving the tails to persist for a fraction longer. At this point an attached cavity is generated which can evolve to other type of cavitation such as cloud cavitation or supercavitation (Table 2) ^{9, 11}.

81 Once formed, cavities will eventually collapse or release clouds that will collapse resulting in a 82 shock wave ¹², and a focus of energy toward walls which typically lead to cavitation erosion and 83 noise. Over the past four decades, significant research effort has been dedicated to investigate how cavitation may be controlled. This work has tended to focus on extruded profiles from hydrofoils,

85 propellers, pumps, and turbine blades.

Stabilizing cavity resonance or reducing volume of wall and near wall cavities are two solutions to control, reduce or eliminate cavitation. The presence of nuclei and micro-bubbles within liquids and at solid surfaces, surface characteristics, and Reynolds number are some factors that affect cavitation ¹³⁻¹⁷. Adjustment or modification of one or all of these parameters can allow for effective cavitation control. However, the most important parameters which impact cavitation have been linked to the control of boundary layer separation ^{1, 18, 19}.

92 The laminar separation can be generated downstream of an adverse pressure gradient and make a 93 low pressure region. The separated layer can then shelter the oncoming flow and generate an 94 attached separation cavity with low pressure at the core. It was found that suppressing or eliminating this separation can effectively delay or suppress the formation of an attached cavity 20. 95 96 The higher momentum of the turbulent flow improves its ability to resist adverse pressure gradient over convex surfaces and hence limit the incidence of separation ^{1, 21}. Compared to turbulent 97 98 boundary conditions, a laminar boundary flow is more likely to separate, resulting in a higher drag 99 penalty. The control of boundary layer separation achieved by triggering an early transition to a 100 turbulent boundary layer is therefore beneficial both in terms of its effect on drag and on cavitation. 101 Other solutions have been considered and have shown varying degree of effectiveness.

Flow control techniques can be defined as tools to change the natural state of fluid flows and their transition into more controlled and desired flow conditions ²². Flow control strategies are divided into two types: passive and active. Passive solutions include devices that do not rely on the controller or energy sources needed for active control ²³. Passive and active can be effective techniques to manipulate and change wall-bounded or free-shear flows. This change can be made

by delaying or inducing advanced transition, suppressing or boosting turbulence, and provoking or suppressing separation. These changes can increase lift, decrease drag, suppress flow-induced noise, and induce vortex mixing. Devices and structures that can manipulate the fluid dynamics of a system without an external power source include vortex generators (VGs), tailored surface roughness, injection and discharge channels, and surface obstacles, as well as grooves to redirect flow and change vortices regime.

Active controls include wall temperature increase, dynamic surface modification by deformation
 or movable parts, and injection or flow oscillation using blowing, suction, and synthetic jets ²⁴.

115 This article aims to review studies focused on passive flow controls applied to cavitation. Amongst 116 these. VGs are regarded as the most effective and simplest technique and have been used in many 117 applications such as airfoils, wind turbine blades, swept wing, and heat exchangers ²⁵. Apart from 118 their effectiveness on boundary layer separation, their simple design, low cost, and lower drag 119 make them an effective tool in a broad range of applications ²⁶. Because of this, while other passive 120 flow control technologies are also reviewed, a particular emphasis has been placed on VGs. 121 The application of passive flow control in compressible external aerodynamics has a significant 122 history. Although there is a noticeable difference between compressible and incompressible flows

in the behavior boundary layer separation ²⁷, passive flow control studies in compressible flow can
be a good guide and pattern for incompressible flow cavitation. It is possible to correlate the
compressible flow boundary layer behavior to the incompressible flows using three assumptions:
1) the boundary layer is regarded as thermally insulating, 2) the viscosity changes with absolute
temperature, and 3) the flow Prandtl number is unity ²⁸. The first section of the article reviews the

128 literature on compressible single-phase flow studies. In the next section, different passive flow

129 controls are reviewed in the context of cavitation. The last section concludes on key results and130 promising open research topics.

131 1. Passive flow control techniques in single-phase flow

In most aerodynamic applications, such as external flow over aircraft and ground vehicles, and 132 133 internal flows such as diffusers, boundary layer separation is typically an undesirable phenomenon. 134 Depending on the nature of the wake, separation induces periodic or random pressure variations. 135 Boundary layer separation also leads to weaker lift, increased drag, and energy losses. Finding ways to control separation and, if possible, prevent it ²⁹ is clearly desirable assuming the applied 136 137 control method has no impact on efficiency or energy consumption. 138 The idea of using passive flow control and vortex generation in hydro- or aerodynamic applications 139 is well established and has led to a broad range of studies. Since the late 1990s, several 140 investigations have been focused on the effectiveness of using different passive flow control methods on boundary layer separation and aerodynamic performance ²⁵. According to the analysis 141 142 of drag coefficients for various Reynolds numbers on a smooth sphere compared to a rough sphere 143 or one with an obstacle, a drag crisis occurs at lower Reynolds numbers, also affecting boundary layer separation (Figure 1) 30, 31. 144



146 Figure 1 - Dependency of drag coefficient on Reynolds number for a smooth and rough sphere.

The results of these studies guide the implementation of passive control methods in cavitation 147 studies. Vortex generators, distributed roughness, leading-edge slats ^{32, 33}, flow vanes ³⁴, leading-148 edge serrations ³⁵, slotted airfoils ³⁶ and suction and blowing techniques ^{24, 37, 38} have all been 149 150 considered for application in external aerodynamics .

151 There is ample evidence that increased surface roughness can be harnessed to induce vortex 152 shedding, insert energy into the boundary layer, and trigger an early transition to turbulence. This has been shown to delay boundary layer separation and increase the extent of the attached flow 153 region ^{39, 40}. Effects reported include lift recovery and noise reduction ^{41, 42}. Surface roughness is 154 155 also effective in postponing stall phenomena and improving an airfoil's aerodynamic performance 40 156

157 VGs were initially introduced as small aerodynamic devices attached to a part of an aerodynamic 158 vehicle. They are able to generate a small vortex downstream. VGs can have a similar effect 159 transferring momentum from the free stream to the near wall region. They can provide one of the 160 most practical means to control flow separation over airfoils because of their small size ⁴³. Benefits 161 include increased lift, delayed stall and drag reduction. Most of the published research in this field 162 concentrates on finding a design that optimizes the vortex generators' height, geometry and 9

- 163 location upstream of the separation line. The most important parameters are the geometry, the
- 164 height *h*, the height to pitch ratio, h/δ , the array layout, ΔX_{VG} , l/h and β . Different VG designs
- 165 and their important parameters are shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2 - Schematic of vortex generators with key design parameters, (a)Co-rotating Rectangular Vortex generators, (b) Co-rotating Dalta-shaped Vortex Generators, (c) Co-rotating Gothing Vortex Generators, (d) Counter-rotating Dalta-shaped Vortex Generators, (e) Co-rotating Gothing Vortex Generators, (d) Counter-rotating Dalta-shaped Vortex Generators, (m) Gother Vortex Generators, (h) Forward Wedge (Micro-ramp) Vortex Generators, (i) Biother Wheeler Vortex Generators, (h) Forward Wedge (Micro-ramp) Vortex Generators, (i) Biother Wedge (M

Distance between two counter-rotating vortex generators' ends, λ = Distance between two co-rotating vortex generators and Z= distance between two Doublet Wheeler or Wishbone Wheeler vortex generators

167 Inducing stream-wise instabilities and vortices is one of primary ideas for suppressing the 168 boundary layer separation. In the 1970s, Kuethe⁴⁴ observed a type of centrifugal instability called 169 Taylor-Goertler that can lead to the formation of arrays of stream-wise vortices over a concave 170 surface. They tested wave-type VGs and with h/δ in the range 0.27 to 0.42. They observed that 171 VGs caused stream-wise vortices in the boundary layer because of a Taylor-Goertler instability. 172 VGs were used to suppress the Kármán vortex stream and to reduce acoustic disturbances in the 173 wake area. They could also confine the velocity deficit region in the wake resulting in improved 174 performance.

175 Rao and Kariya⁴⁵ investigated so-called submerged VG where the VG height was kept smaller 176 than the boundary layer ($h/\delta \le 0.625$). A comparison with conventional VGs ($h/\delta \sim 1$) showed 177 that a much lower parasitic drag and better performance in boundary layer separation could be 178 achieved by confining the VG in the boundary layer. Since this seminal work, research has focused on these so-called submerged VGs 45-49 which have also been called micro-VGs 50-53, sub-179 boundary-layer VGs $^{54, 55}$, and micro-vanes 56 . It has been shown in particular that VGs with $0.1 \le$ 180 181 $h/\delta \leq 0.5$ could provide sufficient momentum transfer towards the wall and over extended 182 downstream region. With a smaller footprint, submerged VGs have also proven to be more 183 versatile for a wider range of applications.

Research on micro-vortex generators (mVGs) has targeted two main research questions; how effective are mVGs at delaying boundary layer separation and what type of vortical flow is generated downstream. A summary is presented in Table 1 where studies are classified based on the VGs characteristic parameters such as geometry and location for effective flow control ²⁶.

- 188 Lin et al. conducted important experimental studies on the mVGs effectiveness on boundary layer
- 189 using a 2D backward-facing curved ramp at low speed at NASA Langley Research Center ^{46, 47, 49,}

190 ⁵⁰. They tested numerous mVGs and other passive flow control methods. Their performance 191 measured in terms of the relative reduction in the extent of the separation region is shown in Figure 192 3, with the VG geometries defined in (a, d, f and g). The most effective methods, such as mVGs 193 and large longitudinal surface grooves, were shown to generate stream-wise vortices. mVGs 194 (counter-rotating and co-rotating vane-type VGs with h/&~0.2 and h/&~0.8) and Wheeler VGs 195 (wishbone and doublet) were found to have almost the same effects on separation delay. Other 196 methods such as span-wise cylinders and transverse grooves generated higher form of drag and proved less effective 47, 49, 50. 197





203 respectively (c) The drag reducing riblets and Helmholtz resonators have no actual effect on boundary layer separation, (d) passive

porous surfaces and swept grooves have the potential to enhance boundary layer separation ⁵⁰.



b

c

205

204

Figure 4 - Oil-flow visualizations of the effect of vortex generators for flows over a backward-facing ramp for the (a) baseline case without vortex generators which produces an obvious two-dimensional separated flow (b) Counter-rotating conventional vane-type vortex generators (h/δ -0.8) placed 5 δ upstream of a baseline separation which could produce an attached flow downstream of the ramp albeit with strong three-dimensional features including a visible recirculation zone downstream of the separation baseline , (c) Vane-type counter-rotating vortex generators (h/δ -0.2) placed at 2 δ upstream of baseline separation which could suppress the boundary layer sufficiently with lower three-dimensional variations in the span-wise pressure at the shoulder region of the ramp ⁵⁰. From Control of turbulent boundary-layer separation using micro-vortex generators, J. Lin, American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Inc, In the public domain.

- 206 In Figure 4, Visualization of oil flow separation downstream of the baseline surface (without VGs)
- 207 (Figure 4 (a)) were compared with conventional counter-rotating VGs with flow at 6 h and 10 h
- 208 upstream of baseline separation (Figure 4 (b) and (c)). The results of the study found that vortices
- 209 generated by conventional VGs are stronger than needed and yet are not suppressing separation,
- 210 while the mVGs achieved close to a 90% reduction in separation and did not generate pockets of
- 211 recirculating flow. Measurements of surface pressure along the stream-wise direction and at three
- 212 span-wise locations shown in Figure 5, clarified the role of mVGs in eliminating separation. Most
- 213 notable is the lower three-dimensional variability in pressure distribution along the span-wise
- 214 direction on the shoulder region of the ramp.

а

Lin et al. ⁴⁹ examined the impact of further reduction in h/δ from 0.2 to 0.1 and observed a deterioration in the mVG effect on separation. These results confirmed that mVGs can be more effective in controlling flow separation than larger VG but care must be taken in determining an effective height to boundary layer thickness ratio to avoid.



Figure 5 - Span-wise variations in the stream-wise pressure distribution with (a) conventional vane-type counter-rotating vortex generators (h/δ ~0.8) placed at 56 upstream of baseline separation, which shows noticeable differences between the three span-wise positions, (b) Counter-rotating vane-type micro-vortex generators placed 2 δ upstream of the baseline separation, which show a lower span-wise pressure variation compared to conventional vortex generators⁵⁰. From Control of turbulent boundary-layer separation using micro-vortex generators, J. Lin, American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Inc, In the public domain.

220

221 Ashill et al. ⁵⁵ performed a comparative study on wedge type and counter-rotating mVGs located

222 52h upstream of the baseline separation line. The counter-rotating mVGs (Figure 2 (e)) with a 1h

223 span-wise gap proved most effective at suppressing boundary layer separation.

Gorton et al. ⁵¹ studied the effects of mVG profile changes (Figure 6) in suppressing separation from a backward-facing ramp with co-rotating Gothing VGs (Figure 2 (c)). The study relied on oil-flow visualization illustrated in Figure 7. Figure 7 (a) shows two large spiral nodes and a central reverse flow at the ramp in the baseline case. The mVGs proposed by Gorton et al. ⁵¹ with $h/\delta \sim 0.2$ is shown in Figure 7 (b) to alter the direction of near-wall flow sufficiently to suppress separation.



b

Figure 6 - (a) Co-rotating Gothing micro-vortex generators configured at an angle of 23 degrees to the onset flow were created by Gorton et al. ⁵¹, which resulted in significant pressure gradient reduction, and (b) Installation of micro-bump arrays on the ramp with a maximum height of 10% of the boundary layer thickness ⁵¹. From Flow control device evaluation for an internal flow with an adverse pressure gradient, S. Gorton, L. Jenkins, and S. Anders, American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Inc, In the public domain.

a

- Ashill et al. ^{54, 55} also studied the flow characteristics of mVGs at the UK Defense Evaluation
 Research Agency Boundary Layer Tunnel. They performed tests for a range of mVGs with
- 233 $h/\delta \sim 0.5$, including the single vane, counter-rotating vane-type, forwards, and backward wedges
- 234 shown in Figure 2. The generated vortex strength was estimated from flow field measurements

using a laser doppler anemometer up to 15h downstream of the mVGs 55 and up to 50h 235 downstream of the mVGs 54 . They proposed a correlation for the non-dimensional circulation and 236 used the concept of a mVG sufficient height 55. The correlation provides a prediction of the VG 237 238 vortex strength downstream and is applicable for a wide range of Reynolds numbers. No relation 239 is provided, however, between the sufficient height and a physical dimension of the mVGs. The 240 study found that forwards-wedges and the joint-vane mVG create counter-rotating vortices sharing 241 a mutual interface ⁵⁵. Measurements indicated that this led to reduced vortex strength. The vortices 242 generated by backward wedge mVGs were found to be always closer to the wall impacting on wall 243 shear.



Figure 7 - (a) Oil flow visualization of baseline case for flow over a backward-facing ramp with vortex generators and at an onset velocity of 42.7 m/s. The image provides evidence of large spiral nodes and a central reverse flow. (b) Oil flow visualization of the effect of vane-type co-rotating Gothing micro-vortex generators with $h/\delta \sim 0.2$ in comparison with the

baseline case using which direction change of near wall flow and suppressing reversing flow is shown ⁵¹. From Flow control device evaluation for an internal flow with an adverse pressure gradient, S. Gorton, L. Jenkins, and S. Anders, American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Inc, In the public domain.

- Counter-rotating vane mVGs were shown to double the vortex strength when tested up to 50 hdownstream of the mVGs. The joined-vane and the forwards-wedge mVGs produced stronger vortex decay than the two 1 and 2 h spaced counter-rotating vanes mVGs at a downstream distance of up to 15 h. In terms of adverse pressure gradient, spaced vanes proved to be more efficient than joined vanes. In comparison to counter-rotating vanes, forward-wedge mVGs reduced drag by 60%. According to the analysis of counter-rotating vanes, increasing the gap ratio can help decrease the generated drag of devices.
- In other studies, Yao et al. ⁵⁷ and Allan et al. ⁵⁸ conducted an experimental and numerical analysis of single vane-type mVGs on a flat plate. A flow field measurement system was developed to characterize embedded stream-wise vortices downstream of mVGs. Their system consisted of a 3D stereo imaging and particle image velocimetry (PIV) system covered downstream vane-type mVGs. CFD and experimental results both demonstrated that downstream of mVGs, vortices decay substantially regardless of the device incidence angle.

The effectiveness of wedge-shaped and counter-rotating vane mVGs interaction with shocks and boundary layer at Mach numbers of 1.5 and 1.3. was also investigated by Holden and Babinsky⁵⁹. They observed that both types of mVGs affected the separation bubble under shock and the vortex intensity. Although the vane type mVGs were shown to have a stronger effect because of the higher vortex strength closer to the surface, both types of mVGs can create a wave pattern consisting of shocks, re-expansions, and shocks. Wave drag and pressure losses increase due to this pattern. It was also observed that wedge-shaped mVGs generated vortices that lifted off the surface more quickly.

Babinsky et al. 60 and Ghosh et al. 61 conducted experimental and CFD analyses of forward wedge 265 266 type mVG. The formation and evolution of multiple pairs of counter-rotating stream-wise vortices 267 were observed downstream of the mVGs as shown in Figure 8. A low-momentum region forms in 268 the wake of the wedge along the centerline between consecutive mVGs. The magnitude of 269 momentum deficit was found to be proportional to the size of mVGs and inversely proportional to 270 the drag induced by wedge-type while the two counter-rotating vortices act to transfer high 271 momentum from the boundary layer peripheral region to the surface. Despite the strongest effects and greatest drag caused by the largest mVGs, the smallest mVGs ($h/\delta = 0.3$) had similar effects 272 273 on separation with lower induced drag . The results also indicated that mVGs should be located 274 closer to the adverse pressure gradients region than traditional VGs.

Dong et al. ⁶² proposed a new slotted ramp-type mVGs and numerically investigated their effect on the flow separation in supersonic flow. A more complicated wake structure was observed, including two confluent counter-rotating stream-wise vortices and an increase in number of stream-wise vortices. The interaction of these vortices with the primary counter-rotating vortex pair could increase the lifetime of vortices and boost the vortex intensity. These slotted mVGs also decrease the generated drag compared to standard micro-ramps and improve the separation control performance.

Sun et al. ⁶³ developed a conceptual description of the evolution of the vortical structures in the wake of the micro-ramps in supersonic flows as illustrated in Figure 9. Based on Li and Liu⁶⁴ and Sun et al. ⁶⁵, velocity shear and, consequently, pressure gradients downstream of micro-ramps induce swirling vortices in an arc or ring shape. The mechanism of vortex generation can be linked

to Kelvin-Helmholtz (K-H) instabilities. The model of Sun et al. ⁶³ depicts the dynamics of vortices in stages of K-H evolution. Initially, the stream-wise vortices generated as focused filaments which quickly lose their stability and change into arch-shape K-H vortices. The wavelength of the instability starts to increase due to shear velocity and vortex pairing increase. As the legs of the arch-shaped K-H vortices grow and merge with neighboring vortices, vortex rings are eventually formed. As a result of stream-wise vortices, downward motion is induced at this stage. Turbulent distortion eventually causes the ring vortices to break down.

Sun et al. ^{66, 67} also conducted a numerical modelling to analyze the wake of micro-ramp VGs
under hypersonic conditions. They observed a type of arch-type vortices that grow moving

295 downstream and breaking the primary vortices. They found that these mVGs can generate span-

296 wise structures caused by the impinging of the arc-like vortices. Their result showed that drag and

297 heat flux was reduced after applying mVGs to change the cortical structure pattern.

Other applications of passive control in compressible flows not in the scope of this article are reviewed in detail by Akhter and Omar⁶⁸ and Genç et al. ²⁵.



b

300 Figure 8 - A surface oil-flow visualization for flow over micro-ramp developed by Babinsky ⁶⁰ et al. Implementation of micro-ramps

а

301 generate a region of attached flow in its immediate downstream centerline and break down the overall separation region into small

302 individual separation areas. The generation of stream-wise vortex pairs is shown to develop in both individuals and array of micro-

303 ramps. From Micro-ramp Control of Supersonic Oblique Shock-Wave/Boundary-Layer Interactions, H. Babinsky, Y. Li, and C. W.

304 P. Ford⁶⁰; reprinted by permission of the American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Inc.





Investigator	Test condition	$U_{\infty}{}^{i}$	Re	Mach	δ ⁱⁱⁱ	VG type	VG par	ameters				Comments
(Year pub.)		[<i>m</i> / <i>s</i>]	(Re _{\theta} ⁱⁱ)		[mm]		h/δ^{iv}	l/h ^v	$m/h^{ m vi}$	β ^{vii} [° deg]	ΔX_{VG} h^{viii}	
Lin et al. ⁴⁶ (1990)	Wind-tunnel test low speeds Backward-facing ramp	40.2	(9 ×10 ³)	NA	32.5	Doublets	0.1	~13	8	±25	20	Most effective Doublet VGs in separation control: h/δ~0.1.
Lin et al. ^{47 49} (1990-1991)	Wind-tunnel test Backward-facing ramp	40.2	(9 × 10 ³)	NA	32.5	Wishbones	0.2	~3	4	±23	10	Most effective Reverse Wishbone VGs in separation control: h/δ=0.2.
Lin ⁵⁰ (1999)	Wind-tunnel test Backward-facing ramp	40.2	(9 × 10 ³)	NA	32.5	Counter- rotating rectangular vanes	0.2	4	9	±25	10	Most effective counter- rotating vanes VGs: h/δ~2. ↑ Embedded stream- wise vortices
Ashill et al. 55 (2001)	Wind-tunnel test Bump	20	19×10^{6} (35 × 10 ³)	0.68	33	Counter–rota ting delta vanes	0.3	~10	12	±14	52	Counter-rotating vanes VG with 1 h spacing have more potential for control boundary layer
			× 10)			Forward wedges	0.3	10	12	±14	52	separation.
Ashill et al. 54 55 (2001-2002)	Wind-tunnel test and CFD	10 - 40	NA	NA	60	Counter- rotating vanes	0.5	~10	NA	±14	15	Vortex strength has been correlated with

314 Table 1- Summary of research for effectiveness of micro-vortex generators on boundary layer separation ²⁶

	Flat plate					Forward wedge	0.5	10	NA	±14		device Reynolds number.
						Backward wedge	0.5	10	NA	±14	50	Unterference between mutual vortices caused by the spacing between counter-rotating VGs
						Single vane	0.5	10	NA	10, 20, 30,45		↓Vortices and drag.
Gorton et al. ⁵¹ (2002)	Wind-tunnel test Backward-facing ramp	42.7	NA	NA	22.1	Co–rotating trapezoid vanes	0.2	4	4	23	12&9	Most rotating Co- rotating trapezoid vanes VGs: Low profile VGs induced a pair of juncture vortices.
Yao et al. 57 (2002)	Wind-tunnel test Flat plate	34	NA	NA	35	Single rectangular vane	0.2	0.7	NA	10, 16,	100	↑Embedded stream- wise vortex.
Allan et al. ⁵⁸ (2002)	CFD Flat plate	34	7.2 × 10 ⁶	NA	45	Single trapezoid vane	0.2	7	NA	10,23	15,27 , 102	CFD underestimated the peak vorticity near the VG.
Holden and	Wind-tunnel test	NA	28	1.3 &	1.5	Wedge-type	1	10	12	NA	33	Both type of mVGs
(2004)	Backward-facing ramp		(26 × 10 ³	1.5		Vane-type counter- rotating	0.83	10	12		40	Vane type mVGs have stronger effect because of stronger vortices close to the surface Wave patterns that result from either mVG contain shocks, re- expansions, and shocks.

												The pressure losses result in an increase in wave drag.
Ghosh et al. ⁶¹ ; Babinsky et al. ⁶⁰	Wind-tunnel test- blowdown supersonic tunnel	NA	40 × 10 ⁶	2.5	6.67	Micro-ramps	0.3 - 0.9	7.2	7.5	±24	13.3 - 16.	↑The number of counter-rotating stream- wise vortices
(2009-2010)												The largest mVGs have the strongest effect, while it also has the greatest drag.
												mVGs should be located near the adverse pressure gradients than traditional VGs
												Device height is likely to affect optimum location
Dong et al. 62 (2017)	Wind-tunnel test- Continuous	NA	(3.137 × 10 ⁴)	1.5	1.125	Slotted Ramp-type	1.78	7.2	NA	±24	21.1	↑Complex wake structure comprised of a
	supersonic tunier					Ramp-type	1.78	7.2		±24	21.1	rotating stream-wise vortex pair and additional stream-wise vortices
												↑ Life time, and strengthen the vortex intensity of primary vortex pairs
												↓Generated drag
												Improving the separation control performance

Sun et al. 66,	Wind-tunnel test	NA	2.3	5.0	5.17	Micro-ramps	0.25,	7.2	NA	±24	16.6	\downarrow Drag and heat flux
(2010 2020)			× 10 ³				0.58, 0.77					Changing the cortical
(2019-2020)												structures pattern
												generating span-wise
												structures which are
												caused by the Impinging
												of the arc-like vortices

- 315 ⁱFree-stream stream-wise velocity
- 316 ⁱⁱ Reynolds number based on momentum thickness
- 317 ⁱⁱⁱ Boundary layer thickness
- 318 ^{iv} h=Device height
- 319 ^v l= Device chord length
- $320 \qquad {}^{vi} \,$ m=Vortex generators spacing in the span-wise direction
- 321 ^{vii} β =Device angle of incidence
- 322 $^{viii} \Delta X_{VG}$ =Distance between the vortex generators trailing edge and baseline separation line
- 323
- 324

325 As a conclusion of this section, the results show that mVGs can effectively control flow separation 326 over airfoils. The most important effects relate to boundary layer separation. The generation of 327 stream-wise vortices in the boundary layer, transfers momentum toward near the wall, delaying 328 and suppressing boundary layer separation, increasing lift and decreasing drag and pressure 329 recovery downstream of VGs. The mVGs are quite efficient in suppressing shock-induced 330 separation in supersonic flow and reducing the reverse flow region. The highest effectiveness has 331 been observed in cases with fixed boundary layer separation by locating the VG closer than 100h 332 distance upstream of baseline separation.

The geometry and arrangement of mVGs are critical parameters. The best performances have generally been reported with $0.2 < h/\delta < 0.5$, but effective flow separation is still possible with $0.1 < h/\delta < 0.2$. The counter-rotating mVGs have demonstrated better efficiency in 2D flow separation tests, whereas co-rotating mVGs have been found more effective in 3D separation tests. From the literature reviewed here, the most effective distance between the upstream mVGs and the baseline separation is in the range of 5h to 30h.

339 2. Passive flow control studies in cavitation

In this section, we focus on passive techniques to control cavitation. Several methods, including geometry modification, injection, drainage, surface conditioning, obstacles, grooves, and VGs, have been proposed to attempt to passively control the boundary layer and cavitation instability effects. Table 2 summarizes the different types of cavitation to understand better the analyses reviewed in this article. The following sections present a review of studies of these different methods and their effects on cavitation. The summary overview of the methods and key results are presented in Table 3, Table 4, and Table 5.

- 347 Table 2 a brief definition of different type of cavitation with schematics and experimental observations (a) Reprinted by permission
- 348 from Springer Nature Customer Service Centre GmbH: Springer Nature, Acta Mechanica Sinica, Global cavitation patterns and
- 349 corresponding hydrodynamics of the hydrofoil with leading edge roughness, Q. Chen, Y. Liu, Q. Wu, Y. Wang, T. Liu, and G. Wang,
- 350 Copyright (2020), (b),(d),(e),(f), (g) Courtesy of GRENOBLE UNIV⁶⁹ and (c) Reprinted from Journal of Fluids and Structures, 39,
- 351 O. De La Torre, X. Escaler, E. Egusquiza, and M. Farhat, Experimental investigation of added mass effects on a hydrofoil under
- 352 cavitation conditions, 173-187., Copyright (2013), with permission from Elsevier.

Cavitation	Definition and characterization	Schematic	Experimental observation 69-71
Regime			
(a) Incipient	Beginning stage of cavitation where	Flow	
Cavitation	pressure reaches a level at or below	→→ #\%** ° °0°	
	saturation pressure and nuclei sites		
	start to grow		
(b) Traveling	Growth and collapse of isolated		
Bubble	bubbles close to the surface		
Cavitation			
(c) Attached	Large-scale cavitation structures that		
or sheet	form as a result of the transition from		
Cavitation	traveling bubble cavitation to one		
	vapour-filled wake		
(d) Partial	An attached cavity which covers only		41111 A D.
Cavity	a part of the foil		
(e) Cloud	A shedding cavity that develops		
Cavitation	when a re-entrant jet emerges from		
	the closure region of the attached		
	cavity and sheds by an unsteady	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	
	partial cavity		

(f) Super	An attached cavity that extends over	
Cavity	the entire suction side of the foil and	
	closes downstream of the foil trailing	
	edge	
(g) Tip Vortex	Due to the rotating motion, the static	
Cavitation	pressure at the centre of vortices	
	drops much lower than that in the	
	freestream, resulting in a swirling	
	cavitation stream	

354

355 2.1. Surface condition and roughness

356 The properties of a solid surface, coatings, and roughness influence boundary layers, affecting heat

357 transfer and momentum transfer through the fluid-surface interface and influencing cavitation. The

boundary layer flow over smooth and rough surfaces is shown in Figure 10.

359 The flow over the leading edge of a smooth surface is laminar, and at some point, it becomes turbulent as a result of a flow instability. A thin layer of laminar flow forms along the length of a 360 361 smooth surface after transiting into a turbulent boundary layer (Figure 10 (a)). Figure 10(b) 362 illustrates how roughness on the surface of a flow can cause flow instability upstream, resulting in increased turbulence disrupting the viscous layer, causing the roughness layer to form, affecting 363 pressure drop and heat transfer ⁷². Therefore, Boundary layer separation and cavitation can be 364 365 controlled by transitioning to turbulent boundary layers earlier and increasing momentum near the 366 surface.





Figure 10 - Boundary layer behavior over (a) smooth surface and generating a viscous sub-layer (b) rough surface where transition
 to turbulent boundary layer flow happens over a shorter distance from the leading edge and with increases in instabilities and
 momentum transfer compared to a smooth surface ⁷².

371 The first studies which considered leading-edge roughness to investigate its effect on boundary layer separation was conducted by Dryden⁷³ and Kerho and Bragg⁷⁴. Authors observed the 372 373 roughness induced boundary layer transition from laminar to turbulent flow has a completely 374 different mechanism than a natural transition in the smooth airfoil and, the roughness moved trigger of transition to, or very close, the trailing edge of the roughness. Stutz⁷⁵ investigated the 375 376 influences of the roughness and divergent geometries located beneath the internal two-phase flow's 377 cavity. The study concluded that the roughness could not significantly affect the void fraction 378 distribution, cavity area, and time-averaged velocity. Other findings included that cavity roughness 379 does not impact skin friction drag.

380 Coutier-Delgosha et al. ⁷⁶ focused on the wall roughness and its effect on the unsteady behavior of

381 the cavity flow. They observed a significant rise in the frequency of oscillations and a decline in

382 the intensity of pressure fluctuations. A significant reduction in the cavity length was also observed. A study by Ausoni et al. 77, 78 examined the effects of tripping the turbulent boundary 383 384 layer on the wakes of blunt trailing edge symmetric hydrofoils in one specific condition. The 385 leading-edge transition was shown to promote a more organized vortex shedding with decreased 386 vortex shedding frequencies. In Figure 9, a top view visualization and measurements of vortex-387 induced vibrations are shown. As well as confirming the tripped transition, the study also revealed 388 a significant increase in vortex-induced hydrofoil vibration and wake velocity fluctuations. The 389 span-wise organization of vortices was strengthened, as was the strength of the vortices. This 390 reduction in span-wise non-uniformities over the boundary layer was linked to the boundary layer 391 turbulent transition at the leading-edge of the hydrofoil. The study also showed how the roughness 392 induced transition led to the generation of small bubble clouds with potentially detrimental erosive 393 properties.



394 Figure 11- Cavitation vortex street and vortex-induced vibration signal on the hydrofoil at $Re=64.4 \times 10^3$ and $\sigma = 0.7$. (a)

395 Natural transition (smooth surface) and (b) tripped transition (with roughness). A direct relationship existed between span-wise

396 vortices and vortex-induced vibration level, and with the rough surface, the span-wise vortices considerably increased in intensity

- 397 and promote a re-establishment of organized vortex shedding 78. Republished with permission of American Society of Mechanical
- 398 Engineers ASME, from the Effects of a Tripped Turbulent Boundary Layer on Vortex Shedding from a Blunt Trailing Edge
- 399 Hydrofoil, P. Ausoni, A. Zobeiri, F. Avellan, and M. Farhat, Journal of Fluids Engineering 134, (2012); permission conveyed
- 400 through Copyright Clearance Center, Inc.
- 401 The application of $15 \,\mu m$ sandpaper roughness on NACA 66 hydrofoil using decreased the
- 402 characteristic lift and momentum coefficients and increased the drag coefficient ⁷⁹. Petkovšek et

al. ⁸⁰ investigated hydrodynamic cavitation behavior from laser-textured surfaces and found major
effects on the characteristics of cavitation with sensitivity to the type of micro-structuring. By
comparison against highly polished cases, the extent of cavitation was reduced with some of the
laser-textures.

Emelyanenko et al. ⁸¹ implemented a super hydrophobic coating on stainless steel operating under cavitation in heavily loaded hydraulic systems. Micro- and nano-textures were developed by a nanosecond Infra-red laser and studied under long-term continuous contact with water. The hydrophobic properties and chemical stability were confirmed. Additional tests under prolonged exposure to abrasive wear and cavitation loads showed significant improvement to the functional durability.

413 Cavitation inception and development was investigated using hydrofoils with smooth and rough (0.4 µm) leading edges by Tao et al. 82. According to their research, cavitation inception was 414 415 enhanced by roughness when incidence angles are below 2°. The roughness element decreases 416 wettability and traps more gas which can enhance surface nucleation and increases the risk of 417 cavitation. In their studies of hydrofoils with high incidence angles $(>3^\circ)$, roughness significantly 418 delayed cavitation incipience while developed cavitation was almost the same between smooth and rough hydrofoils. Based on their argument, this unexpected incipient delay was caused by the 419 420 boundary layer structure changes due to roughness.

421 Churkin et al. ⁸³ also conducted a study to determine how wall roughness impacts the cavitation 422 structure. Under specific conditions, it has been demonstrated that varying the surface roughness 423 type and characteristics can control the formation of cavities. Onishi et al. ⁸⁴ studied the effects of 424 hydrophilic and hydrophobic coatings on cavitation of tidal turbines and also observed that 425 hydrophilic coating could reduce the incipient cavitation number. A lower growth of cavitation

426 was linked to the hydrophilic of textures, especially at small angles of attack. Issues related to the 427 coating lifetime with loss of effectiveness after 210 seconds of exposure to cavitation were reported. Hao et al. 85 also used high speed PIV to analyze the cavitation mechanism after the 428 429 addition of surface roughness over the hydrofoil's entire surface. The results show that the cloud 430 cavitation mechanism changes significantly compared to smooth hydrofoil surfaces. Over a rough 431 hydrofoil, cloud cavitation appears as attached subulate cavities while cavitation over smooth 432 surfaces form finger-structured cavities. The roughen hydrofoil also experienced a longer cloud 433 cavitation period and higher cavitation growth rate.

Chen et al. ⁷⁰ focused on the effects of localized roughness modification concentrating on the hydrofoil leading edge. They observed that both lift and drag coefficients were increased by surface roughening. The lift-to-drag ratio was also slightly increased and the incipient cavitation number could be reduced by generating higher turbulent kinetic energy and lowering the minimum surface pressure at the leading edge. The roughness did not affect however the formation and transition to cloud cavitation. The change in cavitation patterns in this study is shown in Figure 12.





441 Figure 12- Cavitation patterns over a hydrofoil (a) without leading-edge roughness, with $Re=0.8 \times 10^6$, $\sigma = 2.5$ and $\alpha = 8$, and 442 observation of sheet cavitation, (b) with leading-edge roughness, with $Re=0.8 \times 10^6$, $\sigma = 2.5$ and $\alpha = 8$, showing incipient 443 cavitation and (c) with leading-edge roughness at $Re=1.0 \times 10^6$, showing the formation of cloud cavitation. High-pressure 444 gradients initiated the formation of reentrant jets toward the leading edge of the cavity during the initial stage. Thereafter, the 445 cloud cavity characterised by a high vapor fraction, rises away from the surface when the height of the cavity (Δh) is greater than 446 the roughness (Ra). Furthermore, there is enough distance between the leading edge roughness and the re-entrant jets (Δs), and 447 therefore the local pressure distribution on a leading edge is greatly affected by the leading edge roughness ⁷⁰. Reprinted by 448 permission from Springer Nature Customer Service Centre GmbH: Springer Nature, Acta Mechanica Sinica, Global cavitation 449 patterns and corresponding hydrodynamics of the hydrofoil with leading edge roughness, Q. Chen, Y. Liu, Q. Wu, Y. Wang, T. Liu, 450 andG. Wang, Copyright (2020). 451

The efficacy of a range of artificial roughness types on propeller tip vortex cavitation was also investigated by Asnaghi et al. ⁸⁶. Both of their numerical and experimental analysis showed that in the case of optimum roughness, tip vortex cavitation inception decreased around 33%, while drag force increased less than 2 % compared to the smooth hydrofoil. It is found that compared to
the smooth foil, the roughness separation line induces more distribution of vorticity over the tip,which led to the vortex strength reduction.

Svennberg et al. 87 tested two configurations of uniform and non-uniform roughness patterns of 457 458 230 µm height applied over an elliptical foil. The roughness has been shown to result in lower 459 angular momentum one chord length downstream of the tip without notable change to the radius 460 of the vortex core. The study found that the cavitation number for tip vortex cavitation inception can be reduced by 33 % for a 2% increase in drag by optimizing the roughness pattern. No obvious 461 462 differences were noted when comparing the effect of uniform and non-uniform roughness 463 distributions on cavitation inception properties. Non-uniform roughness distributions did, 464 however, have a detrimental effect on drag. Also while the application of surface roughness did 465 not increase the risk of the foil sheet cavity, it was found to impact on the small scale nuclei 466 production. This was explained by the hydrophobic nature of the roughened surfaces, as roughness 467 elements create nano- and micro-sized residual air pockets from which small nuclei are 468 continuously produced as a result of local degassing.

469 The study of cavitation extends beyond inception and a significant research effort has been 470 dedicated to the study of the follow on growth and collapse stages of cavitation. Published studies ⁸⁸⁻⁹⁰ have considered the effect of shock waves ⁹¹⁻⁹⁴, refraction waves ^{91 95-100}, thermal growth ¹⁰¹ 471 95 102-109, fluids properties 107, 110, 111, and in particular liquid compressibility and viscosity 110 112 472 ¹¹³⁻¹¹⁷ and the presence of non-condensable gas ¹¹⁸⁻¹²⁰. Not many studies however have focused on 473 474 the effect of passive flow control on bubble growth and collapse. The most likely reason for this is the clearer role played by surface modification in controlling boundary layer separation than 475 bubble growth and collapse. One notable exception is the work of Kadivar et al.¹²¹ who recently 476 477 used a rigid aluminum plate with shark skin-inspired micro-structured riblets to investigate the

478 effects of regular surface roughness on the bubble dynamics of a single cavitation bubble. A micro-479 structured V-shaped riblet was used to study the dynamics of a single laser-generated cavitation 480 bubble. During the first collapse, microbubbles formed between the bubble and the riblet surface 481 were shown to reduce the momentum of the micro-jet produced by the collapse. The micro 482 structured riblets were then linked to a reduction in extent of cavitation-induced erosion. A recent study by Gonzalez-Avila et al. 122 also proposed a biomimetic gas entrapment by micro-textured 483 484 surfaces (GEMS) derived from the mushroom-shaped features found in hairs and cuticles of sea 485 skaters and springtails. The GEMS, produced by using SiO2/Si substrates and micro-fabrication 486 techniques, were shown to trap air when immersed in water. The entrapped air, in turn, was shown 487 to repel cavitation bubbles and protect against cavitation erosion. The process of formation, growth 488 and collapse of cavitation bubbles is illustrated in Figure 13 with and without surface topographies. 489 Experimental results presented demonstrated the effectiveness of the technique for a wide range 490 of bubble to surface distances.



491

- 492 Figure 13- Gas entrapment by micro-textured surfaces as a means to prevent cavitation damage showing illustrations of (a) the
- 493 cavitation process over a flat surface with a micro-jet generated from a bubble collapsing above the substrate surface which is a
- 494 key factor in cavitation induced erosion, (b) the cavitation process on biomimetic Gas entrapment micro-textured surfaces, showing
- 495 the entrapped gas deflecting the liquid jet's direction upward thereby protecting the surface substrate from the cavitation bubble
- 496 pressure jet, and (c) the expansion of entrapped gas as a result of nearby cavitation bubble pressure field ¹²². M. Nguyen, S.
- 497 Arunachalam, E. M. Domingues, H. Mishra, and C.-D. Ohl, Science Advances 6, eaax6192 (2020); licensed under a Creative
- 498 Commons Attribution (CC BY) license.
- A summary of important studies for cavitation control using surface roughness is presented inTable 3.
- 501 2.2. Blade profile and geometry modification
- 502 Direct optimization of the blade profiles and geometries can also contribute to cavitation 503 mitigation. Some of the earliest studies in this respect relate to efforts dedicated to the development 504 of a series of non-symmetrical hydrofoils specifically designed to reduce the cavitation bucket in 505 practical applications. Cavitation bucket is a diagram which can characterized the cavitation 506 inception by presenting how minimum pressure coefficient (C_{pmin}) vary with angle of attack 507 (Figure 14). Results indicate that a significant delay in cavitation inception could be achieved ¹²³. 508 ¹²⁴.
- 509





Figure 14- The cavitation bucket diagram can predict the cavitation inception based on the cavitation number or minimum pressure
 coefficient and angle of attack in a specific pump. Reducing or moving the cavitation bucket to a lower cavitation number can be



Kyparissis & Margaris^{125, 126} worked on different centrifugal pump blade designs, including 515 516 double-arc synthetic blades and different blade leading edge angles. The investigation considered 517 pump hydraulic performance and cavitation in tandem. The blade leading-edge angles were tested 518 experimentally over a range of 9,15 and 21°. For low and high angle attached cavitation was 519 found to move from the pressure to the suction side respectively while cavitation could be 520 eliminated 15° blade leading edge angle of attack. It is because the testing condition is close to that of the best efficiency point. Increasing the blade leading angle of attack in this study could 521 522 increase the total head and efficiency. Other studies have documented the benefit of increasing the blade leading edge. Shi et al. ¹²⁷ applied a biomimetic tubercle on the design of a tidal turbine 523 524 leading-edge. They observed that the appendages could constrain the extent of the cavitation 525 region but this was achieved at the cost of higher cavitation number and earlier onset of cavitation.

As the shape of the blade tips can have a significant effect on tip leakage, foils with various tip shapes, such as squealer tips ¹²⁸⁻¹³⁰, thickened tips ¹³¹, rounded tips ^{132, 133} and C-grooves ¹³⁴ have been studied. The casing grooves may also serve as an effective solution for suppressing the tipleakage vortex (TLV), according to Kang et al.¹³⁵, Hah, Choi, and Dreyer¹³⁶. It has been confirmed however that the effect of passive control strategies in the control of tip leakage is greatly influenced by gap size ¹³⁷.

The study by Custodio et al. ¹³⁸ focused on the characteristics of cavitation inception with wavy 532 533 leading-edge patterns. The authors found that hydrofoils with medium and large protuberances can 534 confine the cavitation region behind the protuberance troughs. By contrast, standard hydrofoils showed sheet cavitation over the entire span. Zhao and Wang¹³⁹ conducted a numerical simulation 535 536 to determine the effect of the bionic fin-fin structure on cavitation on a hydrofoil surface. Their 537 results showed that these structures are able to increase the lift-to-drag ratio and decrease the 538 turbulent kinetic energy and would be an effective passive control method for cavitation. A novel 539 design for a hydrofoil with twin protuberances to mimic the two prominent tubercles found on the flipper of a humpback whale was proposed and studied by Kant and Bhattacharyya ¹⁴⁰. This design 540 541 was characterized by its ability to limit the separation zone between the chordwise vortices shed 542 from the two humps at high angles of attack (>20 deg). Although the pre-stall lift coefficient 543 achieved by the modified profile was lower, the maximum lift was increased. The two 544 protuberances were found to reduce the extent of stall separation by altering the interaction of the 545 two chordwise vortices over the suction side, resulting in an enhanced lift after stall. At pre-stall 546 and post-stall angles of attack, the amplitude and spacing of the two protuberances had an 547 important impact on the lifting characteristics. It has been determined that such modifications can 548 effectively control flow at high angles of attack and can be tailored for specific marine applications.

549 The leading-edge protuberances of humpback whale flippers were also incorporated in hydrofoil modifications by Li et al.¹⁴¹ to study the impact on cavitation. The wavy leading edge considered 550 551 improved the lift-drag characteristics, and reduced cavitation volume by around 30%. The 552 shedding of cavitation bubbles was also stabilized by reducing the wavelength and increasing the 553 amplitude of the shape modification. Increasing the amplitude significantly reduced the cavitation 554 volume, decreased the amplitude of pressure, and overall enhanced the suppression of cavitation. According to a recent study of a hydrofoil with flipper protuberances on the leading edge ¹⁴², the 555 556 hydrodynamic performance and cavitation characteristics were significantly affected. A flow 557 visualization illustrates how the hydrodynamics and pressure distributions of modified hydrofoils 558 result from periodic and symmetric streamwise vortices that originate from protuberances. The 559 location and scale of cavitation are considerably restricted by the streamwise vortices of modified 560 hydrofoils. The relationship between pressure fluctuations and cavity evolution is also analyzed 561 with a simplified one-dimensional model. Their results showed cavity volume acceleration is 562 attributed to pressure fluctuations, which can be used to control cavitation oscillations in 563 engineering designs.

564 2.3. Grooves

Grooves and riblets are defined as stream-wise channels on the surfaces and have been extensively studied for their drag reduction properties ¹⁴³⁻¹⁴⁵. They have also shown potential benefits for cavitation control. A numerical and experimental study was undertaken by Li et al. ¹⁴⁶ to examine how distributed grooves affected cavitation around the body of revolution. Numerical simulations showed that the grooves accentuated the pressure variations along the tunnel. Grooves also resulted in significant fluctuations of pressure on the surface. According to both experimental and

- 571 numerical results, groove width was shown to affect the amplitude and interval of fluctuation and,
- 572 therefore, the cavitation distribution.
- 573 Following a study on the benefit of surface roughness on unsteady shedding of cloud cavitation,

Danlos, Ravelet, Coutier-Delgosha and Bakir¹⁴⁷ investigated longitudinal grooves and their effect on sheet cavitation. Grooves were found to suppress cloud cavitation instabilities ¹⁴⁸. Liu and Tan¹⁴⁹ studied grooves' effects on suppressing tip vortices which are precursor to cavitation inception. The analysis confirmed the ability of grooves to suppress the leakage vortices near the leading-edge of the hydrofoil subject to careful positioning.

579 To control TLV cavitation, overhanging grooves (OHG) were fitted to hydrofoils by Cheng et al.

580 ¹⁵⁰. A significant improvement in cavitation suppression was observed with the OHG compared to

581 the baseline, conventional grooves and anti-cavitation lip (ACL) with minimal effect on hydrofoil

- performance. Effective reduction in the intensity of TLVs and tip-separation vortices were achieved with small gap sizes. The OHGs were shown to increase the TLV core size when the gap size was in the medium to large range, increasing, in turn, the minimum local pressure. OHGs were also examined for their effect on hydrofoils, indicating that they can effectively suppress the
- 586 fluctuation of TLV cavitation without significantly altering the time-averaged drag or lift.
- 587 2.4. Drainage and Injection

Another important family of passive flow control methods relies on drainage and injection. Kato et al. ¹⁵¹ developed a method based on the water discharge from a slit from the hemispherical shaped leading edge. The momentum injection created a wavy motion in the boundary layer with a wavelength higher than the boundary layer thickness. This transitional flow motion could generate an inflection in the velocity profile and disturb the separation zone. It was shown that

- 593 sheet cavitation on the hydrofoil could be suppressed completely. Arndt et al. ¹⁵² also found that
- the injection of air on the leading edge of a NACA 0015 hydrofoil minimized cavitation erosion.
- 595 The application of bleed and jet reinjection flow control on turbopumps were investigated by
- 596 David Japikse ¹⁵³. The auto-oscillation region on the pump impeller suction surface was
- 597 eliminated, and cavitation happened at a lower cavitation number, while also improving the pump's
- 598 total head and efficiency, and increasing the suction's specific speed.
- 599 Zhu et al. and Bing and Hongxun ^{154, 155} studied gap drainage in centrifugal pump impeller as 600 illustrated in Figure 15 (a) and (b). The approach was shown to act on cavitation while improving 601 the pump hydraulic performance. A new type of cavitation was observed due to a change in the 602 discharge flow due to drainage and the cavitation volume in the impeller channel.



Figure 15- (a) and (b) Schematic of gap drainage impeller in Physical pump and computational region ¹⁵⁵ Republished with
 permission of American Society of Mechanical Engineers ASME, from Analysis of the Staggered and Fixed Cavitation Phenomenon
 Observed in Centrifugal Pumps Employing a Gap, Z. Bing, and C. Hongxun, Drainage Impeller Journal of Fluids Engineering

607 139, (2016); permission conveyed through Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., (c) Modeling of inducer with slit under cavitation

- 608 condition 156. Y. Kamikura, H. Kobayashi, S. Kawasaki, and Y. Iga, IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 609 240, 2019; licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license.
- The effect of water injection on cavitation suppression over NACA0066 hydrofoil was also 610
- investigated by Wang et al. 157. An optimization of the position and angle of the jet were shown to 611 have a significant effect on cavitation suppression. According to this study, this type of water
- 613 injection can increase the boundary layer's velocity gradient and decrease the extent of flow 614 separation. A decrease in the thickness of the recirculation zone and consequently of the re-entrant 615 jets' velocity were also observed.
- Kamikura et al. ¹⁵⁶ implemented an asymmetric slit on the axial inducer's blades to observe 616 617 specifically to study the effect on cavitation, as shown in Figure 14 (c). Results showed that this 618 technique is effective on cavitation instabilities suppression while they were installed in the proper 619 arrangement. It was observed that by viewing the flow field in a circumferential direction around 620 the slit near the blade tip, the wave from the jet divided the cavity, which then decreased the cavity 621 volume. Furthermore, the asymmetric arrangement of the slit in the inducer can disturb the 622 regularity of rotating cavitation because the slit flow rates differ differently in each blade. The 623 summary of important studies in blade profile and geometry modification, drainage and injection, 624 and grooves and slits are presented in Table 4.
- 625 2.5. Obstacles

626 Early investigations of the effect of flow obstacles were precursors to VG studies. Kawanami et al. ¹⁵⁸ studied the structure of cloud cavitation in the wake of obstacles on hydrofoils. As re-entrant 627 628 jets were shown to affect the periodic shedding and generation of cloud cavitation, the obstacle on 629 the foil was able to block the re-entrant jet off, consequently preventing the generation of cloud 630 cavitation. In comparison with hydrofoil without obstacle, the noise intensity and hydrofoil drag

631 were remarkably reduced. After this seminal work, several studies have continued to explore the interaction between obstacles and cavitation instabilities ¹⁵⁹⁻¹⁶². Enomoto et al.¹⁶³ presented a study 632 633 in which obstacle plates were attached upstream of helical inducers in order to suppress cavitation 634 surges observed under partial flow conditions. Installing axi-symmetric and axi-asymmetric 635 obstacle plates of ring type could narrow the range of the onset regions of oscillating cavitation 636 surge. Obstacle plates with a blockage factor of 30% reduced cavitation surge oscillations to a negligible level. The suppression effects became greater with increased blockage factor. In a 637 follow on study of inducer performance and cavitation surge suppression Kim et al.¹⁶⁴ considered 638 two kinds of inducers with blade tips of 8° and 14°. The experimental study considered various 639 640 axial positions of the obstacle to inducer inlet and various blockage ratios against flow passage 641 area. A blockage of about 50% between the flow passage and the obstruction was recommended 642 as the optimal ratio. The most appropriate axial position of the obstacle upstream of the inducer inlet must take account of the inducer blade angle with a smaller blade angle requiring a shorter 643 644 distance. Axis-asymmetrical obstacles were also shown to cause vibrations even under normal operating conditions at high Net Positive Suction Head (NPSH). 645

Huang et al. ¹⁶⁵ used a trip bar on an axisymmetric projectile to weaken the re-entrant jets and 646 647 pressure wave propagating from the collapse of cavities. An investigation of super-cavitating flow was conducted around three different conical cavitators with wedge angles of 30 $^{\circ}$, 45 $^{\circ}$, and 60 $^{\circ}$ 648 by Kadivar et al ¹⁶⁶. The wedge angle of the cavitator was found to be the most effective design 649 650 criteria to increase the cavity length. The results have shown that as cavitation number decreases, 651 drag coefficient decreases, and the drag coefficient of a cavitator increases with increasing wedge 652 angle when inlet velocity is constant. The cavity length was increased both for the lower and higher supercavitation conditions studied numerically. Che et al. ¹⁶⁷ focused on a span-wise obstacle 653

- located on the suction side of the hydrofoil shown in Figure 16. The near-wall pressure increased
- in the wake of obstacles and led to suppression of sheet cavitation. The hydrofoil modification,
- 656 however, had little impact under transitional cavity oscillation most likely because of the
- 657 inherently unstable flow as shown in Figure 17.
- 658 Positioning the obstacle downstream of a flat hydrofoil was investigated by Zhang et al. ¹⁶⁸. While
- 659 no significant change in the average cavity length was observed at equivalent cavitation number,
- 660 the obstacle did affect the dynamics, strength and direction of re-entrant jets.
- 661



- 663 Figure 16 Representation of Span-wise obstacles on NACA0015 hydrofoil at different positions ¹⁶⁷. Reprinted by permission from
- 664 Springer Nature Customer Service Centre GmbH: Springer Nature, Journal of Mechanical Science and Technology, Effect of
- obstacle position on attached cavitation control through response surface methodology, B. Che, L. Cao, N. Chu, D. Likhachev,
 andD. Wu, Copyright (2019).
- 667 Using obstacles for control of baled cavitation in water jet pumps is investigated by Zhao et al. ¹⁶⁹.
- 668 They implemented a pair of tandem obstacles on the suction side of the pump. It is observed that
- 669 there is more resistance against the incipient and the development of leading-edge cavities after
- 670 using obstacles. Although sheer energetic cavitation appears after obstacles with foamy wakes,
- 671 pressure gradients analysis shows that these obstacles were effective in blade cavitation. However, 46

- 672 the hydraulic performance loss, including 6% head drop and 5.6% efficiency drop, was observed
- 673 because of violent pressure fluctuations after using obstacles on the blade.



674 Figure 17 - (a) A typical partial cavity oscillation period on a smooth hydrofoil involves the development of sheet cavitation, the 675 propagation of re-entrant jets, and the shedding and collapse of cloud cavities, (b) A hydrofoil with an obstacle in the same 676 condition. The obstacle inhibits re-entrant jets during partial cavity oscillations, thereby suppressing cloud cavitation. As a result, 677 the cavity fragments, and the cloud cavitation collapses to a non-uniform small-scale cloud ¹⁶⁷. Reprinted by permission from 678 Springer Nature Customer Service Centre GmbH: Springer Nature, Journal of Mechanical Science and Technology, Effect of 679 obstacle position on attached cavitation control through response surface methodology, B. Che, L. Cao, N. Chu, D. Likhachev, 680 andD. Wu, Copyright (2019). A recent study by Lin et al. 170 has analyzed the influence of arc obstacles on the evolution of 681 682 cavitation over flat hydrofoils, Experimental evidence has shown that the shedding of cavitation and the distribution of air over the flat hydrofoils are influenced by the obstacles. The arc obstacles 683

- 684 were shown to stabilize the leading edge of the shedding cavity and restrict its size, which inhibits
- 685 cavitation.

686 2.6. Vortex and bubble generator

The ability of VGs to control boundary layer separation has been exploited on hydrofoils to destabilize attached cavities. The schematic of Figure 18 ¹⁷¹ illustrates how counter-rotating vortices generated upstream of the cavity by the VG delays separation and promotes the formation of a smaller cavity with a growth and shedding behavior similar to the attached cavity generated by laminar boundary layer separation but with some important distinction. Its leading edge is observed to move dynamically, likely due to a thin liquid layer separating the cavity from the wall as conjectured by the authors and the cavity edge shows oscillations indicative of a turbulent flow.



Figure 18-Schematic description of attached cavitation over (a) a smooth hydrofoil; a typical process of attached cavitation formation and (b) a hydrofoil with micro-vortex generators where (1 & II) every standalone micro-vortex generators induces counter-rotating vortices at the end of their trailing edge and develop micro-vortex cavitation, (III) a narrow transition region exists between vortex cavitation and attached cavitation, which is caused by shedding of several small bubbles produced by vortex cavitation, and then in (IV) attached cavitation is developed without glossy and divot structures observed in smooth hydrofoil ¹⁷¹. Reprinted from Control effect of micro vortex generators on leading edge of attached cavitation, B. Che, N. Chu, S. J. Schmidt, L. Cao, D. Likhachev, and D. Wu, Physics of Fluids 31, 044102 (2019) with the permission of AIP Publishing.

The low-pressure core of the stream-wise vortices induces stable vortex cavitation which breaks down into bubble clouds upstream of the attached cavity. Similar observations were made in a study of VGs by An ¹⁷². The application of VGs in control of cavitation in multi-propulsion vessels was studied by Liang-mei¹⁷³. They found a significant improvement in cavitation instability and declining pressure fluctuation.

The application of bubble generators on cavitation control was studied by Javadi et al.¹⁷⁴ through 707 a two-dimensional cavitation calculation. This bubble generator was actually a wedge type VG. 708 709 Their numerical analysis showed that this VG can make a low pressure recirculation region (below 710 saturation pressure) behind the VG. Bubbles then start to generate and grow in this region. By 711 controlling this condition, the bubbly flow becomes stable and will not vanish, or in other words, 712 interfere and stope the cavitation process. They observed that the whole cavitation process, 713 including vaporization, bubble generation, and bubble implosion, could be affected, and lift and 714 drag fluctuations could be reduced.

Vortex generators that have been optimized can also be used for TLV cavitation suppression. The experimental results of Amini et al.¹⁷⁵ have shown that the winglets could effectively increase the radius of the tip vortex, and delay the initial inception of the TLV cavitation process. The ACL, however, is the only proposed method that has actually been applied. Results showed that it is difficult for the ACL to have a satisfactory inhibitory effect on TLV cavitation and once the vortex generators are not operating under design conditions, a more intense level of cavitation will be induced ^{137, 176}.

A recent numerical study by Kadivar et al. ¹⁷⁷ proposed a new type of VG called Cavitating bubble
 Generators (CGs) (Figure 19). The CGs were adopted from wedge-type VGs were used before for

aerodynamics application with the aim of generating cavitating bubbles at the suction side of

725 hydrofoil. They observed that high momentum fluid from free stream flow moved to the 726 hydrofoil's near-wall low energy region. These CGs could generate vortices downstream and move 727 higher kinetic energy flow to the vicinity of the hydrofoil surface. Consequently, quick high-728 pressure pulsations near the hydrofoil surface were reduced, and the resistance against pressure 729 rise before boundary layer separation was increased. They found the vortex structures were 730 significantly modified on the suction side and the hydrofoil wake region. This phenomenon 731 suppresses the cyclic behavior of unsteady cloud cavitation and declining turbulent velocity 732 fluctuation in that area. The experimental investigation of CGs proved an essential role of reentrant jets on cloud cavity shedding structure ¹⁷⁸. Their experiment proved the reduction of 733 734 pressure pulsation's amplitude in instabilities of cavitation dynamic. As a result, they can be used 735 as a useful tool for delaying cloud cavitation formation. A comparison between hydrofoil with and 736 without CGs is presented in Figure 20. In another study, a CG was installed adjacent to the 737 cavitation inception on a semi-circular leading-edge flat plate to control and manipulate unsteady 738 dynamics of cavitation surge. The CG was shown to mitigate large-scale cavities, suppress the spanwise instability of adjacent cavities, and suppress large-scale cavities over the flat plate. 739 740 Passive control was observed to reduce the dominant frequency of pressure pulsations¹⁷⁹.

Xu et al. ¹⁸⁰ used cavitators placed at various locations on a hydrofoil's bottom surface to study the supercavitation flow around it. As their observations showed, a localized high-pressure region appears between the leading edge of the hydrofoil and the cavitator, and downstream of the cavitator, the pressure is equal to the saturated vapour pressure of water. Based on the magnitude and distribution of pressure on the hydrofoil surfaces, the lift coefficient increased as the cavitator was positioned farther away from the leading edge and towards the trailing edge. Alternatively,

there was a strong correlation between drag coefficients and the maximum thickness of cavitatingwakes, which was used as a proxy for the drag coefficient.

Kadivar et al.¹⁸¹ also examined a single span-wise row of cylindrical obstacles named Cylindrical 749 750 Cavitating bubble Generators (CCGs), shown in Figure 21. Similar effects were observed such as 751 a reduction in the adverse pressure gradient at the end of cavity, weakening of re-entrant jets and 752 turning unsteady cavity structure to a quasi-stable cavity structure. As a result, the instability of 753 cloud cavitation was mitigated and the near-wall high-pressure pulsation dampened. One key 754 difference to previously studied CCGs is that only small-scale cavity structures are shed while 755 large-scale cavitation clouds are effectively suppressed. It was also observed that vibration-756 induced cavitation as well as wall-pressure peaks on materials with solid surfaces were significantly reduced ¹⁸¹ ¹⁸². In another study, high-speed visualization, PIV and a hydroacoustic 757 758 pressure transducer were used to analyze experimentally the effects of CCGs on turbulence 759 behavior, the amplitude-frequency spectra of pressure pulsations associated with oscillations in the attached cavity length and cloud cavitation instabilities. This study confirmed that CCGs is 760 761 quite effective at hindering the development of cloud cavitation and at decreasing the strength of 762 middle- and side-entrant jets which are the primary mechanism that cause unstable cloud cavitation 183 763



Figure 19 - Analysis of wedge-type Cavitating bubble Generators located on the suction side of a hydrofoil was found to reduce
high-pressure pulsations, alter boundary layer separations, and alter vortex structures ¹⁷⁷. Reprinted from Applied Mathematical
Modelling, 64, E. Kadivar, O. e. Moctar, and K. Javadi, Investigation of the effect of cavitation passive control on the dynamics of
unsteady cloud cavitation, 333-356., Copyright (2018), with permission from Elsevier.

Che et al.¹⁷¹ considered counter-rotating delta-shaped mVGs built into a quasi-two-dimensional 769 770 NACA0015 hydrofoil (Figure 22). The type and geometry of mVG was based on designs from Lin²⁶ and Godard and Stanislas³⁷ reviews to control boundary layer separation using VGs ¹⁸⁴. They 771 772 designed five counter-rotating delta-shaped mVGs with different h/δ in the range of 0.5 to 2.5. 773 The ΔX_{VG} were set at 2.5 mm from the hydrofoil leading edge based on the position of boundary 774 layer separation at the leading edge obtained from their 2D numerical modeling results. The study 775 demonstrated that the mVG can suppress the laminar separation under non-cavitating conditions. 776 MVGs located within the viscous sub-layer close to the cavitation detachment point failed to 777 suppress the attached cavitation. Results did show however that the transition region and attached 778 cavitation were affected. The authors found that at lower heights relative to the viscous sub-layer, 779 mVGs can generate longer counter-rotating and cavitating vortices within the boundary layer. 780 These mVGs could also fix cavitation inception causing more stable sheet cavitation and cloud 781 cavity shedding. The attached cavitation over the smooth hydrofoil showed a formation of "divot"





Figure 20- Structure of cavitation over a hydrofoil with attack angle of 7° , $\sigma = 1.3$ and $Re = 1.4 \times 10^{6}$. (a) CSmooth hydrofoil: t₁&t₂) Formation and development of sheet cavities and jets, t₃) detachment of large-scale cavities, t₄) shedding of large-scale cavitation clouds, t₅) collapse of cavitation clouds. (b) Hydrofoil with cavitating bubble generators: inception and shedding of small vortex cavitation over hydrofoil and suppressing could cavitation ¹⁷⁸. Reprinted from Control of unsteady partial cavitation and cloud cavitation in marine engineering and hydraulic systems, E. Kadivar, M. V. Timoshevskiy, M. Y. Nichik, O. el Moctar, T. E. Schellin, andK. S. Pervunin, Physics of Fluids **32**, 052108 (2020) with the permission of AIP Publishing.



803 Figure 21 - Hydrofoil with cylindrical cavitating bubble generators located on the suction side where s, h, and d are the diameters,

804 heights, and distances between cylindrical obstacles, respectively. Cylindrical cavitating bubble generators were investigated at

805 locations downstream and upstream of the hydrofoil suction surface. Using the cylindrical cavitating bubble generators, significant

- 806 reductions were seen in cavitation induced vibration, high wall pressure peaks, and cloud cavitation instability ¹⁸¹. Reprinted from
- 807 International Journal of Multiphase Flow, 115, E. Kadivar, O. e. Moctar, and K. Javadi, Stabilization of cloud cavitation
- 808 instabilities using Cylindrical Cavitating-bubble Generators (CCGs), 108-125, Copyright (2019), with permission from Elsevier.



- 810 Figure 22 Schematic of the test hydrofoil with micro-vortex generators. The vortex generators are microscopic delta-shaped
- 811 counter-rotating vortex generators installed at the leading edge, which were shown to effectively manipulate boundary layer and
- 812 cavity dynamics in the test ¹⁷¹. Reprinted from Control effect of micro vortex generators on leading edge of attached cavitation, B.

813 Che, N. Chu, S. J. Schmidt, L. Cao, D. Likhachev, and D. Wu, Physics of Fluids 31, 044102 (2019) with the permission of AIP
814 Publishing.

815 They observed a new structure for cavitation onset while the cavitation onset disappears close to 816 the laminar separation. In the new structure, stable vortex cavitation and subsequent vortex 817 breakdown resulted in bubbly structures, which was finally expressed as an attached cavity region. 818 This vortex break-down was delayed when they reduced the height of mVGs. This delay resulted 819 in a rise in cavitation vortex pattern length. This result showed the potential of mVGs in control of cavity dynamics considering the re-entrant jet penetration depth. The flow visualization of 820 821 attached cavitation during cloud cavitation without and with VGs in this study is presented in 822 Figure 23 and Figure 24.

823 In another study, Che and co-authors analyzed the instability of the attached cavitation produced with mVGs ¹⁸⁶. This study confirmed that these mVGs are an effective passive control for attached 824 825 cavitation dynamics and changed the surface wall's vicinity's flow dynamics. The results also 826 emphasized again that the mVGs could increase the cavity length and induce counter-rotating 827 stream-wise vortices. The mVGs could change the sheet cavity structure to a uniform cavity in a 828 span-wise direction by inducing consistent separate vortex cavitation streaks. The mVGs showed 829 their ability to fix the attached cavitation inception line location, thereby limiting instabilities 830 caused by span-wise disturbances.

In this study, Che et al. ¹⁸⁶ interpret two types of Rayleigh–Taylor (R-T) and K-H instabilities, while cavity shedding and re-entrant jets interactions happened over a smooth hydrofoil and hydrofoil with mVGs. Re-entrant jets are generated by exposing cavity closure to an adverse pressure gradient. After propagating upstream, these re-entrant jets impact the cavity interface, causing the cavity to shed. It is possible to interpret the interaction of re-entrant jets and cavities

- as an R-T. A re-entrant jet and cavity interface at the leading edge interact, generating several
 cavitating vortices that are indicative of the K-H instability. The K-H instability interpretation has
- 838 been explained by different shearing velocities causing cavity shedding.
- 839 Che et al. ¹⁸⁶ presented evidence that reverse flow beneath the attached cavities which were linked 840 to R-T and K-H instabilities were suppressed. The mVGs were shown to influence partial cavity 841 oscillations, transitional cavity oscillations, and transition between these two instabilities. 842 Experimentation was extended to measure cavitation erosion and analyse impulsive loading from 843 cavity collapse as a measure of the intensity and aggressiveness of cavitation structure with mVGs 844 ¹⁸⁷. The study also included an analysis of the dynamic behavior of the re-entrant jet, shown in 845 Figure 25. The effect of the mVGs included suppression under certain condition of periodic 846 shedding, and reduction of the maximum pressure fluctuations and associated acoustic power. The 847 arrangement and geometry were shown to be an important factor in determining leading-edge 848 erosion which was shown to increase at lower angles of attack.



Figure 23 - (a)-(f) Dynamics of cloud cavitation shedding on a smooth hydrofoil. At the leading edge of attached cavitation, typical finger structures are visible. By observing the glossy Figure 24-(a)-(f) Dynamic behavior of vortex cavitation on a hydrofoil with micro-vortex generators, with dashed boxes and dashed lines indicating the position of vortex generators

the leading edge, laminar separation can be detected. A consistent change in finger structures resulted in cloud cavitation shedding and instability. Cavity collapse occurs when the re-entrant jet propagates upstream and reaches the leading edge. 171. Reprinted from Control effect of micro vortex generators on leading edge of attached cavitation, B. Che, N. Chu, S. J. Schmidt, L. Cao, D. Likhachev, and D. Wu, Physics of Fluids 31, 044102 (2019) with the permission of AIP Publishing.

interfaces of the cavity and the Tollmien-Schlichting waves at and the trailing edge of vortex cavitation, respectively. As shown in the picture, classical finger structures and Tollmien-Schlichting waves have been eliminated. The cavitation onset moved toward the leading edge, which happened at the laminar separation line for smooth hydrofoil. The onset cavitation mechanism includes stable vortex cavitation, which breaks down to a bubbly structure and accumulates in an attached cavity region.¹⁷¹. Reprinted from Control effect of micro vortex generators on leading edge of attached cavitation, B. Che, N. Chu, S. J. Schmidt, L. Cao, D. Likhachev, and D. Wu, Physics of Fluids 31, 044102 (2019) with the permission of AIP Publishing.



849

850 Figure 25- Behavior of re-entrant jets ($\alpha = 8\circ$, $U_{\infty} = 10$ m/s, and $\sigma = 1.7$) on (a)-(f) smooth hydrofoil and (a')-(f') Hydrofoil with 851 vortex generators. For hydrofoil with vortex generators, downstream travelling vortices break the regular movements of re-entrant 852 jets and suppress them. The cavity is confined and does not form a cloud, and the consequent collapse is not strong enough ¹⁸⁷. 853 Reprinted from Effects of microvortex generators on cavitation erosion by changing periodic shedding into new structures, N. Qiu,

854 W. Zhou, B. Che, D. Wu, L. Wang, and H. Zhu, Physics of Fluids 32, (2020) with the permission of AIP Publishing. 855 The application of mVGs has started to be investigated in applications other than 2D hydrofoil. Examples include Huang et al. ¹⁸⁸ study. They investigated the effects of VGs on cavitation in 856 857 marine shipping. The VGs studied could lead to more uniform wake and milder propeller 858 cavitation. These VGs could decrease pressure fluctuations and cause a more uniform distribution of energy. Li et al. ¹⁸⁹ designed a delta-shaped VG to solve the vibration problem in the hull 859 860 propeller and improve the ship wake quality and uniformity. The VG design was based on the 861 ship body lines. It improved the wake uniformity in certain positions as it could generate a more 862 moderate circumference transition and effectively increase the velocity in high wake areas. Additionally, the VGs were able to smoothly transition the unsteady cavitation of the blade in 863 864 circumference direction and decrease the amplitude of pressure fluctuations. The distance between the positions of blade cavitation collapses, and ship bottom shell was increased after using VGs. 865

Teplov and Lomakin¹⁹⁰ used computation simulation to examine mVGs located at the front edge on the suction side of impeller blades in a centrifugal pump and analyzed their effect on the cavitation characteristics, efficiency, and pump head. The NPSH was significantly decreased, and the pump efficiency above the Best Efficiency Point was increased.

A study published recently by Chen et al.¹⁹¹ investigated the effects on cavitation of two schematic 870 871 designs of mVGs around a NACA66 hydrofoil. Two different sets of mVG were installed and 872 positioned upstream of (mVG-1) and within (mVG-2) the laminar separation zone of the baseline hydrofoil. The experimental results indicated that the mVG-1 could promote inception of 873 874 cavitation earlier than the baseline hydrofoil, while mVG-2 delayed cavitation inception especially at small angle of attack cases. Two reasons were suggested for the effect of the mVG-1. The mVG-875 876 1 modification was shown to generate fingerlike vortex at its rear which was observed before in previous studies ^{85, 171, 184} and is shown in Figure 23. These vortexes were responsible to induce 877

- 878 fingerlike vortex cavitation. In addition, the mVG-1 increases the length of the laminar separation
- 879 bubble (LSB), resulting in laminar boundary layer separation with a lower pressure minimum.
- 880 Since mVG-2 was located in a high pressure zone from the leading-edge, there are insufficient
- 881 downstream fingerlike vortices to induce cavitation which can reduce LSB length. Smaller LSB
- 882 was able to suppress cavitation at $\alpha = 6^{\circ} 8^{\circ}$. A summary of studies in the field of obstacles and
- 883 VGs in cavitation control studies is presented in Table 5.

Investigator(s) (year)	Type of modification	$U_{\infty}{}^{i}(Re)$	α ⁱⁱ	σ ""	Coating roughness	Cavitation regime	Comments
Coutier- Delgosha et al. ⁷⁶ (2005)	Wall roughness on a Two-dimensional foil (c ^{iv} =150mm,S ^v =80 mm)	6 m/s	0-6°	0.7–1.8	100, 200, 400 μm	Cyclic Cloud Sheet cavitation	1 cavity length 1 oscillation frequency 1 pressure fluctuation intensity
Ausoni et al. ^{77.} 78 (2007&2012)	Blunt trailing edge on NACA 0009 Hydrofoil (c = 100 mm,S = 150 mm)	$(16.1 \\ \times 10^{3} - 96.6 \\ \times 10^{3}) \\ (42 \\ \times 10^{3} - 70 \\ \times 10^{3})$	0°	NA	$125 \ \mu m$ $(\frac{\Delta x}{c} = 4\%)$ $Width = 4\%$	Vortex shedding	↑ organized vortex shedding ↓ vortex shedding frequency ↑ vortex span-wise organization ↑ vibrations induced by vortices ↑ vortex strength and wake velocity fluctuations generates many tiny bubbles which may be erosive in turbomachines
Onishi et al. ⁸⁴ (2017)	Hydrophilic hydrophobicand h ydrophobiccoatings on symmetrical $NACA16 - 021$ $(c = 40 mm, S = 60 mm)$	$\frac{3\frac{m}{s}}{(1.1 \times 10^5)}$, 5 m/s (2.0 × 10 ⁵)	10°, 14°, 20°	0-4.5	3 ~ 4 μm	Tip Vortex Cavitation, Sheet Cavitation and Cloud Cavitation	Incipient cavitation number [Cavitation growing for in hydrophilic coating Losing Functionality after 210 seconds of cavitation condition for both hydrophilic and hydrophobic coatings
Hao et al. ⁸⁵ (2018)	Surface roughness on Clark-Y hydrofoils (c = 70mm)	8m/s (5.6 × 10 ⁵)	8°	0.87, 1.02	6.9 µm	Cyclic cloud	Change in development of cloud cavitation ↑ Intensity of cavitating flow around the rough hydrofoil

885 Table 3- Summary of research for implementing Roughness as a surface methodology technique in cavitation control

Chen et al. ⁷⁰ (2020)	Surface roughness on NACA 66 hydrofoil (c = 100 mm, S) = 149 mm)	6 - 14 m /s (0.6 - 1.4 × 10 ⁶)	-12 - 12 °	1-5.5	$150 \ \mu m.$ $(\frac{\Delta X}{c} = 4\%)$ $Width = 4\%$	Inception Sheet Cloud	↑Lift, drag and lift to drag ratio ↑ Minimum pressure coefficient No effect on cloud cavitation formation
Svennberg et al. ⁸⁷ (2020)	uniform and non- uniform roughness patterns on elliptical foil (c=126.5mm, S=300 mm)	6.8 m/s (8.95 × 10 ⁵)	9°	NA	h=230 μm	Tip vortex cavitation	↓Cavitation number for tip vortex cavitation inception †Drag force †Nano- and micro-sized residual air pockets

- 886 ^a Free-stream stream-wise velocity
- 887 ^b Angle of attack
- 888 ^c Cavitation number
- 889 ^d Hydrofoil chord
- 890 ^e Hydrofoil Span
- 891

892 Table 4- Summary of research for blade profile and geometry modification, Drainage and Injection and grooves and slits as surface methodology techniques in cavitation control

Investigator(s)	Type of modification	$U_{\infty}(Re)$	α	σ	Geometry properties	Cavitation	Comments
Plado profilo a	d acometry modified	tion				regime	
biuue projite ur	ια γεσπείτ γ ποαί μια	111011					
Custodio et al. 138	Protuberances on the	7.2 m/s	-12	σ_in	Protuberances	Sheet	Confining the cavitation to the region
(2018)	humpback	(7.2	- 30°	= 0	amplitude= 0.025, 0.05	cavitation	behind the protuberance with medium
	Sinusoidal pattern	$\times 10^{5}$)		- 9	& 0.12 c		and large protuberance amplitudes
	_	· ·					Improving the sheet cavitation pattern.

	on NACA 634-021 profile (c=200 mm)				Protuberances wavelength: 0.25, 0.5 c		
Zhao and Wang ¹³⁹ (2019)	Bionic fin-fin structure on 2D NACA 0015 (c=100 mm, S=100 mm)	10 m/s (1 ×10 ⁶)	8°	0.8	Rectangular fins, width= $2 %c$ distance of the two symmetric structures = 20% C, the inclination angle is 14 front distance of the symmetrical structure is 50%	Cyclic cavitation	↓Turbulent kinetic energy of the hydrofoil ↑lift-to-drag ratio
Petkovšek et al. (2018) ⁸⁰	Laser-textured surfaces on stainless steel cylinders (diameter =10 mm)	Flow rate: 163 – 231 <i>L</i> /s	NA	1.2- 2.2	Micro-channels width: 100 μm four different angles (0°, 18°, 45°, 72°) distance between channels: 200 & 500 μm Micro-holes: diameter: 40 μm distance between holes: 200 μm	NA	↓Cavitation extent ↓Cavitation incipient number
Kant and Bhattacharyya ¹⁴⁰ (2020)	twin-protuberance NACA 634-021 hydrofoil (c=100 mm, S=200mm)	2 m/s (2 × 10 ⁵)	5-25	NA	twin-protuberance hydrofoil design mimicking the two prominent tubercles present on a humpback whale flipper	NA	limit the separation zone between the chord wise vortices shed from the two humps at high angles of attack (>20°). J Pre-stall lift coefficient J Stall separation, †Lift after stall. effectively control flow at high angles of attack
Li et al. ¹⁴¹ (2021)	Bionic NACA 634- 021 hydrofoil with a wavy leading-edge (c=102 mm, S=204mm)	7.2 m/s (7.2 × 10 ⁵)	18°	NA	Design inspired from pectoral fin of humpback whales, sinusoidal with amplitude = 0.05c & and wavelength = 0.5c	Attached cavitation Cloud Cavitation	†Improves lift-drag characteristics (Cavitation volume by around)Pressure amplitude Enhances cavitation suppression Restrains hydrofoil cavitation
Drainage and Inject Arndt et al. 152	ction Air injection on	20 m/s	8°	0.5-6	5 holes with 5 mm	Sheet	Effectively minimizes cavitation
(1995)	NACA 0015				distance from each other and	cavitation	erosion

	(c=81 mm, half S=95mm)				0.5 mm diameter		
Zhu et all. ¹⁵⁴ (2014) Bin et all. ¹⁵⁵ (2016)	Gap impeller on pump's blades (Cylindrical 2D blades for a LSSCP)	17.3 <i>m/s</i> (45 × 10 ³)	NA	0-1	Pump: 4 gad impellers Rotating speed = 1000 rpm Water head =7 m	Cloud cavitation	↑Pump's hydraulic performance and cavitation resistance Suppressing generating cavitation A new cavitation regime with different attack angles was developed allocated flow discharge and cavitation volume affects this new cavitation structure
Wang et al. ¹⁵⁷ (2017)	Water injection on NACA0066 hydrofoil (c=150 mm)	5.33 m/s (0.8 × 10 ⁶)	6,8°	0.55 - 1.0	Jet hole diameter: 2mm Injection position: 10-90 %c		Water injection angle and jet angle affect cavitation suppression ↑ Boundary layer velocity gradient and enhance anti-reverse pressure gradient ↓ Recirculation zone thickness ↓ Velocity of the re-entrant jet ↓ Intensity of separation flow
Kamikura et al. ¹⁵⁶ (2019)	Asymmetric slits on each blade of Inducer 335	NA	NA	0.01 - 0.3	Slit depth 30 mm Slit width 5mm Inducer speed = 6,000rpm	Vortex Cavitation	↓ Cavity volume Suppressing cavitation instabilities by rearranging the asymmetric slits
Groove and slit							
Li et al. ¹⁴⁶ (2009)	Distributed grooves on MK46 torpedo (c=120mm)	25 - 30 m /s	NA	NA	Groove width: 3-10.5 mm Groove depth: 1.5 mm Number of grooves: 9- 28	Cyclic cloud	Effect on the cavity clouds' position and shape depends on grooves' dimensions ↑ Pressure fluctuation ↑ Pressure drops in certain local regions which may increase the possibility of enhance cavitation inception ↓the stability of the cavities because of pressure fluctuation
Danlos et al. ^{147,} ¹⁴⁸ (2014)	Longitudinal grooved surfaces on a Venturi	~8 <i>m/s</i> (5.2, 5.5 × 10 ⁵)	NA	1-1.8	d= 1,2 mm h= 0.25,2 mm N= 40-124	Sheet cavitation Cloud cavitation	↓ Shedding of unsteady partial cavitation ↓ Surface erosion Suppressing the cloud cavitation shedding

							Grooves geometries affects cavitation regime One of the determining factors is depth of grooves Large depth of grooves can modify the sheet cavity structure No change in sheet cavity length with groove's depth smaller than viscous sublayer thickness
Cheng et al. ¹⁵⁰ (2020)	overhanging grooves attached to the f d NACA0009 hydrofoil tip (c=100mm)	10 m/s	10 °	2	attaching several tabs, connected with each other by a slender beam with gap of 2,7&20 mm	Tip-leakage vortex	More suppression for small gaps OHGs with small gap sizes can weaken the strength of both TLV and tip-separation vortex fin the TLV core size flocal minimum pressure limiting influence on the performances of hydrofoil in a large range of the gap sizes

894 Table 5- Summary of research for obstacles and vortex generators studies in cavitation control

Investigator(s)	Type of modification	$U_{\infty}(Re)$	α (°)	σ	h [mm] (h/δ)	$\begin{array}{l} \Delta X_{VG}/c \\ (\Delta z/c) \end{array}$	Cavitation regime	Comments
Obstacles								
Kawanami et al. ¹⁵⁸ (1997)	An obstacle on an Elliptic Nose Foil (c=150 mm & S=150 mm)	Propeller tunnel 5.0 m/s (7.2 $\times 10^5$) For TE tunnel 7.5 m/s (8.6 $\times 10^5$)	6	Propeller tunnel: 1.07 TE tunnel:1.7 2	2 (width 2mm)	37% c 60% c	Cyclic Cloud	Holding back the re- entrant jets ↓ Cloud cavitation ↓Noise Intensity ↓Cavitation drag coefficient

Pham et al. ¹⁵⁹ (1999)	Obstacle on the flat (c = 150 mm, S) = 80mm)	8 m/s (1.2 × 10 ⁶)	3, 3.25, 3.5	0.94	2 (width 4mm)	23.3% c	Cyclic Cloud	Cloud cavitation control Holding back re-entrant jets
Sato et al. ¹⁶⁰ (2002)	Obstacle on the flat hydrofoil (c= 70 mm, S=70mm)	3.59 m/s	3.8	0.8, 1.0	3 (width 3mm)	33% c	Cyclic Cloud	No change in frequency or magnitude of oscillation
Zhao et al. ¹⁶¹ (2010)	Obstacle on the NACA0015 hydrofoil (c=100 mm)	(1 × 10 ⁶)	8	1.2, 1.5	1,2 (width 2mm)	32, 37 , 45% c	Cyclic Cloud	↓ Lift and drag force ↑ lift to drag ratio ↓ Cloud cavitation Restraining re-entrant jets
Ganesh et al. ¹⁶² (2015)	Venturi wedge (c = 241.3 mm ,S = 76 mm)	8 m/s	22.1	1.81 - 1.94	4 (Width 4 mm)	26.1% c	Cyclic Cloud	↓ Void fraction in the cavity ↑ Cavity length
Zhang et al. ¹⁶⁸ (2018)	Obstacle on the flat hydrofoil (c = 150 mm, S = 200mm)	10 m/s	0	0.68 - 0.76	2 (width 2mm)	37%c	Shedding cavitation Cloud cavitation	Constant average cavity length Changing the transient re-entrant jets in terms of strength and direction
Che et al. ¹⁶⁷ (2019)	Span-wise obstacle on the 2D NACA0015 hydrofoil (c = 100 mm,	6 m/s	6.5 - 8	0.8 - 1.7	2 (Width 2mm)	25, 30.7, 39, 47.3, 53 %c	Sheet cavitation Sheer cavitation	↓Sheet cavitation ↑ Pressure in the near- wall region ↓ Energy flux, cavity length, and acoustic intensity

	S = 200)							Cloud cavitation control Cannot suppress cavitation under transitional cavity oscillation
Lin et al. ¹⁷⁰ (2021)	different-sized arc obstacles on a f flat hydrofoil (c=100mm)	14 m/s	5	1	convexity of the arc = radius/5= 1- 2.4 mm	NA	Cloud Cavitation	↓Shedding cavity size ↑Shedding frequency as arc radius increase Stabilize the frequency of shedding cavity on the leading edge Transforming the large- scale shedding to the small-scale shedding at the trailing edge as arc radius increase
Vortex Generator	rs			I.			I.	1
Javadi et al. ¹⁷⁴ (2017)	Artificial cavitation bubble generator on hydrofoil CAV2003 (c=100mm)	6 m/s	7°	0.4 - 4	0.367 mm	NA	Periodic cloud shedding	Lift and drag fluctuations Producing low-pressure recirculating area Inducing stationary cavitation bubbles Controlling parameters: the location, shape, and size of VGs are the crucial
Kadivar et al. ¹⁷⁷ (2018)	Wedge-type cavitating bubble generators on CAV2003 benchmark	6 <i>m/s</i> (6 × 10 ⁵)	7°	0.8	0.25 - 0.3 mm (width 0.75 - 1.1% c)	0.6 – 21.3 %c	Cyclic Cloud	↑ Kinematic energy in the near-wall surface withstanding a pressure rise before the separation

	hydrofoil (c = 100mm)							↓Quick surface high- pressure pulsations ↓Cyclic behavior of unsteady cloud cavitation ↓ Turbulent velocity fluctuation transferring high momentum fluid into the vicinity of the wall surface Changing vortex structures and the hydrofoil wake region
Kadivar et al. ¹⁸¹ (2019)	Cylindrical cavitati bubble generators on CAV2003 benchmark hydrofo (c = 100mm)	6 m/s (6 × 10 ⁵)	7°	0.8	0.25 - 0.3 mm ($D = 1.1 - 4\%c$)	6-66% <i>c</i> (1% <i>c</i>)	Cyclic cloud	↓Adverse pressure gradient at the closure region of cavity ↓ Re-entry jet strength ↓Cavitation-induced vibration ↓Near surface high pressure picks Mitigation of cloud cavitation instabilities
Kadivar et al. ¹⁸² (2019)	Cylindrical cavitating bubble generators on CAV2003 benchmark hydrofoil (c= 100mm)	(1.4 - 1.5 × 10 ⁶)	NA	NA	1 mm (D = 1 mm)	36% (4%)	Cyclic cloud	↓ large-scale cavitation clouds ↓ pressure pulsations at the wake region Shedding happened only in small-scale cavity

Che et al. ¹⁷¹ , ¹⁸⁴ , ¹⁸⁶ (2017-2019)	Delta-shaped counter-rotating VGs on NACA0015 hydrofoil (c = 100, S = 200 mm)	7 <i>m/s</i> (0.6 × 10 ⁶)	6.5 - 8°	0.8 – 1.7	0.05 - 0.25 mm (0.5 - 2.5) ($l = 0.4 mm$, $\beta = 18^{\circ}$)	2.5%	Sheet Cavitation	 ↑ Momentum trans- fer toward the surface ↑ Cavitation length ↓ Dominant frequency of cavitation (TCO and PCO condition) ↑ Vortex cavitation length by decreasing height of mVGs ↓ Flow disturbance in the span-wise direction Suppression of boundary layer separation Induce inception of vortex cavitation Cavity moving toward leading-edge Vanishing classical fingering structures & Tollmien–Schlichting waves Creating a uniform sheet cavity in the span- wise direction Suppressing R-T and K- H instabilities
Kadivar et al. ¹⁷⁸ (2020)	Wedge-type cavitating bubble generators on	(1.1 × 10 ⁶ - 1.6 × 10 ⁶)	5,7, 11°	0.66 - 1.3	NA	NA	Cyclic Cloud	↓ Amplitude of pressure pulsations Hampering a re-entrant jet

	CAV2003 benchmark hydrofoil (c = 100mm)							Hindering cloud cavities
Qiu et al. ¹⁸⁷ (2020)	Delta-shaped counter-rotating VGs on NACA0015 hydrofoil (c = 100, S = 200 mm)	10 m/s (1.37 × 10 ⁶)	6.5, 8	1.35&1.7	0.25 mm (2.5) $(l = 0.4, \beta = 18^{\circ})$	2.5%	Attached cavitation	New cavitation structure including vortex cavitation- transition region- attached cavitation Not possible to delay or suppress the attached cavitation in these conditions More stable sheet cavitation More shedding in cloud cavity
Huang et al. ¹⁸⁸ (2020)	VGs on Ship propeller	14.37m/s	0-45	0.2916	20 <i>mm</i>	NA	Sheet Cavitation	↓Pressure fluctuation ↓Cavitation instability Inducing more uniform wake
Xu et al. ¹⁸⁰ (2020)	A cavitator on the lower side of the NACA0012 foil (c=38.1 mm, S=152.4)	NA	1-12	0.1,0.2,.0	5mm	3.125, 6.25, 12.5, 25%c	Supercavit ation	Changing the cavitation shape and affect the pressure distribution around the hydrofoil limitation to the effectiveness of the cavitator used for enhancing lift coefficients, since the cavity cannot grow continuously at the

								cavitator to enclose the hydrofoil in the flow.
Chen et al. ¹⁹¹ (2021)	Delta-shaped counter-rotating VGs on Aeronautics 66 hydrofoil at two different position (c=100mm, S=150mm)	1 m/s (1 × 10 ⁶)	4-12	0.1-5	0.1 mm	0.1%c &0.45% c	Cavitation Inception	Vortex generators located upstream of the laminar separation point promote the earlier inception cavitation and induces the fingerlike vortex cavitation earlier Vortex generators located in the laminar separation zone delays the inception
896 3. Conclusion

897 This study reviewed different passive flow control techniques with a focus on control cavitation 898 application. The review of passive flow control devices in aerodynamic application showed the 899 potential of passive flow control methods in boundary layer separation, generating stream-wise 900 vortices in the boundary layer, transferring momentum near the wall, delaying and suppression of 901 boundary layer separation, and pressure recovery downstream of vortex generators. The vortex 902 generators showed a better potential for controlling boundary layer separation than other passive 903 flow control methods. Among different types of vortex generators, counter-rotating and co-rotating 904 with 0.2<h/d><0.5 and the distance of 5 to 30h from the upstream of baseline separation showed 905 better effectiveness in controlling and suppressing boundary layer separation.

906 The review of passive flow control techniques in the hydraulic system shows the effectiveness of 907 this method's different cavitation control types. Different studies in this field have proven the 908 ability of passive flow control methods in suppressing and delaying boundary layer separation and 909 reduction in cavity length and cavitation growth. Many studies observed the generation of stream-910 wise vortices and reduction in boundary layer span-wise non-uniformities. Besides, transferring 911 high momentum fluid from free stream flow moved to the near-wall low energy region and moving 912 higher kinetic energy flow to the surface's vicinity was another observation in these studies. 913 Declining pressure gradient and intensity of pressure fluctuation at separation point and increasing 914 resistance against pressure rise before boundary layer separation is another result of using passive flow control methods. As re-entrant jets play an important role in cavitation, the effect of passive 915 916 flow control was weakening the re-entrant jets, their penetration depth, and suppressing the 917 propagation of the pressure wave of collapse. They are also effective in declining the recirculation

2018 zone thickness and consequently the velocity of re-entrant jets. In some experiments, passive flow
2019 control methods could delay cavitation inception, while there were some results with earlier
2020 cavitation onset.

However, there is no study comparing different types of passive flow control in the same condition in controlling cavitation. In addition to all the effects mentioned above, Vortex generators can eliminate classical "fingering structures" and Tollmien–Schlichting waves and affect partial cavity oscillation, transitional cavity oscillation, and the transition between these two instabilities. They are also effective in declining turbulent velocity fluctuation and decreasing cavitation erosion.

Few studies focused on the Vortex generators in micro-scale ^{171, 174, 177, 181, 182, 184, 186}. The most 926 927 recent research in the field of Vortex generators and its effect on the cavitation instabilities was 928 based on the vane-type counter-rotating vortex generator with a minimum height of 0.05mm (0.074 in manufacturing) with $h/\delta = 0.5^{171, 184, 186}$. According to single-phase flow studies of Vortex 929 930 generators the most optimum h/ δ range for Vortex generators is 0.2 <h/ δ < 0.5. Che et al. ¹⁷¹ stated 931 that because of manufacturing limits they could not manufacture vortex generators with h/δ less 932 than 0.74, and 3D printing could be a solution for manufacturing vortex generators of lower height 933 and thinner thickness and might be relatively easy to be installed in fluid machinery.

According to this review, the potential and effectiveness of passive flow control, and specifically Vortex generators, have been proven. However, there is great potential to optimize designs in terms of geometry, arrangement, and distance to the boundary layer separation. Since the major research in optimizing the design of vortex generators was based on the compressible single phase flow experiments and according to the different nature of compressible and multiphase flows in cavitation phenomenon, the analysis of optimized geometry criteria such as h/ δ and l/h and, ΔX_{VG} /h in hydraulic systems is necessary. Areas for additional investigation include manufacturing 73

- 941 processes including their life-time and durability. Additionally, the specific application area of
- 942 hydraulic systems, and particular centrifugal pumps, requires greater investigation due to the
- 943 economic and sustainability gains which might be realized from further optimization of these
- 944 technologies.

945 Acknowledgements

- 946 This work is funded from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme
- 947 under grant agreement No. 862100 (NewSkin).

948 References

- 949 1. J.-P. Franc, and J.-M. Michel, Fundamentals of Cavitation (Springer Netherlands, 2005).
- 2. Q. Wu, B. Huang, G. Wang, S. Cao, and M. Zhu, "Numerical modelling of unsteady cavitation
 and induced noise around a marine propeller," Ocean Engineering 160, 143 (2018).

952 3. D. Valentín, A. Presas, M. Egusquiza, C. Valero, andE. Egusquiza, "Transmission of High
953 Frequency Vibrations in Rotating Systems. Application to Cavitation Detection in Hydraulic
954 Turbines," Applied Sciences 8, 451 (2018).

- 4. S. Mouvanal, D. Chatterjee, S. Bakshi, A. Burkhardt, andV. Mohr, "Numerical prediction of
 potential cavitation erosion in fuel injectors," International Journal of Multiphase Flow 104, 113
 (2018).
- 958 5. Y. Hao, and L. Tan, "Symmetrical and unsymmetrical tip clearances on cavitation performance
- and radial force of a mixed flow pump as turbine at pump mode," Renewable Energy 127, 368(2018).
- 961 6. O. Reynolds, "The causes of the racing of the engines of screw steamers investigated962 theoretically and by experiment," Trans. Inst. Naval Arch 14:56-57, (1873).
- 963 7. R. E. A. Arndt, "Cavitation in Fluid Machinery and Hydraulic Structures," Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech.
 964 13, 273 (1981).
- 965 8. A. J. Acosta, "Hydrofoils and Hydrofoil Craft," Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech. 5, 161 (1973).
- 966 9. C. E. Brennen, *Cavitating Flows* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013).
- 967 10. S. L. Ceccio, andC. E. Brennen, "Observations of the dynamics and acoustics of travelling
 968 bubble cavitation," Journal of Fluid Mechanics 233, 633 (1991).
- 969 11. R. W. Kermeen, Water tunnel tests of NACA 4412 and Walchner profile 7 hydrofoils in 970 noncavitating and cavitating flows (1956).

- 971 12. H. Ganesh, S. A. Mäkiharju, andS. L. Ceccio, "Bubbly shock propagation as a mechanism for 972 sheet-to-cloud transition of partial cavities," Journal of Fluid Mechanics 802, 37 (2016).
- 973 13. M. van Rijsbergen, A review of sheet cavitation inception mechanisms (Honolulu, United 974 States, 2016).
- 975 14. E. Amromin, Approximate analysis of hydrofoil material impact on cavitation inception 976 (Honolulu, United States, 2016).
- 977 15. M. Farhat, F. a. Gennoun, and F. o. Avellan, The Leading Edge Cavitation Dynamics (2002).
- 978 16. E. Ezzatneshan, "Study of surface wettability effect on cavitation inception by implementation 979 of the lattice Boltzmann method," Physics of Fluids 29, 113304 (2017).
- 980 17. T. F. Groß, and P. F. Pelz, "Diffusion-driven nucleation from surface nuclei in hydrodynamic 981 cavitation," Journal of Fluid Mechanics 830, 138 (2017).
- 982 18. V. H. Arakeri, "Viscous effects on the position of cavitation separation from smooth bodies," 983 Journal of Fluid Mechanics 68, 779 (1975).
- 984 19. A. T. Leger, andS. L. Ceccio, "Examination of the flow near the leading edge of attached
- 985 cavitation. Part 1. Detachment of two-dimensional and axisymmetric cavities," Journal of Fluid 986 Mechanics 376, 61 (1998).
- 987 20. V. H. Arakeri, and A. J. Acosta, "Viscous Effects in the Inception of Cavitation on Axisymmetric 988 Bodies," Journal of Fluids Engineering 95, 519 (1973).
- 989 21. J. P. Franc, and J. M. Michel, "Attached cavitation and the boundary layer: experimental 990 investigation and numerical treatment," Journal of Fluid Mechanics 154, 63 (1985).
- 22. S. Scott Collis, R. D. Joslin, A. Seifert, and V. Theofilis, "Issues in active flow control: theory, 991 992 control, simulation, and experiment," Progress in Aerospace Sciences 40, 237 (2004).
- 993 23. M. Gad-el-Hak, Flow Control: Passive, Active, and Reactive Flow Management (Cambridge 994 University Press, Cambridge, 2000).
- 995 24. T. Moghaddam, and N. B. Neishabouri, "On the Active and Passive Flow Separation Control
- 996 Techniques over Airfoils," IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering 248, 012009 997 (2017).
- 998 25. M. Genç, K. Koca, H. Demir, and H. Açıkel, Traditional and New Types of Passive Flow Control 999 Techniques to Pave the Way for High Maneuverability and Low Structural Weight for UAVs and 1000 MAVs (2020).
- 1001 26. J. C. Lin, "Review of research on low-profile vortex generators to control boundary-layer 1002 separation," Progress in Aerospace Sciences 38, 389 (2002).
- 1003 27. L. Howarth, and G. I. Taylor, "Concerning the effect of compressibility on lam inar boundary
- 1004 layers and their separation," Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A. Mathematical 1005
- and Physical Sciences 194, 16 (1948).
- 1006 28. K. Stewartson, "Correlated Incompressible and Compressible Boundary Layers," Proceedings 1007 of the Royal Society of London. Series A, Mathematical and Physical Sciences 200, 84 (1949).

- 1008 29. O. Lögdberg, "Vortex generators and turbulent boundary layer separation control," Licentiate1009 thesis, monograph KTH, 2006.
- 1010 30. B. Munson, D. Young, and T. Okiishi, "Fundamentals of Fluid Mechanics," Fundamentals of 1011 fluid mechanics (2002).
- 1012 31. NASA, *Drag of a Sphere* (NASA, Glenn research center).
- 1013 32. M. S. Genç, Ü. Kaynak, and G. D. Lock, "Flow over an aerofoil without and with a leading-edge
- slat at a transitional Reynolds number," Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers,
 Part G: Journal of Aerospace Engineering 223, 217 (2009).
- 1016 33. L. Traub, and M. Kaula, "Effect of Leading-Edge Slats at Low Reynolds Numbers," Aerospace 3,
 1017 39 (2016).
- 1018 34. G. Pechlivanoglou, "Passive and active flow control solutions for wind turbine blades,"
 1019 Doctoral Thesis Technische Universität Berlin, 2013.
- 1020 35. Z. Wang, and M. Zhuang, "Leading-edge serrations for performance improvement on a 1021 vertical-axis wind turbine at low tip-speed-ratios," Applied Energy **208**, 1184 (2017).
- 1022 36. S. Beyhaghi, and R. S. Amano, "A parametric study on leading-edge slots used on wind turbine
- 1023 airfoils at various angles of attack," Journal of Wind Engineering and Industrial Aerodynamics 1024 **175**, 43 (2018).
- 1025 37. G. Godard, and M. Stanislas, "Control of a decelerating boundary layer. Part 1: Optimization 1026 of passive vortex generators," Aerospace Science and Technology **10**, 181 (2006).
- 1027 38. A. S. Shehata, Q. Xiao, K. M. Saqr, A. Naguib, andD. Alexander, "Passive flow control for
 aerodynamic performance enhancement of airfoil with its application in Wells turbine Under
 oscillating flow condition," Ocean Engineering 136, 31 (2017).
- 1030 39. W. W. R. T. a. D. Huebsch, "Numerical investigation on the interaction between surface 1031 roughness and viscous flows " Iowa State University, 2000.
- 1032 40. M. Serdar GenÇ, K. Koca, andH. H. AÇlkel, "Investigation of pre-stall flow control on wind 1033 turbine blade airfoil using roughness element," Energy **176**, 320 (2019).
- 41. K. Koca, M. S. Genç, H. H. Açıkel, M. Çağdaş, andT. M. Bodur, "Identification of flow
 phenomena over NACA 4412 wind turbine airfoil at low Reynolds numbers and role of laminar
 separation bubble on flow evolution," Energy 144, 750 (2018).
- 42. M. S. Genç, K. Koca, H. H. Açıkel, G. Özkan, M. S. Kırış, andR. Yıldız, "Flow characteristics over
 NACA4412 airfoil at low Reynolds number," EPJ Web of Conferences 114, (2016).
- 1039 43. M. Gad-el-Hak, "Modern Developments in Flow Control," Applied Mechanics Reviews 49, 3651040 (1996).
- 1041 44. A. M. Kuethe, "Effect of streamwise vortices on wake properties associated with sound 1042 generation," Journal of Aircraft **9**, 715 (1972).
- 1043 45. D. Rao, and T. Kariya, Boundary-layer submerged vortex generators for separation control An
- 1044 *exploratory study* (American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, 1988).

- 1045 46. J. C. Lin, F. G. Howard, and G. V. Selby, "Small submerged vortex generators for turbulent flow 1046 separation control," Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets **27**, 503 (1990).
- 1047 47. J. Lin, F. Howard, and G. Selby, *Exploratory study of vortex-generating devices for turbulent* 1048 *flow separation control* (American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, 1991).
- 1049 48. M. Kerho, S. Hutcherson, R. F. Blackwelder, and R. H. Liebeck, "Vortex generators used to 1050 control laminar separation bubbles," Journal of Aircraft **30**, 315 (1993).
- 49. J. Lin, F. Howard, D. Bushnell, and G. Selby, Investigation of several passive and active methods
- 1052 for turbulent flow separation control (American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, 1990).
- 1053 50. J. Lin, *Control of turbulent boundary-layer separation using micro-vortex generators* 1054 (American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, 1999).
- 1055 51. S. Gorton, L. Jenkins, and S. Anders, *Flow control device evaluation for an internal flow with* 1056 *an adverse pressure gradient* (American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, 2002).
- 1057 52. J. C. Lin, S. K. Robinson, R. J. McGhee, andW. O. Valarezo, "Separation control on high-lift 1058 airfoils via micro-vortex generators," Journal of Aircraft **31**, 1317 (1994).
- 1059 53. T. Tai, *Effect of micro-vortex generators on V-22 aircraft forward-flight aerodynamics* 1060 (American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, 2002).
- 1061 54. P. Ashill, J. Fulker, andK. Hackett, *Studies of flows induced by Sub Boundary Layer Vortex* 1062 *Generators (SBVGs)* (American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, 2002).
- 1063 55. P. Ashill, J. Fulker, andK. Hackett, *Research at DERA on sub boundary layer vortex generators* 1064 (*SBVGs*) (American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, 2001).
- 1065 56. J. W. Hamstra, D. N. Miller, P. P. Truax, B. A. Anderson, and B. J. Wendt, "Active inlet flow 1066 control technology demonstration," The Aeronautical Journal (1968) **104**, 473 (2000).
- 1067 57. C. Yao, J. Lin, and B. Allen, *Flowfield Measurement of Device-Induced Embedded Streamwise* 1068 *Vortex on a Flat Plate* (American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, 2002).
- 1069 58. B. Allan, C.-S. Yao, and J. Lin, *Numerical Simulations of Vortex Generator Vanes and Jets on a* 1070 *Flat Plate* (American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, 2002).
- 1071 59. H. Holden, and H. Babinsky, *Vortex Generators near Shock/ Boundary Layer Interactions* 1072 (American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, 2004).
- 1073 60. H. Babinsky, Y. Li, andC. W. P. Ford, "Microramp Control of Supersonic Oblique Shock-1074 Wave/Boundary-Layer Interactions," AIAA Journal **47**, 668 (2009).
- 1075 61. S. Ghosh, J.-I. Choi, and J. R. Edwards, "Numerical Simulations of Effects of Micro Vortex 1076 Generators Using Immersed-Boundary Methods," AIAA Journal **48**, 92 (2010).
- 1077 62. X. Dong, Y. Chen, G. Dong, andY. Liu, "Study on wake structure characteristics of a slotted 1078 micro-ramp with large-eddy simulation," Fluid Dynamics Research **49**, 035507 (2017).
- 1079 63. Z. Sun, F. Schrijer, F. Scarano, and B. Oudheusden, "Decay of the supersonic turbulent wakes 1080 from micro-ramps," Physics of Fluids **26**, 025115 (2014).

- 1081 64. Q. Li, andC. Liu, *LES for Supersonic Ramp Control Flow Using MVG at M=2.5 and Re?=1440* 1082 (American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, 2010).
- 1083 65. Z. Sun, F. F. J. Schrijer, F. Scarano, and B. W. van Oudheusden, "The three-dimensional flow
- 1084 organization past a micro-ramp in a supersonic boundary layer," Physics of Fluids 24, 0551051085 (2012).
- 1086 66. DongSun, JianqiangChen, ChenLi, PengxinLiu, QilongGuo, andXianxuYuan, "On the wake
 1087 structure of a micro-ramp vortex generator in hypersonic flow," Physics of Fluids **32**, 126111
 1088 (2020).
- 1089 67. D. Sun, Q. Guo, C. Li, and P. Liu, "Direct numerical simulation of effects of a micro-ramp on a 1090 hypersonic shock wave/boundary layer interaction," Physics of Fluids **31**, 126101 (2019).
- 1091 68. M. Z. Akhter, and F. K. Omar, "Review of Flow-Control Devices for Wind-Turbine Performance1092 Enhancement," Energies 14, (2021).
- 1093 69. J. Franc, Physics and Control of Cavitation Jean-Pierre FRANC University of Grenoble LEGI@
 1094 BP 53 38041 Grenoble Cedex 9 FRANCE (2008).
- 1095 70. Q. Chen, Y. Liu, Q. Wu, Y. Wang, T. Liu, andG. Wang, "Global cavitation patterns and
 1096 corresponding hydrodynamics of the hydrofoil with leading edge roughness," Acta Mechanica
 1097 Sinica 36, 1202 (2020).
- 1098 71. O. De La Torre, X. Escaler, E. Egusquiza, andM. Farhat, "Experimental investigation of added
 1099 mass effects on a hydrofoil under cavitation conditions," Journal of Fluids and Structures **39**, 173
 1100 (2013).
- 1101 72. M. Kadivar, D. Tormey, andG. McGranaghan, "A review on turbulent flow over rough surfaces:
 1102 Fundamentals and theories," International Journal of Thermofluids 10, 100077 (2021).
- 1103 73. H. L. Dryden, "Review of Published Data on the Effect of Roughness on Transition from 1104 Laminar to Turbulent Flow," Journal of the Aeronautical Sciences **20**, 477 (1953).
- 1105 74. M. F. Kerho, and M. B. Bragg, "Airfoil Boundary-Layer Development and Transition with Large1106 Leading-Edge Roughness," AIAA Journal **35**, 75 (1997).
- 1107 75. B. Stutz, "Influence of Roughness on the Two-Phase Flow Structure of Sheet Cavitation,"1108 Journal of Fluids Engineering 125, 652 (2003).
- 1109 76. O. Coutier-Delgosha, J.-F. Devillers, M. Leriche, andT. Pichon, "Effect of Wall Roughness on
 1110 the Dynamics of Unsteady Cavitation," Journal of Fluids Engineering **127**, 726 (2005).
- 1111 77. P. Ausoni, M. Farhat, and F. Avellan, "Hydrofoil Roughness Effects on von Kármán Vortex 1112 Shedding," (2007).
- 1113 78. P. Ausoni, A. Zobeiri, F. Avellan, and M. Farhat, "The Effects of a Tripped Turbulent Boundary
- 1114 Layer on Vortex Shedding from a Blunt Trailing Edge Hydrofoil," Journal of Fluids Engineering 134,
- 1115 (2012).

- 1116 79. P. L. Delafin, F. Deniset, and J. A. Astolfi, "Effect of the laminar separation bubble induced
- 1117 transition on the hydrodynamic performance of a hydrofoil," European Journal of Mechanics -
- 1118 B/Fluids **46**, 190 (2014).
- 1119 80. M. Petkovšek, Ho, ccaron, M. evar, Gregor, ccaron, ccaron, and P., *Cavitation Dynamics on* 1120 *Laser-Textured Surfaces* (ASME Press, 2018).
- 1121 81. A. M. Emelyanenko, F. M. Shagieva, A. G. Domantovsky, andL. B. Boinovich, "Nanosecond
- 1122 laser micro- and nanotexturing for the design of a superhydrophobic coating robust against long-
- 1123 term contact with water, cavitation, and abrasion," Applied Surface Science **332**, 513 (2015).
- 1124 82. R. Tao, R. Xiao, and M. Farhat, "Effect of leading edge roughness on cavitation inception and
- 1125 development on thin hydrofoil," Paiguan Jixie Gongcheng Xuebao/Journal of Drainage and 1126 Irrigation Machinery Engineering **35**, 921 (2017).
- 1127 83. S. A. Churkin, K. S. Pervunin, A. Y. Kravtsova, D. M. Markovich, and K. Hanjalić, "Cavitation on
- 1128 NACA0015 hydrofoils with different wall roughness: high-speed visualization of the surface 1129 texture effects," Journal of Visualization **19**, 587 (2016).
- 1130 84. K. Onishi, K. Matsuda, and K. Miyagawa, Influence of hydrophilic and hydrophobic coating on
- 1131 hydrofoil performance (2017).
- 1132 85. J. Hao, M. Zhang, andX. Huang, "The influence of surface roughness on cloud cavitation flow1133 around hydrofoils," Acta Mechanica Sinica 34, 10 (2018).
- 1134 86. A. Asnaghi, U. Svennberg, R. Gustafsson, and R. E. Bensow, "Investigations of tip vortex
 1135 mitigation by using roughness," Physics of Fluids **32**, 065111 (2020).
- 1136 87. U. Svennberg, A. Asnaghi, R. Gustafsson, and R. Bensow, *Experimental Analysis of Tip Vortex* 1137 *Cavitation Mitigation By Controlled Surface Roughness* (2020).
- 1138 88. X. Lv, W. T. Wu, J. Lv, K. Mao, L. Gao, andY. Li, "Study on the law of pseudo-cavitation on 1139 superhydrophobic surface in turbulent flow field of backward-facing step," Fluids **6**, (2021).
- 1140 89. H. Kim, andC. Kim, "A physics-based cavitation model ranging from inertial to thermal 1141 regimes," International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer **181**, (2021).
- 90. M. Adama Maiga, O. Coutier-Delgosha, and D. Buisine, "A new cavitation model based onbubble-bubble interactions," Physics of Fluids **30**, (2018).
- 91. O. Ivashnev, M. Ivashneva, and N. Smirnov, "Rarefaction waves in nonequilibrium-boiling fluid
 flows," Fluid Dynamics FLUID DYN 35, 485 (2000).
- 92. A. A. Aganin, and T. F. Khalitova, "Calculation of Small Deformations of a Radially Convergent
 Shock Wave Inside a Cavitation Bubble," Lobachevskii Journal of Mathematics 42, 1954 (2021).
- 93. M. Zhang, Q. Chang, X. Ma, G. Wang, and B. Huang, "Physical investigation of the counterjet
 dynamics during the bubble rebound," Ultrasonics Sonochemistry 58, (2019).
- 1149 dynamics during the bubble rebound, off asofics soliochemistry **36**, (2013).
- 1150 94. A. A. Aganin, A. I. Davletshin, and T. F. Khalitova, "Expansion and Collapse of Bubbles in the
- 1151 Central Region of a Streamer," Lobachevskii Journal of Mathematics **42**, 15 (2021).

- 1152 95. O. Ivashnyov, M. Ivashneva, and N. Smirnov, "Slow waves of boiling under hot water 1153 depressurization," Journal of Fluid Mechanics **413**, 149 (2000).
- 96. X. Tang, X. Duan, H. Gao, X. Li, andX. Shi, "CFD investigations of transient cavitation flows in
- pipeline based on weakly-compressible model," Water (Switzerland) **12**, (2020).
- 97. V. K. Kedrinskiy, and E. S. Zhuravleva, Formation of a cavitation zone behind a rarefaction wave
 front in a shock-loaded thin layer of a multiphase liquid (2019).
- 1158 98. A. Fraters, M. Van Den Berg, Y. De Loore, H. Reinten, H. Wijshoff, D. Lohse, M. Versluis, and T.
- Segers, "Inkjet Nozzle Failure by Heterogeneous Nucleation: Bubble Entrainment, Cavitation, and
 Diffusive Growth," Physical Review Applied **12**, (2019).
- 1161 99. E. S. Zhuravleva, and V. K. Kedrinskii, "Focusing of the Rarefaction Wave in a Thin Cavitating 1162 Fluid Layer with a Free Boundary," Journal of Applied Mechanics and Technical Physics **59**, 1004
- 1163 (2018).
- 1164 100. N. Kyriazis, P. Koukouvinis, and M. Gavaises, "Modelling cavitation during drop impact on 1165 solid surfaces," Advances in Colloid and Interface Science **260**, 46 (2018).
- 1166 101. O. E. Ivashnyov, and N. N. Smirnov, "Thermal growth of a vapor bubble moving in 1167 superheated liquid," Physics of Fluids **16**, 809 (2004).
- 1168 102. R. I. Nigmatulin, J. R. T. Lahey, R. P. Taleyarkhan, C. D. West, and R. C. Block, "On 1169 thermonuclear processes in cavitation bubbles," Physics-Uspekhi **57**, 877 (2014).
- 1170 103. Y. Liu, and Y. Peng, "Study on the collapse process of cavitation bubbles including heat 1171 transfer by lattice boltzmann method," Journal of Marine Science and Engineering **9**, 1 (2021).
- 1172 104. M. Gallo, F. Magaletti, D. Cocco, andC. M. Casciola, "Nucleation and growth dynamics of vapour bubbles," Journal of Fluid Mechanics **883**, (2020).
- 1174 105. J. Zhu, S. Wang, andX. Zhang, "Influences of thermal effects on cavitation dynamics in liquid 1175 nitrogen through venturi tube," Physics of Fluids **32**, (2020).
- 1176 106. D. Papoulias, and M. Gavaises, *Modelling of single bubble-dynamics and thermal effects* 1177 (2015).
- 1178 107. M. T. Warnez, and E. Johnsen, "Numerical modeling of bubble dynamics in viscoelastic media 1179 with relaxation," Physics of Fluids **27**, (2015).
- 1180 108. A. A. Aganin, O. R. Ganiev, A. I. Davletshin, andL. E. Ukrainskii, "Evaluation of Thermal and
- Acoustic Energy during Collapse of Cavitation Bubbles," Journal of Machinery Manufacture andReliability 49, 367 (2020).
- 1183 109. A. A. Aganin, O. R. Ganiev, A. I. Davletshin, andL. E. Ukrainskyi, "Liquid Heating During the
- 1184 Collapse of a Single Cavitation Bubble," Journal of Machinery Manufacture and Reliability **49**, 24 1185 (2020).
- 1186 110. R. I. Nigmatulin, A. A. Aganin, andD. Y. Toporkov, "Possibility of Cavitation Bubble 1187 Supercompression in Tetradecane," Doklady Physics **63**, 348 (2018).

- 1188 111. A. A. Aganin, and T. F. Khalitova, *Small non-sphericity of a convergent shock wave arising in* a *cavitation bubble in acetone during its collapse* (2020).
- 1190 112. A. A. Aganin, M. A. Il'gamov, R. I. Nigmatulin, and D. Y. Toporkov, "Evolution of distortions of
- the spherical shape of a cavitation bubble in acoustic supercompression," Fluid Dynamics 45, 50(2010).
- 1193 113. S. Park, and G. Son, "Numerical study of the effect of liquid compressibility on acoustic 1194 droplet vaporization," Ultrasonics Sonochemistry **79**, (2021).
- 1195 114. Y. H. Chen, J. M. Zhan, and Y. T. Li, "Numerical simulation of cavitation-bubble expansion and
- collapse inside a bottle subjected to impact on its topside," Engineering Applications ofComputational Fluid Mechanics 15, 1440 (2021).
- 1198 115. F. Denner, "The Gilmore-NASG model to predict single-bubble cavitation in compressible 1199 liquids," Ultrasonics Sonochemistry **70**, (2021).
- 1200 116. R. F. Ganiev, A. A. Aganin, O. R. Ganiev, G. N. Granova, A. I. Davletshin, L. E. Ukrainskii, andl.
- 1201 G. Ustenko, "Compression of cavitation bubble in viscous liquid," Journal of Machinery1202 Manufacture and Reliability 46, (2017).
- 1203 117. M. Sivčák, andT. Hruš, Influence of bubbles in the shock liquid at its compressibility (2017).
- 1204 118. T. Trummler, S. J. Schmidt, and N. A. Adams, "Numerical investigation of non-condensable1205 gas effect on vapor bubble collapse," Physics of Fluids **33**, (2021).
- 1206 119. U. Iben, A. Makhnov, and A. Schmidt, *Numerical Investigation of Cavitating Flows with Liquid* 1207 *Degassing* (2018).
- 1208 120. J. Lee, Importance of sonication and solution conditions on the acoustic cavitation activity 1209 #5 (2016).
- 1210 121. E. Kadivar, O. e. Moctar, R. Skoda, and U. Löschner, "Experimental study of the control of
- 1211 cavitation-induced erosion created by collapse of single bubbles using a micro structured riblet,"1212 Wear 486-487, 204087 (2021).
- 1213 122. S. R. Gonzalez-Avila, D. M. Nguyen, S. Arunachalam, E. M. Domingues, H. Mishra, andC.-D.
 1214 Ohl, "Mitigating cavitation erosion using biomimetic gas-entrapping microtextured surfaces
- 1215 (GEMS)," Science Advances **6**, eaax6192 (2020).
- 1216 123. Y. T. Shen, and R. Eppler, "Wing Sections for Hydrofoils—Part 2: Nonsymmetrical Profiles,"
 1217 Journal of Ship Research 25, 191 (1981).
- 1218 124. Y. T. Shen, "Wing Sections for Hydrofoils—Part 3: Experimental Verifications," Journal of 1219 Ship Research **29**, 39 (1985).
- 1220 125. S. Kyparissis, and D. Margaris, "Experimental investigation of cavitation in a centrifugal pump
- with double-arc synthetic blade design method," International Review of Mechanical Engineering5, 884 (2011).
- 1223 126. S. D. Kyparissis, and D. P. Margaris, "Experimental Investigation and Passive Flow Control of
- 1224 a Cavitating Centrifugal Pump," International Journal of Rotating Machinery **2012**, 248082 (2012).

- 1225 127. W. Shi, M. Atlar, R. Rosli, B. Aktas, and R. Norman, "Cavitation observations and noise 1226 measurements of horizontal axis tidal turbines with biomimetic blade leading-edge designs,"
- 1227 Ocean Engineering **121**, 143 (2016).
- 1228 128. C. B. Senel, H. Maral, L. A. Kavurmacioglu, andC. Camci, "An aerothermal study of the
- 1229 influence of squealer width and height near a HP turbine blade," International Journal of Heat 1230 and Mass Transfer **120**, 18 (2018).
- 1231 129. C. Camci, D. Dey, andL. Kavurmacioglu, "Aerodynamics of Tip Leakage Flows Near Partial 1232 Squealer Rims in an Axial Flow Turbine Stage," Journal of Turbomachinery **127**, 14 (2005).
- 1233 130. J. H. Cheon, and S. W. Lee, "Tip leakage aerodynamics over the cavity squealer tip equipped
- with full coverage winglets in a turbine cascade," International Journal of Heat and Fluid Flow 56,60 (2015).
- 1236 131. M. Lei, andZ. Junwei, *Effects of Blade Tip Foil Thickening on Tip Vortexes in Ducted Propeller* 1237 (Atlantis Press, 2015).
- 1238 132. Q. Guo, L. Zhou, andZ. Wang, "Numerical evaluation of the clearance geometries effect on 1239 the flow field and performance of a hydrofoil," Renewable Energy **99**, 390 (2016).
- 1240 133. S. Q. Wu, W. D. Shi, D. S. Zhang, J. Yao, andC. Cheng, "Influence of blade tip rounding on tip

1241 leakage vortex cavitation of axial flow pump," IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and 1242 Engineering **52**, 062011 (2013).

- 1243 134. Y. Liu, andL. Tan, "Method of C groove on vortex suppression and energy performance 1244 improvement for a NACA0009 hydrofoil with tip clearance in tidal energy," Energy **155**, 448 1245 (2018).
- 1246 135. D. Kang, Y. Arimoto, K. Yonezawa, H. Horiguchi, Y. Kawata, C. Hah, and Y. Tsujimoto,
- 1247 "Suppression of Cavitation Instabilities in an Inducer by Circumferential Groove and Explanation1248 of Higher Frequency Components," International Journal of Fluid Machinery and Systems 3, 137
- 1249 (2010).
- 1250 136. M. Dreyer, "Mind The Gap: Tip Leakage Vortex Dynamics and Cavitation in Axial Turbines,"1251 2015.
- 1252 137. H.-y. Cheng, B. Ji, X.-p. Long, and M. Farhat, "A review of cavitation in tip-leakage flow and 1253 its control," Journal of Hydrodynamics **33**, (2021).
- 1254 138. D. Custodio, C. Henoch, andH. Johari, "Cavitation on hydrofoils with leading edge 1255 protuberances," Ocean Engineering **162**, 196 (2018).
- 1256 139. W. G. Zhao, and G. Wang, "Research on passive control of cloud cavitation based on a bionic 1257 fin-fin structure," Engineering Computations **37**, 863 (2019).
- 1258 140. R. Kant, and A. Bhattacharyya, "A bio-inspired twin-protuberance hydrofoil design," Ocean 1259 Engineering **218**, 108209 (2020).
- 1260 141. D. Li, Q. Yang, W. Yang, H. Chang, and H. Wang, "Bionic leading-edge protuberances and 1261 hydrofoil cavitation," Physics of Fluids **33**, 093317 (2021).

- 1262 142. J. Li, C. Liu, andX. Li, "Effects of Wavy Leading-Edge Protuberance on Hydrofoil Performance 1263 and Its Flow Mechanism," Journal of Marine Science and Engineering **9**, (2021).
- 1264 143. R. García-Mayoral, and J. Jiménez, "Drag reduction by riblets," Philosophical Transactions of
- 1265 the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences **369**, 1412 (2011).
- 1266 144. W. Li, W. Jessen, D. Roggenkamp, M. Klaas, W. Silex, M. Schiek, and W. Schröder, "Turbulent
- 1267 drag reduction by spanwise traveling ribbed surface waves," European Journal of Mechanics -
- 1268 B/Fluids **53**, 101 (2015).
- 1269 145. F. E. Fish, andG. V. Lauder, "PASSIVE AND ACTIVE FLOW CONTROL BY SWIMMING FISHES 1270 AND MAMMALS," Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech. **38**, 193 (2005).
- 1271 146. Y. Li, H. Chen, J. Wang, and D. Chen, "Effect of Grooves on Cavitation Around the Body of 1272 Revolution," Journal of Fluids Engineering **132**, (2009).
- 1273 147. A. Danlos, F. Ravelet, O. Coutier-Delgosha, and F. Bakir, "Cavitation regime detection through
- 1274 Proper Orthogonal Decomposition: Dynamics analysis of the sheet cavity on a grooved
- 1275 convergent–divergent nozzle," International Journal of Heat and Fluid Flow **47**, 9 (2014).
- 1276 148. A. Danlos, J.-E. Méhal, F. Ravelet, O. Coutier-Delgosha, and F. Bakir, "Study of the Cavitating 1277 Instability on a Grooved Venturi Profile," Journal of Fluids Engineering **136**, (2014).
- 1278 149. Y. Liu, andL. Tan, "Method of C groove on vortex suppression and energy performance 1279 improvement for a NACA0009 hydrofoil with tip clearance in tidal energy," Energy **155**, 448 1280 (2018).
- 1281 150. H. Cheng, X. Long, B. Ji, X. Peng, and M. Farhat, "Suppressing tip-leakage vortex cavitation by overhanging grooves," Experiments in Fluids **61**, 159 (2020).
- 1283 151. H. Kato, H. Yamaguchi, S. Okada, K. Kikuchi, andM. Miyanaga, "Suppression of Sheet 1284 Cavitation Inception by Water Discharge Through Slit," Journal of Fluids Engineering **109**, 70
- 1285 (1987).
- 1286 152. R. E. A. Arndt, C. R. Ellis, andS. Paul, "Preliminary Investigation of the Use of Air Injection to
 1287 Mitigate Cavitation Erosion," Journal of Fluids Engineering **117**, 498 (1995).
- 1288 153. K. N. O. David Japikse, Daniel O. Baun STABILITY ENHANCEMENT OF COMPRESSORS AND
 1289 TURBOPUMPS BY PASSIVE FLOW CONTROL Document No. Number, 2006.
- 1290 154. B. Zhu, H. Chen, andQ. Wei, "Numerical and Experimental Investigation of Cavitating 1291 Characteristics in Centrifugal Pump with Gap Impeller," International Journal of Turbo and Jet
- 1292 Engines **31**, 187 (2014).
- 1293 155. Z. Bing, andC. Hongxun, "Analysis of the Staggered and Fixed Cavitation Phenomenon
- 1294 Observed in Centrifugal Pumps Employing a Gap Drainage Impeller," Journal of Fluids Engineering1295 **139**, (2016).
- 1296 156. Y. Kamikura, H. Kobayashi, S. Kawasaki, andY. Iga, "Three dimensional numerical analysis of
- 1297 inducer about suppression of cavitation instabilities by asymmetric slits on blades," IOP
- 1298 Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science 240, 032044 (2019).

- 1299 157. W. Wang, Q. Yi, S. Lu, andX. Wang, *Exploration and Research of the Impact of Hydrofoil* 1300 *Surface Water Injection on Cavitation Suppression* (2017).
- 1301158. Y. Kawanami, H. Kato, H. Yamaguchi, M. Tanimura, andY. Tagaya, "Mechanism and Control1302of Cloud Cavitation," Journal of Fluids Engineering **119**, 788 (1997).
- 1303 159. T. Pham, F. Larrarte, and D. Fruman, "Investigation of Unsteady Sheet Cavitation and Cloud

Cavitation Mechanisms," Journal of Fluids Engineering-transactions of The Asme - J FLUID ENG1305 121, (1999).

1306 160. K. Sato, M. Tanada, S. Monden, andY. Tsujimoto, "Observations of Oscillating Cavitation on
a Flat Plate Hydrofoil," JSME International Journal Series B Fluids and Thermal Engineering 45,
646 (2002).

1309161. W.-g. Zhao, L.-x. Zhang, X.-m. Shao, and J. Deng, "Numerical study on the control mechanism1310of cloud cavitation by obstacles," Journal of Hydrodynamics, Ser. B 22, 792 (2010).

1311 162. H. Ganesh, S. Mäkiharju, andS. Ceccio, "Interaction of a Compressible Bubbly Flow With an

1312 Obstacle Placed Within a Shedding Partial Cavity," Journal of Physics: Conference Series **656**, 1313 012151 (2015).

1314 163. S. Watanabe, N. Enomoto, K. Ishizaka, A. Furukawa, and J.-H. Kim, "Suppression of Cavitation
 1315 Surge of a Helical Inducer Occurring in Partial Flow Conditions," Turbomachinery **32**, 94 (2004).

1316 164. J.-H. Kim, K. Ishzaka, S. Watanabe, and A. Furukawa, "Cavitation Surge Suppression of Pump 1317 Inducer with Axi-asymmetrical Inlet Plate." International Journal of Fluid Machinery and Systems

1317 Inducer with Axi-asymmetrical Inlet Plate," International Journal of Fluid Machinery and Systems1318 **3**, 50 (2010).

1319 165. J. Huang, C. Yu, Y. Wang, C. Xu, andC. Huang, "Passive control of cavitating flow around an
1320 axisymmetric projectile by using a trip bar," Theoretical and Applied Mechanics Letters 7, 181
1321 (2017).

1322166. E. Kadivar, E. Kadivar, K. Javadi, andS. M. Javadpour, "The investigation of natural super-1323cavitation flow behind three-dimensional cavitators: Full cavitation model," Applied1324Mathematical Modelling 45, 165 (2017).

1325 167. B. Che, L. Cao, N. Chu, D. Likhachev, and D. Wu, "Effect of obstacle position on attached
 1326 cavitation control through response surface methodology," Journal of Mechanical Science and
 1327 Technology **33**, 4265 (2019).

1328 168. L. Zhang, M. Chen, and X. Shao, "Inhibition of cloud cavitation on a flat hydrofoil through the 1329 placement of an obstacle," Ocean Engineering **155**, 1 (2018).

1330 169. G. Zhao, L. Cao, B. Che, R. Wu, S. Yang, and D. Wu, "Towards the control of blade cavitation 1331 in a waterjet pump with inlet guide vanes: Passive control by obstacles," Ocean Engineering **231**,

1332 108820 (2021).

1333 170. Z. Lin, J. Tao, D. Yin, andZ. Zhu, "Numerical study on cavitation over flat hydrofoils with arc
 1334 obstacles," Physics of Fluids **33**, 085101 (2021).

1335 171. B. Che, N. Chu, S. J. Schmidt, L. Cao, D. Likhachev, and D. Wu, "Control effect of micro vortex 1336 generators on leading edge of attached cavitation," Physics of Fluids **31**, 044102 (2019).

84

- 1337 172. H. An, "On the use of vortex generators to control cavitation in a backward facing step flow,"
 1338 Ph.D. Purdue University, 2007.
- 1339 173. Y. Liang-mei, "Application of the Vortex Generator to Control the PHV Cavitation," Journal1340 of Ship Mechanics (2009).
- 1341 174. K. Javadi, M. M. Dorostkar, and A. Katal, "Cavitation passive control on immersed bodies,"
 1342 Journal of Marine Science and Application 16, 33 (2017).
- 1343 175. A. Amini, M. Reclari, T. Sano, M. lino, and M. Farhat, "Suppressing tip vortex cavitation by 1344 winglets," Experiments in Fluids **60**, 159 (2019).
- 1345 176. V. C. Andichamy, G. T. Khokhar, andC. Camci, *An Experimental Study of Using Vortex* 1346 *Generators As Tip Leakage Flow Interrupters in an Axial Flow Turbine Stage* (2018).
- 1347 177. E. Kadivar, O. e. Moctar, andK. Javadi, "Investigation of the effect of cavitation passive
 1348 control on the dynamics of unsteady cloud cavitation," Applied Mathematical Modelling 64, 333
 1349 (2018).
- 1350 178. E. Kadivar, M. V. Timoshevskiy, M. Y. Nichik, O. el Moctar, T. E. Schellin, and K. S. Pervunin,
- 1351 "Control of unsteady partial cavitation and cloud cavitation in marine engineering and hydraulic1352 systems," Physics of Fluids **32**, 052108 (2020).
- 1353 179. E. Kadivar, M. V. Timoshevskiy, K. S. Pervunin, and O. e. Moctar, "Experimental and numerical 1354 study of the cavitation surge passive control around a semi-circular leading-edge flat plate,"
- 1355 Journal of Marine Science and Technology **25**, 1010 (2020).
- 1356 180. C. Xu, and B. C. Khoo, "Dynamics of the supercavitating hydrofoil with cavitator in steady 1357 flow field," Physics of Fluids **32**, 123307 (2020).
- 1358 181. E. Kadivar, O. e. Moctar, andK. Javadi, "Stabilization of cloud cavitation instabilities using
- 1359 Cylindrical Cavitating-bubble Generators (CCGs)," International Journal of Multiphase Flow 115,1360 108 (2019).
- 1361182. E. Kadivar, M. Timoshevskiy, K. Pervunin, andO. e. Moctar, "Experimental investigation of1362the passive control of unsteady cloud cavitation using miniature vortex generators (MVGs)," IOP
- 1363 Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science **405**, 012002 (2019).
- 1364 183. E. Kadivar, M. V. Timoshevskiy, K. S. Pervunin, andO. e. Moctar, "Cavitation control using
 1365 Cylindrical Cavitating-bubble Generators (CCGs): Experiments on a benchmark CAV2003
 1366 hydrofoil," International Journal of Multiphase Flow **125**, 103186 (2020).
- 1367 184. B. Che, and D. Wu, Study on Vortex Generators for Control of Attached Cavitation (2017).
- 1368 185. P. K. Kundu, I. M. Cohen, and D. R. Dowling, *Chapter 11 Instability* (Academic Press, Boston, 1369 2016).
- 1370 186. B. Che, N. Chu, L. Cao, S. J. Schmidt, D. Likhachev, and D. Wu, "Control effect of micro vortex
- 1371 generators on attached cavitation instability," Physics of Fluids **31**, (2019).

- 1372 187. N. Qiu, W. Zhou, B. Che, D. Wu, L. Wang, and H. Zhu, "Effects of microvortex generators on
- 1373 cavitation erosion by changing periodic shedding into new structures," Physics of Fluids **32**,
- 1374 (2020).
- 1375 188. H.-b. Huang, Y. Long, and B. Ji, "Experimental investigation of vortex generator influences on 1376 propeller cavitation and hull pressure fluctuations," Journal of Hydrodynamics **32**, 82 (2020).
- 1377 189. L. Li, B. Zhou, H. Huang, and H. Sun, "Vortex generator design and numerical investigation
- 1378 for wake non-uniformity and cavitation fluctuation pressure reduction," Ocean Engineering 229,1379 108965 (2021).
- 1380 190. O. Teplov, andV. Lomakin, "Improving the performance of a centrifugal vane pump by
- $1381 \qquad \text{installing vortex generators on the suction surfaces of blades," IOP Conference Series: Materials}$
- 1382 Science and Engineering **779**, 012012 (2020).
- 1383 191. J. Chen, C. Hu, M. Zhang, B. Huang, and H. Zhang, "The influence of micro vortex generator
- 1384 on inception cavitation," Physics of Fluids **33**, 103312 (2021).
- 1385