

# Science Arts & Métiers (SAM)

is an open access repository that collects the work of Arts et Métiers Institute of Technology researchers and makes it freely available over the web where possible.

This is an author-deposited version published in: https://sam.ensam.eu Handle ID: .http://hdl.handle.net/10985/8411

To cite this version :

Kévin CANDELIER, Simon HANNOUZ, Mohamed ELAIEB, Stéphane DUMARÇAY, Anelie PETRISSANS, Phillipe GERARDIN, Mathieu PETRISSANS, Robert COLLET - Utilization of temperature kinetics as a method to predict treatment intensity and corresponding treated wood quality : durability and mechanical properties of thermally modified wood - MADERAS: Ciencia y Tecnología - Vol. 17, n°2, p.10 - 2015

Any correspondence concerning this service should be sent to the repository Administrator : scienceouverte@ensam.eu



1	DOI:10.4067/S0718-221X2015005000024 (Requested)
2	UTILIZATION OF TEMPERATURE KINETICS AS A METHOD TO
3	PREDICT TREATMENT INTENSITY AND CORRESPONDING
4	TREATED WOOD QUALITY: DURABILITY AND MECHANICAL
5	<b>PROPERTIES OF THERMALLY MODIFIED WOOD</b>
6	
7	K. Candelier <sup>1</sup> , S. Hannouz <sup>1</sup> , M. Elaieb <sup>2</sup> , R. Collet <sup>1</sup> , S. Dumarçay <sup>3</sup> , A. Pétrissans <sup>3</sup> , P.
8	Gérardin <sup>3</sup> , M. Pétrissans <sup>3</sup>
9	<sup>1</sup> LaBoMaP, Arts et Metiers ParisTech, Rue Porte de Paris, F-71250 Cluny, France
10	<sup>2</sup> LGVRF, INRGREF, B.P. 10, 2080 Ariana, Tunisia
11 12	<sup>3</sup> Laboratoire d'Etudes et de Recherche sur le Matériau Bois, EA 4370, Université de Lorraine, Faculté des Sciences et Technologies, BP 70239, F-54506 Vandœuvre-lès-Nancy, France
13 14 15 16	Received: January 14, 2014 Accepted: June 19, 2014 Corresponding author: Mathieu.Petrissans@univ-lorraine.fr
17	ABSTRACT
18	Wood heat treatment is an attractive alternative to improve decay resistance of wood species with
19	low natural durability. However, this improvement of durability is realized at the expense of the
20	mechanical resistance. Decay resistance and mechanical properties are strongly correlated to
21	thermal degradation of wood cells wall components. Mass loss resulting from this degradation is
22	a good indicator of treatment intensity and final treated wood properties. However, the
23	introduction of a fast and accurate system for measuring this mass loss on an industrial scale is
24	very difficult. Nowadays, many studies are conducted on the determination of control parameters
25	which could be correlated with the treatment conditions and final heat treated wood quality such
26	as decay resistance. The aim of this study is to investigate the relations between kinetics of

27 temperature used during thermal treatment process representing heat treatment intensity, mass 28 losses due to thermal degradation and conferred properties to heat treated wood. It might appear 29 that relative area of treatment temperature curves is a good indicator of treatment intensity. Heat 30 treatment with different treatment conditions (temperature-time) have been performed under 31 vacuum, on four wood species (one hardwood and three softwoods) in order to obtain thermal 32 degradation mass loses of 8, 10 and 12%. For each experiment, relative areas corresponding to 33 temperature kinetics, mass loss, decay resistance and mechanical properties have been 34 determined. Results highlight the statement that the temperature curves' area constitutes a good 35 indicator in the prediction of needed treatment intensity, to obtain required wood durability and 36 mechanical properties such as bending resistance and Brinell hardness.

37

Keywords: control quality, decay resistance, heat treatment, mass loses, mechanical properties,
temperature kinetics.

40

41

#### **INTRODUCTION**

42

Wood heat treatment by mild pyrolysis is used to improve wood properties such as its decay resistance and dimensional stability (Rowell *et al.* 2009, Poncsak *et al.* 2010). These improved properties result from the wood cell polymers' chemical modifications occurring during treatment (Esteves et al. 2013), which confer the new wood properties (Tjeerdma and Militz 2005). Previous studies have shown that the wood thermal degradation mass loss is a good indicator for the treatment intensity directly related to the temperature and the duration of the heat treatment (Welzbacher *et al.* 2007, Pétrissans et al. 2014). Elemental wood composition has been reported 50 as a good marker of treatment intensity and consequently of the mass loss level, allowing further 51 prediction of the heat treated wood decay resistance (Nguila et al. 2009). According to previous 52 experiments, mass losses between 10 and 14% are generally required to reach a weight loss 53 against fungal attacks lower than 3%. The decay resistance of the treated wood matches to a full 54 durability (Chaouch et al. 2010), according to the European Standard EN 113/A1 (2004). In 55 parallel with improvement of wood durability, mechanical properties were generally significantly 56 weakened (Bengtsson et al. 2002). The wood properties' modifications are directly correlated to 57 the treatment intensity (Chaouch et al. 2010, Gunduz et al. 2009). Nowadays, the main concern is 58 the difficulty to produce in an industrial scale heat treated wood with constant and controlled 59 final wood product quality (durability, dimensional stability, color). Most of thermal treatment 60 processes are performed by convection and don't record the wood mass loss during the process 61 (Abibois 2012). Moreover, heat transfer by convection may give rise to an unsatisfactory 62 treatment homogeneity on the set of treated samples (Pétrissans et al. 2007). So, it's necessary to 63 elaborate some parameters to estimate the mass loss, resulting from treatment intensity, the new 64 properties of heat treated wood, and which could be easily used for industrial process. In this 65 study, heat treatment was performed by conduction to obtain a better thermal homogeneity. 66 Wood mass loss was recorded during the thermal degradation. Curing was carried out under 67 vacuum. The global treatment duration by comparison with a process using a nitrogen 68 atmosphere (Candelier et al. (a) 2013) is reduced, because re-condensation and thermal 69 reticulation of wood degradation products are avoided.

The aim of this study is to investigate the relations between the heat treatment intensity and the thermal degradation kinetics, mass losses and the final properties conferred to the heat treated wood. The relative area of treatment temperature curves is proposed as an indicator of heat treatment. This area represents the amount of the heat absorbed by the treated wood samples.
Heat treatments with various intensities (temperature-time) have been performed on four wood
species in order to obtain thermal degradation mass loses of 8, 10 and 12%. For each treatment,
relative areas, masse losses, decay resistance and mechanical properties have been determined
and correlated.

- 78
- 79

### **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

## 80 Wood sample and heat treatment protocol

Each heat treatment was carried out simultaneously on two wood boards of 250 x 25 x 110 mm<sup>3</sup> 81 82 (L x R x T). Four wood species have been studied, one hardwood; Zeen oak (Quercus canariensis) and three softwoods; Aleppo pine (Pinus halepensis), Radiata pine (Pinus radiata), 83 84 Maritime pine (Pinus pinaster). Thermal treatment was performed in a 0.25 cubic meter 85 laboratory autoclave by conduction between two electric heated metallic plates equipped to 86 record dynamic mass loss and temperature (SEIR, Charmes France). Each board was initially 87 dried at 103 °C for 48 h and placed in the oven. The oven was then closed and placed under 88 vacuum (200 mbar). The plate temperature was slowly increased by 0.3 °C.min<sup>-1</sup> from ambient to the drying temperature (103 °C) until complete stabilization of the boards' mass. After this 89 period, the plate's temperature was increased by 0.3 °C.min<sup>-1</sup> from 103 °C to 170 °C and the 90 temperature maintained for 2 h. The temperature was then increased by 0.2 °C.min<sup>-1</sup> from 170 °C 91 92 to 220 °C to perform wood thermal modification to different mass losses of 8, 10 and 12% 93 (Figure 1). The heating system was then stopped and wood samples cooled down to room 94 temperature under an oxygen free atmosphere.



# 112 **Relative area determination**

113 The heat treatment device allows dynamic recording of wood temperature and wood mass loss 114 curves. Relative area was calculated between the end of the drying step at  $105^{\circ}$ C (m<sub>0</sub>) and the 115 process of cooling down to  $105^{\circ}$ C (Figure 2).

116



118Fig.2. Relative area from temperature kinetic representing radiata pine heat treatment to obtain a mass loss1190f 12%.

120

The relative area was calculated during the effective thermal modification step for each heat treatment and each wood species. The temperature curves are obtained by averaging both simultaneously treated wood boards. The relative area represents the quantity of the effective heat power exchanged during the treatment process leading to a required wood mass loss. Relative area takes into account on one hand the capacitive thermal power transferred by conduction in the oven, and on the other hand to the reaction enthalpy due to the exothermic character of the thermodegradation reactions.

## 128 **Decay resistance**

Blocks of 25 x 10 x 5 mm<sup>3</sup> in longitudinal, radial and tangential directions were cut from heat 129 130 treated and untreated wood and dried at 103 °C for 48 h (m<sub>1</sub>). Petri dishes (90 mm diameter) were 131 filled with sterile culture medium prepared by mixing 30 g malt and 40 g agar in one L of 132 distilled water, inoculated with the different fungi and incubated at 22 °C and 70% relative 133 humidity to allow full colonization of the surface by the mycelium. The decay resistance was 134 tested on four different fungies: Coriolus versicolor (CV), Gloeophyllum trabeum (GT), 135 Coniophora puteana (CP) and Poria placenta (PP). Three blocks (2 treated and one untreated as 136 control) were placed in each Petri dish and incubated during 16 weeks to evaluate the effect of 137 thermal modification. Each experiment was triplicated. After this period, mycelia were removed 138 and the blocks were dried at 103 °C and weighed (m<sub>2</sub>) to determine the weight loss caused by the 139 fungal attack.

- 140
- 141

WL (%) = 109 × 
$$\frac{m_e - m_e}{m_e}$$
 [1]

## 142 Mechanical properties

In order to assess the effect of heat-treatment parameters on the mechanical properties, three point bending (MOE, MOR) and Brinell hardness were carried out for untreated and heat treated samples, results are compared. An INSTRON 4467 Universal Mechanical Test Machine was used for the measurements. Samples were conditioned in a room with 65% RH and 22 °C during the time necessary to stabilize the samples weights.

Three point static bending tests were carried out according to the EN 408 (2003). The sample size was 200 x 10 m x 10 mm<sup>3</sup> (L x R x T). The moving head speed and the span length were 1.8 mm.s<sup>-1</sup> and 160 mm, respectively. The load deformation data obtained were analyzed to determine the modulus of elasticity (MOE) and the modulus of rupture (MOR). Tests were replicated twenty times for each treatment condition, 10 samples were used for each heat treated boards.

Brinell hardness tests were performed according to the EN 408 (2003) standard. The force was applied by a sphere with a diameter of 10 mm. This force is applied in three steps. It was slowly increased by  $0.2 \text{ kN.s}^{-1}$  during 15 s. After this period, a force of 3 kN was maintained for 25 s and finally the applied force was decreased. Brinell hardness tests were replicated twenty times (10 tests for each wood boards). Every test was separated by at least 30 mm from the edge of the boards and 25 mm between each test. Accuracy of the measurement of the ball penetration depth was 0.01 mm and the applied force's one was 0.005 kN.

- 161
- 162

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

163 **Relative area and treatment intensity** 

164 Figure 3 gives the relations between the relative area and wood samples mass losses issued from 165 the thermal degradation obtained for a given treatment intensity. For all wood species, heat

treatment was performed at 220°C. The difference between treatment intensities is determined by 166 167 process duration. Treatment time will then determine the wood mass loss. Relative areas are 168 representative to the treatment intensity. For a given mass loss, the relative area is determined as 169 shown on Figure 2. The relative area corresponding to the kinetics of Zeen oak wood thermal 170 degradation was found less important compared to the three others softwood species. These 171 results are in agreement with previous studies (Candelier et al. 2011) that have showed the higher 172 sensitivity to thermal degradation of hardwood than softwood. The thermal susceptibility 173 differences between hardwood and softwood species are more pronounced for mass loss higher 174 than 8%. Similar result have been found by Chaouch et al. (2010) in a study of the correlation 175 between mass loss and treatment intensity (time and temperature) during the heat treatment of several wood species such as silver fir, pine, beech, poplar and ash. 176



Relative area (°C.min)



Fig.3. Correlation between Relative Area and Mass losses due to thermal degradation of different wood species.

The main difference concerns the thermal degradation kinetics, which is directly influenced by the treatment temperature (Candelier *et al.* 2011) and the quantity of acetic acid liberated during the heat treatment (Stamm 1956). The acetic acid production strongly depends on the wood species nature: hardwoods lead to higher amounts of acid compared to softwoods. This may be related to the nature of hemicelluloses initially present in hardwood and softwood species (Sjöström 1981, Fengel and Wegener 1989).

The observed in these work relations between the process heat energy characterized by the relative area and the occurring wood mass loss show a good agreement with a previous study based on a thermal-gravimetric device coupled with DSC analysis system (Candelier *et al.* (b) 2013).

## 192 **Prediction of decay resistance**

193 Durability of untreated and heat wood were investigated with various brown rot and white rot 194 fungi. Similar results are obtained for each fungus: Coriolus versicolor (CV), Gloeophyllum 195 trabeum (GT), Coniophora puteana (CP) and Poria placenta (PP). Higher weight loss was 196 caused by Poria placenta, results are presented on the Figure 4. After a 16 weeks fungal 197 exposure, all heat treated samples show an improved decay durability revealed by the measured 198 reduced weight losses, while untreated samples were strongly degraded. Softwood species' 199 weight losses are greater than 22% according to the used fungal species. Zeen oak wood exhibits 200 a higher natural durability, however thermal treatment improves further its decay resistance.

According to the determination coefficients  $(R^2)$  values comprised between 0.95 and 0.99, the relative area seems to be a good parameter to predict final durability of the studied wood species. Moreover, whatever is the studied wood species, when a mass loss of 12 % is reached, the decay resistance is improved to confer a durability class 3. Similar results have been found by Chaouch



Fig.4. Prediction of Weight Losses due to *Poria placenta* exposure by determination of Relative Area, for different wood species.

*et al.* (2010) indicating that treatment intensity represented by mass loss comprised between 10 and 12 % improves significantly the decay resistance of several hardwood and softwood species.

#### 214 **Evaluation of mechanical properties**

Whatever is the wood species nature, mechanical properties are modified by the thermal treatment. Results indicate that, modulus of elasticity (MOE) is less affected after heat treatment comparatively to the modulus of rupture (MOR), while Brinell hardness is only slightly affected. Similar results have been fund by (Yildiz *et al.* 2006). Moreover, the decrease of these three mechanical properties seems to be correlated to the relative areas introducing the treatment intensity (Figures 5-7).

221

205



Fig.5. Determination of bending MOE by Relative Area values, for different wood species.





Fig.6. Determination of bending MOR by Relative Area values, for different wood species.





234

Fig.7. Determination of Brinell Hardness by Relative Area values, for different wood species.

Previous studies have indicated that MOE and MOR in Bending strength decrease as a function of the increased treatment severity (Mburu *et al.* 2008). In addition, the influence of heat treatment on different strength properties is not proportional (Boonstra *et al.* 2007). These mechanical modifications depend on the wood species nature (Arnold 2010) but also on the natural defects, such as knots, resin pockets. Wood strength properties appeared to be affected by the heat treatment (Boonstra *et al.* 2007). These observations may explain the scatter of results

and consequently the lower value of the determination coefficients ( $0.60 < R^2 < 0.98$ , Figures 5-6).

Concerning Brinell hardness properties, Hardness decreases as a function of the increased treatment intensity (Unsal *et al.* 2003) and the influence of the wood nature on this hardness 245 weakening is more pronounced (Figure 7). Results indicate that treatment severity causes higher 246 hardness degradation on the zeen oak than the other softwood species. Indeed, although zeen oak 247 wood had the highest hardness value for control samples, its hardness reduction was also larger 248 than for any other species considered in this work. Similar results have been found through 249 previous studies (Priadia and Hiziroglub 2013). They found also that, in the oak wood, hardness 250 is more degraded by the heat treatment intensity than in other wood species as mindi, mahogany 251 and pine woods. Extreme porous structure along with high extractive amount of oak would be 252 considered for such findings. Additionally, SEM microscopic analyses (Priadia and 253 Hiziroglub 2013) have shown that in the heat treated oak wood there are more cracks and 254 distorted parts than in the heat treated pine. That observation can explain the higher oak wood 255 hardness sensibility to thermal degradation compared to other species. Further studies on the 256 relative areas could provide additional information for industrial applications giving 257 recommendation about the heat treatment cycle consumptions, necessary for treat thermally a 258 considered wood species to obtain desired quality of the final material.

- 259
- 260

#### CONCLUSION

Relative area representative to heat treatment intensity seems to be a good indicator to predict wood mass loss due to the thermal degradation. This parameter can be used also to estimate the final product quality. Indeed, relative area appears to be a good means to predict the durability improvement after a thermal modification of wood using a conduction process. In spite of less important correlation coefficients, mechanical properties seem to be related to the relative areas. Heat treated woods presents lower MOR and MOE in bending and lower Brinell hardness comparatively to control wood samples. Between the three investigated mechanical properties,

268	MOR was the most sensitive property to the heat treatment conditions. However, reduction of
269	these properties seems to be correlated with the relative area. Finally, the utilization of the
270	relative area, as indicator of decay resistance and mechanical properties of heat treated wood,
271	could be investigated for other industrial wood thermal modification processes such as
272	Thermowood®. While similar correlations as these found in our study could be transposed to
273	other industrial convection processes. The relative area could be a means to control and predict
274	heat-treated wood quality.
275	ACKNOWLEDGMENTS
276	LERMaB is supported by the French National Research Agency through the Laboratory of
277	Excellence ARBRE (ANR-12- LABXARBRE-01), the authors gratefully acknowledge this aid.
278	
279	REFERENCES
280	Abibois. 2012. Le traitement à haute température des bois. Le réseau des professionnels du bois
281	en Bretagne : 11 pages.
282	Arnold M. 2010, Effect of moisture on the bending properties of thermally modified beech and
283	spruce. Journal of Materials Science 45: 669-680.
284	Bengtsson C.; Jermer J.; Brem F. 2002, Bending strength of heat-treated spruce and pine
285	timber. IRG/WP 02-40242, http://www.irg-wp.org/.
286	Boonstra M.J.; Van Hacker J.; Tjeersma B; Kegel E.V. 2007, Strength properties of thermally
287	modified softwoods and its relation to polymeric structural wood constituents. Ann For Sci
288	64: 679-690.

- 289 Candelier K.; Chaouch M.; Dumarçay S.; Petrissans A.; Petrissans M.; Gérardin P. 2011,
- 290 Utilization of thermodesorption coupled to GC–MS to study stability of different wood species to
- thermodegradation. *Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysi* 92: 376-383.
- 292 Candelier K.; Dumarçay S.; Petrissans A.; Gérardin P.; Petrissans M. 2013 (a),
- 293 Comparison of mechanical properties of heat treated beech woods cured under nitrogen or
- vacuum. *Polymer Degradation and Stability* 98: 1762-1765.
- 295 Candelier K.; Treu A.; Dibdiakova J.; Larnøy E.; Petrissans A.; Dumarçay S.; Pétrissans
- 296 M.; Gérardin P. 2013 (b), Utilization of TG-DSC to study thermal degradation of beech and
- silver fir. IRG 44, 16-20 June 2013, Stockholm, Sweden. IRG/WP 13-40628, http://www.irg-
- 298 wp.org.
- 299 Chaouch M.; Pétrissans M., Pétrissans A.; Gérardin P. 2010, Use of wood elemental 300 composition to predict heat treatment intensity and decay resistance of different softwood and 301 hardwood species. *Polymer Degradation and Stability* 95: 2255-9.
- 302 EN 113/A1 Standard 2004, Produits de préservation du bois Méthode d'essai pour déterminer
  303 l'efficacité protectrice vis-à-vis des champignons basidiomycètes lignivores Détermination du
  304 seuil d'efficacité.
- 305 EN 408 Standard 2003, Structures en bois Bois de structure et bois lamellé-collé.
  306 Détermination de certaines propriétés physiques et mécaniques.
- 307 Esteves, B.; Velez-Marques, A.; Domingos, I.; Pereira, H. 2013, Chemical change of heat
  308 treated pine and eucalypt wood monitored by FTIR. *Maderas. Ciencia y tecnología* 15 (2): 245309 258.
- 310 Fengel D.; Wegener G. 1989, Wood Chemistry, Ultrastructure, Reactions. Berlin, Germany,
- 311 *Walter de Gruyter*, Chapter 12 (Influence of Temperature): 319-344.

312 Gunduz G.; Aydemir D.; Karakas G. 2009, The effects of thermal treatment on the mechanical

- 313 properties of wild Pear (Pyrus elaeagnifolia Pall.) wood and changes in physical properties.
- 314 *Materials and Design* 30: 4391-4395.
- 315 Mburu F.; Dumarçay S.; Bocquet J.-F.; Pétrissans M.; Gérardin P. 2008, Effect of chemical
- 316 modifications caused by heat treatment on mechanical properties of Grevillea robusta wood.
- 317 *Polymer Degradation and Stability* 93 (2): 401-405.
- 318 Nguila Inari G.; Pétrissans M.; Pétrissans A.; Gérardin P. 2009, Elemental composition of
- wood as a potential marker to evaluate heat treatment intensity. *Polymer Degradation and Stability* 94: 365-8.
- Pétrissans A., Younsi R., Chaouch M., Gérardin P., Pétrissans M. 2014, Wood
  thermodegradation: experimental analysis and modeling of mass loss kinetics. *Maderas. Ciencia y tecnología* 16 (2): 133-148.
- 324 Pétrissans M. ; Pétrissans A. ; Gérardin P. 2007, Contrôler la durabilité du bois de hêtre traité
- 325 thermiquement. *Tracés, Bulletin technique Technologie du bois de la Suisse Romande* 17: 12-6.
- 326 Poncsak S.; Kocaefe D.; Younsi R. 2010, Improvement of heat treatment of Jack pine (Pinus
- banksiana) using thermoWood technology. *European Journal of Wood and Woods Products*69 (2): 281-6.
- Priadia T. and Hiziroglub S. 2013, Characterization of heat treated wood species. *Materials & Design* 49: 575-582.
- 331 Rowell R.M.; Ibach R.E.; Mc Sweeny J.; Nilsson T. 2009, Understanding decay resistance,
- 332 dimensional stability and strength changes in heat treated and acetylated wood. *Wood Material*
- *Science and Engineering* 4 (1-2): 14-22.

- 334 Sjöström E. 1981, Fundamentals and Applications, in: Wood chemistry, Wood polysaccharides.
- 335 *Academic Press*, Chapter 3: 49-67.
- Stamm A.J. 1956, Thermal Degradation of Wood and Cellulose. *Industrial and Engineering Chemistry* 48 (3): 413-417.
- **Tjeerdma B.F.; Militz H. 2005,** Chemical changes in hydrothermal treated wood: FTIR analysis
- of combined hydrothermal and dry heat treated wood. *European Journal of Wood and Wood Products*, 63: 102-1.
- 341 Unsal O., Korkut S., Atik C. 2003, The effect of heat treatment on some properties and colour
- 342 in eucalyptus (Eucalyptus camaldulensis Dehn.) wood. Maderas. Ciencia y Tecnologia 5 (2):
- 343 145-152.
- 344 Welzbacher C.R.; Brischke C.; Rapp OA. 2007, Influence of treatment temperature and
- duration on selected biological, mechanical, physical and optical properties of thermally modified
- timber. *Wood Material Science and Engineering*, 2: 66-76.
- 347 Yildiz S.; Gezer E.D; Yildiz U.C. 2006, Mechanical and chemical behavior of spruce wood
- 348 modified by heat. *Building and Environment*, 41: 1762–1766.